

Nos. 18-587 and 18-589

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, *ET AL.*, *Petitioners*,

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, *ET AL.*

KIRSTJEN M. NIELSEN, SECRETARY OF HOMELAND
SECURITY, *ET AL.*, *Petitioners*,

v.

MARTIN JONATHAN BATALLA VIDAL, *ET AL.*

On Petitions for Writs of Certiorari to the U.S.
Courts of Appeals for the Ninth and Second Circuits

Brief *Amicus Curiae* of Citizens United, Citizens
United Foundation, English First Foundation, Public
Advocate of the U.S., The Senior Citizens League, 60
Plus Foundation, Gun Owners of America, Gun
Owners Foundation, Conservative Legal Defense
and Education Fund, Patriotic Veterans, Policy
Analysis Center, and Restoring Liberty Action
Committee in Support of Petitioners

JOSEPH W. MILLER
RESTORING LIBERTY
ACTION COMMITTEE
Fairbanks, AK 99708
Attorney for Amicus Curiae
RLAC

WILLIAM J. OLSON*
HERBERT W. TITUS
JEREMIAH L. MORGAN
ROBERT J. OLSON
WILLIAM J. OLSON, P.C.
370 Maple Ave. W., Ste. 4
Vienna, VA 22180
(703) 356-5070
wjo@mindspring.com
Attorneys for Amici Curiae
**Counsel of Record*

December 6, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTEREST OF THE <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
STATEMENT	2
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.	4
ARGUMENT	
I. THE ACTION RESCINDING DACA IS JUDICIALLY UNREVIEWABLE.	6
A. The Attorney General’s Oath to Support the Constitution Is Equal to and Independent of the Judiciary	7
B. The Judicial Power “to Say what the Law Is” Is Neither Exclusive Nor Final.	10
C. The Executive’s Exercise of Power Is Politically Accountable to the People, Not the Courts.	12
II. THE SECRETARY’S DECISION TO RESCIND DACA WAS NOT ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS BECAUSE THE ORIGINAL DECISION TO IMPLEMENT THE DACA POLICY WAS UNLAWFUL	13
III. THE NATIONWIDE INJUNCTIONS EXCEEDED THE DISTRICT COURTS’ AUTHORITY	18

IV. THE NEW YORK DISTRICT COURT
ERRONEOUSLY CONCLUDED THAT ENDING
DACA WOULD HARM THE SOCIAL SECURITY
TRUST FUNDS..... 23

CONCLUSION 26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
<u>U.S. CONSTITUTION</u>	
Article II, Section 4	12
Article III, Section 2.	8, 9
Article VI	5, 8
<u>STATUTES</u>	
5 U.S.C. § 706.	21
8 U.S.C. § 1611(b)(2)-(3).	25
<u>CASES</u>	
<u>Janus v. AFSCME, Council 31</u> , 138 S. Ct. 2448 (2018).	11
<u>Marbury v. Madison</u> , 5 U.S. 137 (1803)	11
<u>Texas v. United States</u> , 809 F.3d 134 (5 th Cir. 2015).	21
<u>Texas v. United States</u> , 328 F.Supp.3d 662 (S.D.TX. 2018)	17, 25
<u>Texas v. United States</u> , 86 F. Supp. 3d 591 (S.D.TX. 2015)	21, 22
<u>Trump v. Hawaii</u> , 138 S.Ct. 2392 (2018).	20
<u>Trump v. IRAP and Trump v. Hawaii</u> , 582 U.S. ____ 138 S. Ct. 34 (2017)	19
<u>Washington v. Trump</u> , 847 F.3d 1151 (9th Cir. 2017).	19
<u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>	
A. Bickel, <u>The Least Dangerous Branch</u> (Yale Press: 1965)	10
Blackstone's <u>Commentaries on the Laws of England</u> (U. Chi. Press, Facsimile ed.: 1765).	11, 12

<u>50 Core American Documents</u> (C. Burkett, ed.: Ashbrook Press: 2016)	11
Congressional Research Service, “Social Security Benefits for Noncitizens” (Nov. 17, 2016)	25
G. Gunther, <u>Constitutional Law</u> (12 th ed., Found. Press: 1991)	9
Attorney General Jeff Sessions Remarks on Judicial Encroachment (Oct. 15, 2018)	18
J. Richwine, “Ninth Circuit Ruling on DACA Contains Several False or Misleading Statements,” Center for Immigration Studies (November 27, 2018)	3
Social Security Administration, 2018 Annual Report of the Trustees (June 5, 2018)	25, 26
Social Security Administration, Office of the Chief Actuary, Actuarial Note No. 2017.9 (July 2017), “Replacement Rates for Hypothetical Retired Workers”	24
L. Tribe, <u>American Constitutional Law</u> (2d ed., Found. Press 1988)	12, 13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.