

No. 18-956

---

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

GOOGLE LLC,,

*Petitioner,*

*v.*

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

*Respondent.*

---

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES  
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

---

---

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* TEN CREATORS'  
RIGHTS ORGANIZATIONS IN  
SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

---

---

MARY E. RASENBERGER  
THE AUTHORS GUILD, INC.  
31 East 32<sup>nd</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 563-5904

NANCY E. WOLFF  
*Counsel of Record*  
SARA GATES  
COWAN, DEBAETS, ABRAHAMS  
& SHEPPARD LLP  
41 Madison Avenue, 38<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York NY 10010  
(212) 974-7474  
nwoff@cdas.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

---

---

294233

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i> .....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	4
ARGUMENT .....	7
I. THE SUPREME COURT SHOULD PROVIDE GUIDANCE ON THE APPLICATION OF THE STATUTORY FAIR USE FACTORS AND UPHOLD THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT’S DECISION.....	7
A. Courts Have Drastically Expanded the Fair Use Doctrine Since <i>Campbell</i> .....	9
B. The Transformative Use Test Should Not Engulf the Statutory Factors ....	17
1. The Nature of the Copyrighted Work Is a Statutory Factor and Should Not Be Disregarded .....	18
2. The Amount and Substantiality of the Use Should Not Be Swept Up in the Transformative Use Test or Reduced to a Mathematical Formula .....	21

C. Courts Should Consider Effects on Existing and Potential Markets from Widespread and Unrestricted Use, Including the Impact a Fair Use Finding Would Have on Creators' Ability to License Divisible Rights .....25

D. Judges, Not Juries, Are Better Positioned to Make Fair Use Determinations .....32

E. The Federal Circuit Employed the Correct Standard of Review.....35

CONCLUSION.....37

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>Cases</b>	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Andy Warhol Found. for the Visual Arts, Inc. v. Goldsmith</i> , 382 F. Supp. 3d 312 (S.D.N.Y. 2019) .....	12, 13, 20
<i>Ascend Health Corp. v. Wells</i> , No. 4:12-CV-00083-BR, 2013 WL 1010589 (E.D.N.C. Mar. 14, 2013) .....	10–11
<i>Authors Guild v. Google, Inc.</i> , 804 F.3d 202 (2d Cir. 2015) .....	21, 33
<i>Authors Guild, Inc. v. HathiTrust</i> , 755 F.3d 87 (2d Cir. 2014) .....	20, 21
<i>Bouchat v. Baltimore Ravens Ltd. P’ship</i> , 737 F.3d 932 (4th Cir. 2013).....	17–18, 20
<i>Brammer v. Violent Hues Productions, LLC</i> , 922 F.3d 255 (4th Cir. 2019).....	24, 25
<i>Cambridge Univ. Press v. Becker</i> , 863 F. Supp. 2d 1190 (N.D. Ga. 2012) .....	23
<i>Cambridge Univ. Press v. Patton</i> , 769 F.3d 1232 (11th Cir. 2014).....	27, 31
<i>Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc.</i> , 510 U.S. 569 (1994).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Caner v. Autry</i> , 16 F. Supp. 3d 689 (W.D. Va. 2014) .....	10

<i>Cariou v. Prince</i> , 714 F.3d 694 (2d Cir. 2013) .....	11, 18
<i>Castle Rock Entm't v. Carol Publ'g Grp., Inc.</i> , 955 F. Supp. 260 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) .....	32
<i>Castle Rock Entm't, Inc. v.</i> <i>Carol Publ'g Grp., Inc.</i> , 150 F.3d 132 (2d Cir. 1998) .....	11
<i>de Fontbrune v. Wofsy</i> , 409 F. Supp. 3d 823 (N.D. Cal. 2019).....	22–23
<i>Dhillon v. Does 1-10</i> , No. C 13-01465 SI, 2014 WL 722592 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 25, 2014).....	22, 26
<i>Dr. Seuss Enters., L.P. v.</i> <i>Penguin Books USA, Inc.</i> , 109 F.3d 1394 (9th Cir. 1997).....	19
<i>Estate of Smith v. Graham</i> , No. 19-28, 2020 WL 522013 (2d Cir. Feb. 3, 2020) .....	20
<i>Faulkner Literary Rights, LLC v. Sony</i> <i>Pictures Classics Inc.</i> , 953 F. Supp. 2d 701 (N.D. Miss. 2013) .....	20
<i>Fox News Network, LLC v. Tveyes, Inc.</i> <i>Pictures Classics Inc.</i> , 883 F.3d 169 (2d Cir. 2018) .....	31
<i>Golan v. Holder</i> , 565 U.S. 302 (2012).....	17

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.