

No. 18-587

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND
SECURITY, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL.,

Respondents.

**On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court of Appeals
For The Ninth Circuit**

**Brief in Opposition for Respondents Dulce Garcia,
Miriam Gonzalez Avila, Saul Jimenez Suarez,
Viridiana Chabolla Mendoza, Norma Ramirez,
Jirayut Latthivongskorn, the County of Santa Clara,
and Service Employees International Union Local 521**

STUART F. DELERY
MATTHEW S. ROZEN
HALEY S. MORRISSON
ANDREW J. WILHELM
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-8500

MARK D. ROSENBAUM
JUDY LONDON
PUBLIC COUNSEL
610 South Ardmore Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90005
(213) 385-2977

THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR.
COUNSEL OF RECORD
ETHAN D. DETTMER
KIRSTEN GALLER
JONATHAN N. SOLEIMANI
KELSEY J. HELLAND
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 229-7000
tboutrous@gibsondunn.com

*Counsel for Respondents Dulce Garcia, Miriam Gonzalez Avila,
Saul Jimenez Suarez, Viridiana Chabolla Mendoza, Norma Ramirez,
and Jirayut Latthivongskorn*

(Additional Counsel Listed on Inside Cover)

LUIS CORTES ROMERO
BARRERA LEGAL GROUP, PLLC
19309 68th Avenue South,
Suite R102
Kent, WA 98032
(253) 872-4730

ERWIN CHEMERINSKY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
BERKELEY SCHOOL OF LAW*
215 Boalt Hall
Berkeley, CA 94720
(510) 642-6483

LAURENCE H. TRIBE
HARVARD LAW SCHOOL*
1575 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138
(617) 495-1767

LEAH M. LITMAN
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
IRVINE SCHOOL OF LAW*
401 E. Peltason Drive
Irvine, CA 92697
(949) 824-7722

*Additional Counsel for Respondents Dulce Garcia, Miriam Gonzalez
Avila, Saul Jimenez Suarez, Viridiana Chabolla Mendoza, Norma
Ramirez, and Jirayut Latthivongskorn*

**Affiliation for identification purposes only*

STACEY M. LEYTON
ERIC P. BROWN
ALTSHULER BERZON LLP
177 Post Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94108
(415) 421-7151

*Counsel for Respondents County
of Santa Clara and Service Em-
ployees International Union Local
521*

JAMES R. WILLIAMS
GRETA S. HANSEN
LAURA S. TRICE
MARCELO QUIÑONES
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
70 West Hedding Street
East Wing, Ninth Floor
San Jose, CA 95110
(408) 299-5900

*Counsel for Respondent County of
Santa Clara*

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

The Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program enables nearly 700,000 undocumented individuals who were brought to the United States as children to live and work here without fear of deportation, so long as they play by the rules. In September 2017, the Acting Secretary of Homeland Security, on the advice of the Attorney General, abruptly decided to terminate the program.

Respondents brought suit to challenge that decision. The district court granted respondents' motion for a preliminary injunction and also denied the government's motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. The court of appeals affirmed.

The questions presented are:

1. Whether either the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. § 701(a)(2), or a particular provision of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. § 1252(g), precludes judicial review of the Acting Secretary's decision to terminate the DACA program.

2. Whether the district court abused its discretion in entering a preliminary injunction, based on its conclusion that respondents are likely to succeed on the merits of their claim that the decision to end DACA was "arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law," in violation of the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A), and its balancing of the equities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
OPINIONS BELOW	2
JURISDICTION	3
STATEMENT	3
REASONS FOR DENYING THE PETITION	12
A. Only One Court Of Appeals Has Considered The Questions Presented	12
B. The Decision Below Is Preliminary And Interlocutory And Would Not Present The Full Dispute	17
C. There Is No Urgent Need For This Court's Review	20
D. The Government's Merits Arguments Do Not Justify Review	25
1. The Court Of Appeals Properly Affirmed The District Court's Reviewability Determination	26
2. The Court Of Appeals Correctly Affirmed The Preliminary Injunction	28
CONCLUSION	35

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

<i>Arizona v. Evans</i> , 514 U.S. 1 (1995).....	15
<i>Ashcroft v. ACLU</i> , 542 U.S. 656 (2004).....	17
<i>Batalla Vidal v. Duke</i> , 295 F. Supp. 3d 127 (E.D.N.Y. 2017)	13
<i>Batalla Vidal v. Nielsen</i> , 279 F. Supp. 3d 401 (E.D.N.Y. 2018)	10, 14, 19, 23
<i>Beame v. Friends of the Earth</i> , 434 U.S. 1310 (1977).....	22
<i>Braxton v. United States</i> , 500 U.S. 344 (1991).....	25
<i>Brown v. Chote</i> , 411 U.S. 452 (1973).....	19
<i>Byrd v. United States</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1518 (2018).....	19
<i>Califano v. Sanders</i> , 430 U.S. 99 (1977).....	26
<i>Casa de Md. v. DHS</i> , 284 F. Supp. 3d 758 (D. Md. 2018).....	4, 13, 14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.