No. __-____

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

ROBERT STEVENS; STEVEN VANDEL,
Petitioners,

v.

CORELOGIC, INC.,
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DARREN J. QUINN
LAW OFFICES OF DARREN J. QUINN
12702 Via Cortina,
Suite 105
Del Mar, California 92014
(858) 509-9401

JOEL B. ROTHMAN
COUNSEL OF RECORD
SRIPLAW, PLLC, SUITE 100
21301 Powerline Road
Boca Raton, FL 33433
(561) 404-4350
(joel.rothman@sriplaw.com)

January 3, 2019

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether, in order to satisfy the mental state requirement of "knowing, or, . . . having reasonable grounds to know" that removal or alteration of copyright management information ("CMI") in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b) "will induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal an infringement," a plaintiff must prove "identifiable" and "likely" future copyright infringement as a result of removal or alteration of CMI, or a "pattern of conduct" or "modus operandi" involving policing infringement by tracking CMI, as the Ninth Circuit held, or whether a plaintiff may instead simply prove that removal or alteration of CMI makes "infringement generally possible or easier to accomplish" without the need for simultaneously proving removal or alteration of CMI resulted in a "particular act of infringement," as the Register of Copyrights has advocated.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

Petitioners Robert Stevens and Steven Vandel were the plaintiffs and the appellants in the proceedings below.

Respondent CoreLogic, Inc. was the defendant and the appellee in the proceedings below.

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

QUESTIONS PRESENTED i

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS ii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iv

OPINIONS BELOW 1

JURISDICTION 1

STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 1

INTRODUCTION 2

STATEMENT 5

A. Statutory Background 5

B. Factual Background 9

C. Proceedings Below 11

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION 12

I. COPYRIGHTED DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHS (AND RELATED CMI METADATA) ARE EXCEPTIONALLY IMPORTANT TO THE INTERNET 13

A. WIPO And Nearly 100 Countries Implementing The WCT And WPPT Recognized The Exceptional Importance Of The Internet And The Need To Protect CMI For Digital Works 13

B. Congress Recognized The Importance Of The Internet And the DMCA's Role in Protecting CMI to Prevent Piracy In Copyrighted Works 17

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

II. THE NINTH CIRCUIT DEFIED THE PLAIN LANGUAGE OF § 1202(B) AND THE FORMAL POSITION OF THE REGISTER OF COPYRIGHTS TO EFFECTIVELY RE-WRITE PORTIONS OF THE MENTAL STATE ELEMENT 19

A. The Ninth Circuit Defies § 1202's Plain Language and the Formal Position Of The Register of Copyrights 21

B. The Ninth Circuit Was Not Free To Re-write § 1202's Mental State Element 25

1. The Ninth Circuit Erred In Requiring Proof of "Identifiable" And "Likely" Future Infringements 26

2. The Ninth Circuit Misplaced Its Reliance On A Sex Trafficking Case That Required Proof of Defendant's Past "Pattern Of Conduct" Or "Established Modus Operandi"..... 27

3. The Ninth Circuit Erred By Requiring Proof of Photographers' Policing Infringement Using CMI Metadata To Establish Defendant's Mental State..... 29

C. The Ninth Circuit Made It Effectively Impossible To Prove Its Heightened § 1202 Mental State Requirements..... 32

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.