

No. 18-___

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

JACOBUS RENTMEESTER,
Petitioner,

v.

NIKE, INC.,
Respondent.

*On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to
the United States Court of Appeals
for the Ninth Circuit*

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ERIC B. FASTIFF
DEAN M. HARVEY
KATHERINE C. LUBIN
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN
& BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 956-1000

DEEPAK GUPTA
Counsel of Record
JOSHUA MATZ
GUPTA WESSLER PLLC
1900 L Street, NW, Suite 312
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 888-1741
deepak@guptawessler.com

*Counsel for Petitioner
(continued on the inside cover)*

December 3, 2018

CODY HOESLY
LARKINS VACURA KAYSER LLP
121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 700
Portland, OR 97204
(503) 222-4424

Counsel for Petitioner

QUESTION PRESENTED

Is copyright protection for a photograph limited solely to the photographer's "selection and arrangement" of unprotected elements, as the Ninth Circuit held below, or does it also cover elements of the photograph that express original, creative judgments by the photographer, as the First, Second, and Eleventh Circuits have held?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Question presented	i
Table of authorities	iv
Introduction	1
Opinions below	3
Jurisdiction	4
Constitutional and statutory provisions involved.....	4
Statement	5
I. Protecting photographs under copyright law	5
A. The early years of photography	5
B. The origins of protection for photography	7
C. Consensus and conflict since <i>Burrow- Giles</i>	11
II. Factual background	13
A. Rentmeester’s photographic artistry	13
B. Rentmeester staged and created a unique portrait of Michael Jordan for <i>LIFE Magazine</i>	16
C. Nike’s Creative Director sees the photo, steals its original elements, pays Rentmeester when discovered, and then breaks that deal.....	18
III. Proceedings below	20
A. The district court.....	20

B.	The court of appeals.....	21
	Reasons for granting the petition	24
I.	The decision below creates a circuit split.....	24
A.	The First Circuit	27
B.	The Second Circuit.....	29
1.	<i>Rogers v. Koons</i>	29
2.	<i>Leibovitz v. Paramount Pictures Corp.</i>	32
3.	<i>Mannion v. Coors Brewing Company</i>	33
C.	The Eleventh Circuit	35
II.	The decision below is incorrect and offers an ideal vehicle to address a vitally important issue.....	37
A.	This issue is exceptionally important.	37
B.	This case is an excellent vehicle.	37
C.	The decision below is wrong.	38
	Conclusion	40

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.