Nos. 18-587, 18-588, 18-589

In the Supreme Court of the United States

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, ET AL., PETITIONERS,

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL., RESPONDENTS.

DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL., PETITIONERS,

v.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, ET AL., RESPONDENTS.

KEVIN K. MCALEENAN, ACTING SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY, ET AL., PETITIONERS,

v. Martin Jonathan Batalla Vidal, et al., respondents.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Courts of Appeals for the Ninth, D.C., and Second Circuits

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE PUBLIC CITIZEN, NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, AND AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

SCOTT L. NELSON Counsel of Record ALLISON M. ZIEVE PUBLIC CITIZEN LITIGATION GROUP 1600 20th Street NW Washington, DC 20009 (202) 588-1000 snelson@citizen.org Attorneys for Amici Curiae

October 2019

DOCKET

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TABLE OF AUTHORITIESii |
|---|
| INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE 1 |
| SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 2 |
| ARGUMENT |
| I. This Court's decisions, including <i>Chaney</i> , have narrowly construed the APA's exceptions to judicial review |
| II. <i>Chaney</i> 's holding is limited to agency decisions not to initiate enforcement proceedings7 |
| III. Consistent with <i>Chaney</i> , lower federal courts have held that agency actions promulgating general enforcement-related policies are reviewable |
| IV. The government's arguments for extending Chaney are unconvincing 16 |
| CONCLUSION |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| Cases: | Page(s) |
|--|---------|
| Air Courier Conf. of Am. v. Am. Postal Workers Union, 498 U.S. 517 (1991) | 21 |
| Bowen v. Mich. Acad. of Family Physicians, 476 U.S. 667 (1986) | 6, 21 |
| Center for Auto Safety, Inc. v. NHTSA, 342 F. Supp. 2d 1 (D.D.C. 2004), aff'd, 452 F.3d 798 (D.C. Cir. 2006) | 14, 15 |
| Chiang v. Kempthorne, 503 F. Supp. 2d 343 (D.D.C. 2007) | 14 |
| Crowley Caribbean Transport, Inc. v. Peña, 37 F.3d 671 (D.C. Cir. 1994) | passim |
| Dep't of Commerce v. New York, 139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019) | 5, 7, 9 |
| <i>Edison Elec. Inst. v. EPA</i> , 996 F.2d 326 (D.C. Cir. 1993) | 11 |
| <i>FCC v. Fox Television Stations, Inc.,</i> 556 U.S. 502 (2009) | 20 |
| FEC v. Akins, 524 U.S. 11 (1998) | 10, 21 |
| Franklin v. Massachusetts, 505 U.S. 788 (1992) | 10 |
| Gulf Restoration Network v. McCarthy, 783 F.3d 227 (5th Cir. 2015) | 13 |
| Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985) | passim |

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

| ICC v. B'hood of Locomotive Eng'rs, 482 U.S. 270 (1987) |
|---|
| <i>INS v. St. Cyr</i> , 533 U.S. 289 (2001) |
| Kenney v. Glickman, 96 F.3d 1118 (8th Cir. 1996) 13, 16 |
| Lincoln v. Vigil, 508 U.S. 182 (1993) |
| Mach Mining, LLC v. EEOC, 135 S. Ct. 1645 (2015) |
| Massachusetts v. EPA, 549 U.S. 497 (2007) |
| Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n of U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 463 U.S. 29 (1983) |
| Nat'l Wildlife Fed'n v. EPA, 980 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1992) 11 |
| OSG Bulk Ships, Inc. v. United States, 132 F.3d 808 (D.C. Cir. 1998) 12, 13 |
| <i>Ringo v. Lombardi</i> , 706 F. Supp. 2d 952 (W.D. Mo. 2010) 15 |
| <i>Riverkeeper, Inc. v. Collins,</i> 359 F.3d 156 (2d Cir. 2004) |
| Roane v. Holder, 607 F. Supp. 2d 216 (D.D.C. 2009) |
| <i>Texas v. United States,</i> 809 F.3d 134 (5th Cir. 2015), <i>aff'd by equally</i> <i>divided Court,</i> 136 S. Ct. 2271 (2016) |
| Webster v. Doe, 486 U.S. 592 (1988) |

iii

| Weyerhaeuser Co. v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv., |
|---|
| 139 S. Ct. 361 (2019) |
| WildEarth Guardians v. DOJ, 752 F. Appx. 421 (9th Cir. 2018)14 |
| WildEarth Guardians v. DOJ, |
| 181 F. Supp. 3d 651 (D. Ariz. 2015)14 |

Constitutional Provisions, Statutes, and Rules:

| 5 U.S.C. §§ 701–706 | 5 |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| 5 U.S.C. § 701(a) | |
| 5 U.S.C. § 701(a)(1) | 6 |
| 5 U.S.C. § 701(a)(2) | 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 16 |
| 5 U.S.C. § 704 | 5 |
| 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A) | |

Other:

| Office of Legal Counsel, U.S. Department of J | ustice, |
|---|----------|
| The Department of Homeland Security's Au | uthority |
| to Prioritize Removal of Certain Aliens | |
| Unlawfully Present in the United States ar | ıd to |
| Defer Removal of Others (Nov. 19, 2014), | |
| 2014 WL 10788677 | 15, 16 |

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.