IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

v.

Regents of the University of California, et al., Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW PRACTITIONERS IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

Kevin K. Russell
Counsel of Record
Daniel Woofter
Charles H. Davis
Erica Oleszczuk Evans
GOLDSTEIN &
RUSSELL, P.C.
7475 Wisconsin Ave.
Suite 850
Bethesda, MD 20814
(202) 362-0636
kr@goldsteinrussell.com

Additional Captions Listed on Inside Cover



DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL., Petitioners,

v.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, ET AL.,

Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari Before Judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

KEVIN K. McAleenan, Acting Secretary of Homeland Security, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Martin Jonathan Batalla Vidal, et al., \\ Respondents. \\ \end{tabular}$

On Writ of Certiorari Before Judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIESii					
INI	INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE1				
SU	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 1				
ARGUMENT					
I.	In	Nielsen Memo Should Not Be Considered Assessing The Lawfulness Of DACA's beal	5		
	A.	Post-Hoc Agency Explanations Like The Nielsen Memo Cannot Be Considered In Reviewing An Agency Action Under The APA	6		
	B.	Even When Post-Hoc Explanations Are Allowed, They May Not Extend Beyond The Original Rationale Offered For An Agency Decision	l 2		
II.		Reasons Given In The Nielsen Memo Are hitrary And Capricious1	18		
	A.	Secretary Nielsen's New Policy Reasons Are Each Arbitrary and Capricious1	18		
	B.	If Any Of The Nielsen Memo Justifications Is Arbitrary And Capricious, The Agency Action Must Be Vacated And Remanded For Reconsideration	30		
CO	NCL	LUSION	32		



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Burlington Truck Lines, Inc. v. United States, 371 U.S. 156 (1962)
Califano v. Sanders, 430 U.S. 99 (1977)7
Camp v. Pitts, 411 U.S. 138 (1973)passim
Carnegie Nat. Gas Co. v. FERC, 968 F.2d 1291 (D.C. Cir. 1992)30
Citizens to Preserve Overton Park, Inc. v. Volpe, 401 U.S. 402 (1971)
Comcast Corp. v. FCC, 579 F.3d 1 (D.C. Cir. 2009)11, 12
Cotton Petroleum Corp. v. U.S. Dep't of Interior, 870 F.2d 1515 (10th Cir. 1989)14
Council Tree Commc'ns, Inc. v. FCC, 619 F.3d 235 (3d Cir. 2010)12
Dep't of Commerce v. New York, 139 S. Ct. 2551 (2019)passim
Encino Motorcars, LLC v. Navarro, 136 S. Ct. 2117 (2016)20, 25
Envtl. Def. Fund, Inc v. Costle, 657 F.2d 275 (D.C. Cir. 1981)14
Fla. Power & Light Co. v. Lorion, 470 U.S. 729 (1985)
Fogo De Chao (Holdings) Inc. v. DHS, 769 F.3d 1127 (D.C. Cir. 2014)30
Forest Guardians v. Babbitt, 174 F.3d 1178 (10th Cir. 1999)12



Idaho Farm Bureau Fed'n v. Babbitt, 58 F.3d 1392 (9th Cir. 1995)	.12
Indus. Union Dep't, AFL-CIO v. Am. Petroleum Inst., 448 U.S. 607 (1980)	. 24
Limnia, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Energy, 857 F.3d 379 (D.C. Cir. 2017)	. 10
Martin v. OSHRC, 499 U.S. 144 (1991)9,	10
Michigan v. EPA, 135 S. Ct. 2699 (2015)28,	29
Milk Train, Inc. v. Veneman, 310 F.3d 747 (D.C. Cir. 2002)	. 12
Mingo Logan Coal Co. v. EPA, 829 F.3d 710 (D.C. Cir. 2016)28,	29
Mo. Pub. Serv. Comm'n v. FERC, 337 F.3d 1066 (D.C. Cir. 2003)	6
Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n of U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 463 U.S. 29 (1983)	24
Nat'l Cable & Telecomms. Ass'n v. Brand X Internet Servs., 545 U.S. 967 (2005)	. 20
PBGC v. LTV Corp., 496 U.S. 633 (1990)	. 15
Perez v. Mortg. Bankers Ass'n, 135 S. Ct. 1199 (2015)	. 25
SEC v. Chenery Corp., 318 U.S. 80 (1943)	5, 7
Sierra Club v. Marsh, 976 F 2d 763 (1st Cir. 1992)	14



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

