

Nos. 18-587, 18-588, 18-589

In the Supreme Court of the United States

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Respondents.

DONALD J. TRUMP, President of the United States, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT
OF COLORED PEOPLE, et al.,
Respondents.

KEVIN K. MCALEENAN,
Acting Secretary of Homeland Security, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

MARTIN JONATHAN BATALLA VIDAL, et al.,
Respondents.

ON WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, AND SECOND CIRCUITS

**BRIEF FOR THE STATES OF NEW YORK, MASSACHUSETTS,
WASHINGTON, COLORADO, CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE,
HAWAII, ILLINOIS, IOWA, NEW MEXICO, NORTH CAROLINA,
OREGON, PENNSYLVANIA, RHODE ISLAND, VERMONT, AND
VIRGINIA, AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
RESPONDENTS IN NO. 18-589**

LETITIA JAMES
Attorney General of New York
BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD*
Solicitor General
ANISHA S. DASGUPTA
Deputy Solicitor General
ANDREW W. AMEND
Assistant Deputy Solicitor General
DAVID S. FRANKEL
Assistant Solicitor General
28 Liberty Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 416-8020
barbara.underwood@ag.ny.gov
**Counsel of Record*

(Additional counsel on signature pages)

**COUNTERSTATEMENT OF
QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

1. Whether petitioners' September 2017 decision to terminate Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) is judicially reviewable.

2. Whether petitioners' decision to terminate DACA was lawful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION.....	1
STATEMENT	3
A. Factual Background	3
1. Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA).....	3
2. Defendants’ Termination of DACA	7
B. Procedural Background.....	10
1. This suit in the Eastern District of New York.....	10
2. Parallel proceedings in other federal courts	11
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	13
ARGUMENT.....	16
I. The Termination of DACA Is Judicially Reviewable.....	16
A. Petitioners Chose to Base the Termination Solely on a Legal Conclusion About Their Authority.....	17
1. Petitioners expressly rested the termination on a conclusion that DACA was unlawful.	17
2. Petitioners cannot retroactively inject new considerations into their termination decision.	20
B. The APA Permits Review of an Agency’s Conclusion that Its Authorizing Statutes Forbid a Particular Action.	22

	Page
1. An agency’s determination about the limits of its authority is not a discretionary non-enforcement decision.	23
2. Petitioners misconstrue <i>Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers</i>	26
3. 8 U.S.C. § 1252(g) does not bar review here.	29
II. Petitioners’ Termination of DACA Was Arbitrary and Capricious.....	30
A. Petitioners’ Asserted Conclusion That DACA Was Illegal Rested on Substantial Errors of Fact and Law.	31
1. Petitioners erroneously assumed that DACA prevented agency officials from exercising discretion.	31
2. Petitioners purported to rely on the holding of a case that contained no such holding.	34
B. Petitioners’ Evaluation of DACA Omitted Essential Factors.	35
1. Petitioners failed to address significant differences between DACA and DAPA.	36
2. Petitioners failed to explain their change in position or to consider the significant interests affected.	39
C. Petitioners’ Defective Legal Analysis Led to a Flawed Legal Conclusion.....	43

	Page
D. This Court Cannot Uphold Petitioners’ Justifications for the Termination Without a Full Administrative Record.....	51
III. The States Have Sufficiently Stated an Equal Protection Claim.	53
CONCLUSION	57

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.