

Nos. 18-587, 18-588, and 18-589

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
DEPARTMENT OF
HOMELAND SECURITY, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

REGENTS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Respondents.

—◆—
**On Writ Of Certiorari To The
United States Court Of Appeals
For The Ninth Circuit**

—◆—
**BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE
SOUTHEASTERN LEGAL FOUNDATION
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

—◆—
KIMBERLY S. HERMANN
SOUTHEASTERN LEGAL
FOUNDATION
560 W. Crossville Rd.,
Ste. 104
Roswell, GA 30075

KURT R. HILBERT
Counsel of Record
KELLY H. BROLLY
THE HILBERT
LAW FIRM, LLC
205 Norcross St.
Roswell, GA 30075
(770) 551-9310
khilbert@hilbertlaw.com
Counsel for Amicus Curiae

August 26, 2019

[Additional Captions Listed On Inside Cover]

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

DONALD J. TRUMP,
President of the United States, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE
ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE, et al.,
Respondents.

**On Writ Of Certiorari Before Judgment
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The District Of Columbia Circuit**

KEVIN K. McALEENAN,
Acting Secretary of Homeland Security, et al.,
Petitioners,

v.

MARTIN JONATHAN BATALLA VIDAL, et al.,
Respondents.

**On Writ Of Certiorari Before Judgment
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Second Circuit**

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

This dispute concerns the policy of immigration enforcement discretion known as Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA). In 2016, this Court affirmed, by an equally divided vote, a decision of the Fifth Circuit holding that two related Department of Homeland Security (DHS) discretionary enforcement policies, including an expansion of the DACA policy, were likely unlawful and should be enjoined. *See United States v. Texas*, 136 S. Ct. 2271 (per curiam). In September 2017, DHS determined that the original DACA policy was unlawful and would likely be struck down by the courts on the same grounds as the related policies. DHS thus instituted an orderly wind-down of the DACA policy. The questions presented are as follows:

1. Whether DHS's decision to wind down the DACA policy is judicially reviewable.
2. Whether DHS's decision to wind down the DACA policy is lawful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iii
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE.....	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	1
ARGUMENT.....	3
I. DACA’s rescission was not arbitrary and capricious because DACA is unlawful.....	3
A. DACA violates the APA because it did not go through notice-and-comment	7
B. DACA violates the INA, the Constitution, and international treaty law.....	12
II. Courts cannot unilaterally expand the APA’s standard of review.....	17
CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Arizona v. United States</i> , 567 U.S. 387 (2012)	2
<i>Block v. Cmty. Nutrition Inst.</i> , 467 U.S. 340 (1984)	19
<i>Chamber of Commerce of the United States v. Reich</i> , 74 F.3d 1322 (D.C. Cir. 1996)	16
<i>Chrysler Corp. v. Brown</i> , 441 U.S. 281 (1979)	7, 9
<i>City of Arlington, Tex. v. FCC</i> , 133 S. Ct. 1863 (2013)	1, 7
<i>Consol. Edison Co. v. NLRB</i> , 305 U.S. 197 (1938)	16
<i>Dickinson v. Zurko</i> , 527 U.S. 150 (1999)	18
<i>FCC v. Fox Television Stations, Inc.</i> , 556 U.S. 502 (2009)	6, 8, 18
<i>Finley v. United States</i> , 490 U.S. 545 (1989)	4
<i>Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Over- sight Bd.</i> , 130 S. Ct. 3138 (2010)	1
<i>Hearst Radio v. FCC</i> , 167 F.2d 225 (D.C. Cir. 1948)	19
<i>Heckler v. Chaney</i> , 470 U.S. 821 (1985)	17
<i>Hines v. Davidowitz</i> , 312 U.S. 52 (1941)	2
<i>Morton v. Ruiz</i> , 415 U.S. 199 (1974)	9, 11
<i>Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i> , 463 U.S. 29 (1983)	16, 17, 19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.