

**In the Supreme Court of the United States**

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, *et al.*, *Petitioners*,  
v.  
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, *et al.*, *Respondents*.

*ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES  
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT*

DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF THE  
UNITED STATES, *et al.*, *Petitioners*,  
v.  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF  
COLORED PEOPLE, *et al.*, *Respondents*.

*ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI BEFORE JUDGMENT  
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT*

KEVIN K. MCALEENAN, ACTING SECRETARY OF  
HOMELAND SECURITY, *et al.*, *Petitioners*,  
v.  
MARTÍN JONATHAN BATALLA VIDAL, *et al.*, *Respondents*.

*ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI BEFORE JUDGMENT  
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT*

**BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS THE STATES OF  
CALIFORNIA, MAINE, MARYLAND, AND MINNESOTA**

XAVIER BECERRA  
*Attorney General of California*  
MICHAEL J. MONGAN\*  
*Solicitor General*  
MICHAEL L. NEWMAN  
*Senior Assistant Attorney General*  
SAMUEL P. SIEGEL  
JOSHUA PATASHNIK  
*Deputy Solicitors General*  
SHUBHRA SHIVPURI  
JAMES F. ZAHRADKA II  
*Deputy Attorneys General*  
455 Golden Gate Ave.  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 510-3920  
Michael.Mongan@doj.ca.gov  
\**Counsel of Record*

September 27, 2019

*(Additional counsel listed on inside cover)*

---

---

AARON M. FREY  
*Attorney General of Maine*  
SUSAN P. HERMAN  
*Deputy Attorney General*  
6 State House Station  
Augusta, ME 04333

BRIAN E. FROSH  
*Attorney General  
of Maryland*  
STEVEN M. SULLIVAN  
*Solicitor General*  
LEAH J. TULIN  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
200 Saint Paul Place  
20th Floor  
Baltimore, MD 21202

KEITH ELLISON  
*Attorney General  
of Minnesota*  
LIZ KRAMER  
*Solicitor General*  
JACOB CAMPION  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
445 Minnesota Street  
Suite 1100  
St. Paul, MN 55101

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Whether the district courts in these consolidated cases properly held (i) that petitioners' September 2017 decision to terminate the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals policy is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedure Act, (ii) that the decision violated or likely violated the Act, and (iii) that petitioners' motions to dismiss certain other claims that remain pending in the California and New York proceedings should be denied.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
Introduction .....	1
Statement .....	2
A. Legal and factual background.....	2
B. Procedural background.....	7
Summary of argument .....	11
Argument .....	13
I. The decision to terminate DACA is subject to judicial review .....	13
A. The termination decision is not “com- mitted to agency discretion by law” .....	13
B. New rationales advanced by petition- ers after the termination decision do not make it unreviewable.....	21
C. Section 1252 does not bar review .....	23
II. The termination decision is invalid under the APA .....	23
A. The agency’s stated premise that DACA is unlawful is incorrect.....	25
1. Deferred action is lawful.....	25
2. Class-based deferred action poli- cies are a permissible policy tool .....	27
3. DACA is a permissible class-based deferred action policy .....	31
4. The agency’s assertion that DACA is illegal rests on a mistaken legal premise .....	34

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
B. The agency’s explanation for its decision does not satisfy the APA’s requirements for reasoned decisionmaking.....	41
C. Alternative rationales advanced by petitioners during this litigation cannot save the termination decision .....	45
1. “Litigation risk” .....	45
1. Former Secretary Nielsen’s policy rationales .....	47
III. The judgments of the courts below should be affirmed.....	52
Conclusion.....	55

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.