

No. 18-956

---

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

GOOGLE LLC,

*Petitioner,*

*v.*

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

*Respondent.*

---

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES  
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

---

---

**BRIEF OF FORMER CONGRESSMEN AS *AMICI*  
*CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

---

---

WILLIAM A. ISAACSON  
*Counsel of Record*  
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP  
1401 New York Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 237-2727  
wisaacson@bsflp.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

---

---

294179



COUNSEL PRESS

(800) 274-3321 • (800) 359-6859

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i> .....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	2
ARGUMENT .....	5
I. CONGRESS’S DECISION TO EXTEND COPYRIGHT PROTECTION TO COMPUTER PROGRAMS IS CONSISTENT WITH THE U.S. CONSTITUTION .....	5
II. THE LANGUAGE AND HISTORY OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT SHOW THAT COMPUTER PROGRAMS ARE ENTITLED TO FULL PROTECTION .....	8
A. THE TEXT AND HISTORY OF THE ACT SHOW THAT CONGRESS ACCORDED DECLARING CODE FULL COPYRIGHT PROTECTION .....	9
1. LAWMAKERS AND CONTU MADE CLEAR THAT ORIGINAL EXPRESSION IN COMPUTER PROGRAMS IS COPYRIGHTABLE, WITH NO CARVE- OUT FOR A SUBSET OF SOFTWARE.....	12

2. THE LEGISLATIVE HISTORY ALSO DEMONSTRATES THAT COMPUTER PROGRAMS SHOULD NOT BE TREATED DIFFERENTLY UNDER THE FAIR-USE ANALYSIS .....	17
B. GOOGLE’S ARGUMENTS AS TO COPYRIGHTABILITY AND FAIR USE FAIL IN LIGHT OF THE LANGUAGE AND HISTORY OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT .....	19
1. GOOGLE’S INTERPRETATION OF THE ACT AS TO THE COPYRIGHTABILITY OF ORACLE’S DECLARING CODE IS UNTENABLE.....	19
2. GOOGLE’S FAIR-USE ARGUMENTS ARE SIMILARLY WITHOUT MERIT .....	21
III. CONGRESS HAS A LONG TRADITION OF REVIEWING AND EXPANDING, NOT RETRACTING, COPYRIGHT TO PROMOTE INNOVATION AND COMPETITIVENESS .....	22
CONCLUSION .....	26

## TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Am. Broad. Cos. v. Aereo, Inc.</i> , 573 U.S. 431 (2014).....	26
<i>Atari Games Corp. v. Nintendo of Am. Inc.</i> , 975 F.2d 832 (Fed. Cir. 1992) .....	17
<i>Baker v. Selden</i> , 101 U.S. 99 (1879).....	13
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i> , 478 U.S. 714 (1986).....	7
<i>Comput. Assocs. Int’l v. Altai, Inc.</i> , 982 F.2d 693 (2d Cir. 1992) .....	17
<i>Eldred v. Ashcroft</i> , 537 U.S. 186 (2003).....	22
<i>Gen. Universal Sys., Inc. v. Lee</i> , 379 F.3d 131 (5th Cir. 2004).....	17
<i>Harper &amp; Row Publishers, Inc. v.</i> <i>Nation Enters.</i> , 471 U.S. 539 (1985).....	6
<i>JustMed, Inc. v. Byce</i> , 600 F.3d 1118 (9th Cir. 2010).....	17
<i>Krause v. Titleserv, Inc.</i> , 402 F.3d 119 (2d Cir. 2005) .....	11
<i>Marsh v. Chambers</i> , 463 U.S. 783 (1983).....	7

<i>Sega Enters. Ltd. v. Accolade, Inc.</i> , 977 F.2d 1510 (9th Cir. 1992).....	11
<i>Sony Corp. of Am. v. Universal City Studios, Inc.</i> , 464 U.S. 417 (1984).....	5, 22
<i>United States v. Am. Trucking Ass'ns, Inc.</i> , 310 U.S. 534 (1940).....	19

### **Statutes and Other Authorities**

U.S. Const. art. I, § 8, cl. 8.....	3, 5
17 U.S.C. § 101.....	9, 11, 20, 21
17 U.S.C. § 102(a).....	3, 9, 19
17 U.S.C. § 102(a)(1).....	9
17 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	13, 14, 19, 20
17 U.S.C. § 107.....	17, 18, 21
17 U.S.C. § 107(1).....	17
17 U.S.C. § 107(2).....	21
17 U.S.C. § 117.....	11, 21, 22
17 U.S.C. §§ 101–810.....	2
1 Melville Nimmer, <i>Nimmer on Copyright</i> (14th ed. 1976).....	13
126 Cong. Rec. (1980).....	12, 13
137 Cong. Rec. (1991).....	18
Act of Dec. 31, 1974, Pub. L. No. 93-573, 88 Stat. 1873.....	10
Act of May 31, 1790, ch. 15, § 1, 1 Stat. 124.....	7

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.