In the

Supreme Court of the United States

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, et al.,

Petitioners.

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Respondents.

DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, et al.,

Respondents.

(For Continuation of Caption See Inside Cover)

ON WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEAL FOR THE NINTH, DC AND SECOND CIRCUITS

BRIEF OF FORMER HOMELAND SECURITY AND IMMIGRATION OFFICIALS AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

Jeh C. Johnson
Liza M. Velazquez
David C. Kimball-Stanley
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton
& Garrison LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019 (212) 373-3000

Masha G. Hansford Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton

& Garrison LLP 2001 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 223-7300 KAREN L. DUNN JOSHUA RILEY MENNO GOEDMAN ANDREA R. FLORES

Boies Schiller Flexner LLP 1401 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 237-2727

Albert Giang
Counsel of Record
Boies Schiller Flexner LLP
725 South Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 629-9040
agiang@bsflp.com

 $Counsel \, for \, Amici \, Curiae$

291551



KEVIN K. MCALEENAN, ACTING SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY, $et\ al.$,

Petitioners,

v.

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm MARTIN\ JONATHAN\ BATALLA\ VIDAL,\ et\ al.},\\ Respondents. \end{array}$



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|--------|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | i |
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | ii |
| INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE | 1 |
| SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT | 6 |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE | 7 |
| ARGUMENT | 12 |
| I. Deferred Action Is Firmly Rooted in Historical Practice | |
| II. Allowing Deferred Action Recipients to Apply for Work Authorization Is Consistent With Historical Practice and Benefits the United States | 3 [|
| A. Work authorization is permitted under federal law | • |
| B. Work authorization is consistent with historical practice | |
| CONCLUSION | 34 |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page(s) |
|---|---------|
| Cases: | |
| Arizona v. United States, 567 U.S. 387 (2012) | 10 |
| Hotel & Rest. Emps. Union, Local 25 v. Sm 846 F.2d 1499 (D.C. Cir. 1988) | • |
| United States v. Texas, 136 S. Ct. 2271 (2016) | 26 |
| Statutes: | |
| 6 U.S.C. § 202(5) | 13 |
| 8 U.S.C. § 1103 | |
| 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(4) | |
| 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(7) | |
| 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(a)(1) | |
| 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(h)(3) | |
| Pub. L. No. 82-414, 66 Stat. 163 | 14 |
| Pub. L. No. 93-518, 88 Stat. 1652 | 28 |
| Pub. L. No. 97-113, 95 Stat. 1519 | 17 |
| Pub. L. No. 99-603, 100 Stat. 3359 | 17, 29 |
| Pub. L. No. 101-649, 104 Stat. 4978 | 22 |
| Pub I. No 104-208 110 Stat 3009-546 | 39 |



| Pub. L. No. 106-386, 114 Stat. 1464 | 33 |
|--|---------------|
| Regulations: | |
| 8 C.F.R. § 109.1(b)(6) | 29 |
| 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(c) | 28 |
| 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(d)(3) | 24 |
| 8 C.F.R. § 274a.12(c)(11) | 33 |
| 8 C.F.R. § 274a.12(c)(14)1 | 3, 17, 26, 33 |
| Sup. Ct. R. 37.3(a) | 1 |
| | |
| Other Authorities: | |
| 17 Fed. Reg. 11,488 (Dec. 19, 1952) | 27 |
| 46 Fed. Reg. 25,079 (May 5, 1981) | 17 |
| 46 Fed. Reg. 25,080 (May 5, 1981) | 29 |
| 46 Fed. Reg. 25,081 (May 5, 1981) | 17, 29 |
| 51 Fed. Reg. 39,385 (Oct. 28, 1986) | 30 |
| 51 Fed. Reg. 39,386 (Oct. 28, 1986) | 30 |
| 52 Fed. Reg. 46,092 (Dec. 4, 1987) | 30, 31 |
| 52 Fed. Reg. 46,093 (Dec. 4, 1987) | 30, 31 |
| 72 Fed. Reg. 53,014 (Sept. 17, 2007) | 24 |
| Alan C. Nelson, Legalization and Fairness: An Analysis (Oct. 21, 1987) | |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

