

Nos. 18-587, 18-588, 18-589

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL.,
Respondents.

DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF
COLORED PEOPLE, ET AL.,
Respondents.

KEVIN K. MCALEENAN, ACTING SECRETARY OF HOMELAND
SECURITY, ET AL.,
Petitioners,

v.

MARTIN JONATHAN BATALLA VIDAL, ET AL.,
Respondents.

**On Writs of Certiorari to the
United States Courts of Appeals for the Ninth,
District of Columbia, and Second Circuits**

**BRIEF OF AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION AND
43 OTHER HIGHER EDUCATION ASSOCIATIONS AS
AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS**

NEAL K. KATYAL
Counsel of Record
JESSICA L. ELLSWORTH
STEPHANIE J. GOLD
MITCHELL P. REICH
HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP
555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 637-5600
neal.katyal@hoganlovells.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae American Council on Educa-
tion and 43 Other Higher Education Associations*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iii
STATEMENT OF INTEREST	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	2
ARGUMENT	5
I. THE RESCISSION OF DACA WILL INFLECT PROFOUND HARMS ON COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES, THEIR STUDENTS, AND THE COUNTRY AS A WHOLE	5
A. America’s Colleges and Universities Thrive on a Diverse Student Body and a Reputation for Inclusiveness.....	5
B. Prior to DACA, Many of the Na- tion’s Most Promising Students Faced Severe Challenges to Enroll- ment in or Completion of Under- graduate and Graduate Programs	8
C. DACA Has Made It Substantially Easier for Dreamers to Enroll in Postsecondary Institutions and Has Carried Enormous Benefits for Col- leges, Universities, and the Country.....	16
D. The Rescission of DACA Would Re- verse the Gains the Program Has Enabled.....	24
II. THE RESCISSION IS REVIEWABLE	28
A. The APA Does Not Make the Re- scission Unreviewable	29

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

	<u>Page</u>
B. The INA Does Not Withdraw Jurisdiction to Consider the Rescission.....	33
CONCLUSION	37
ADDENDUM—LIST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1a

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CASES:	
<i>Arizona v. United States</i> , 567 U.S. 387 (2012).....	6
<i>Citizens to Preserve Overton Park, Inc. v. Volpe</i> , 401 U.S. 402 (1971).....	29
<i>Dep't of Commerce v. New York</i> , 139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019).....	29, 30
<i>Fisher v. Univ. of Texas at Austin</i> , 570 U.S. 297 (2013).....	7
<i>Gill v. Paige</i> , 226 F. Supp. 2d 366 (E.D.N.Y. 2002)	28
<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i> , 539 U.S. 306 (2003).....	7
<i>Heckler v. Chaney</i> , 470 U.S. 821 (1985).....	30, 31, 32, 33
<i>ICC v. Bhd. of Locomotive Eng'rs</i> , 482 U.S. 270 (1987).....	32
<i>Jennings v. Rodriguez</i> , 138 S. Ct. 830 (2018).....	34, 35
<i>Mach Mining, LLC v. EEOC</i> , 135 S. Ct. 1645 (2015).....	36
<i>Massachusetts v. EPA</i> , 549 U.S. 498 (2007).....	33
<i>Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cmty.</i> , 572 U.S. 782 (2014).....	35
<i>Nielsen v. Preap</i> , 139 S. Ct. 954 (2019).....	34

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Reno v. Am.-Arab Anti-Discrimination Comm.</i> , 525 U.S. 471 (1999).....	33, 34, 35, 36
<i>Texas v. United States</i> , 809 F.3d 134 (5th Cir. 2015).....	31, 32
<i>United States v. Texas</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2271 (2016).....	30, 32
<i>United Student Aid Funds, Inc. v. DeVos</i> , 237 F. Supp. 3d 1 (D.D.C. 2017).....	28
<i>Weyerhaeuser Co. v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Serv.</i> , 139 S. Ct. 361 (2018).....	29
<i>Zivotofsky ex rel. Zivotofsky v. Clinton</i> , 566 U.S. 189 (2012).....	29
STATUTES:	
5 U.S.C. § 701(a)(2).....	29
8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(9).....	5, 33, 34, 35
8 U.S.C. § 1252(g)	5, 33, 34, 36
Administrative Procedure Act.....	28, 29, 30
Immigration and Nationality Act	28, 33
OTHER AUTHORITIES:	
Leisy Janet Abrego, “ <i>I Can’t Go to College Because I Don’t Have Papers</i> ”: <i>Incorporation Patterns of Latino Undocumented Youth</i> , 4 Latino Stud. 212 (2006), https://www.academia.edu/3684916/Abrego_Leisy_2006_I_can_t_go_to_college_because_I_don_t_have_papers_Incorporation_Pat-	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.