### In The Supreme Court of the United States

CHAD WOLF, ACTING SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY, ET AL.,

Petitioners,

MARTÍN JONATHAN BATALLA VIDAL, ET AL.,

v.

Respondents.

On Writ Of Certiorari Before Judgment To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Second Circuit

#### MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF AFTER ORAL ARGUMENT, AND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

TRUDY S. REBERT NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER P.O. Box 721361 Jackson Heights, NY 11372 (646) 867-8793

ARACELI MARTÍNEZ-OLGUÍN MAYRA B. JOACHIN NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER 3450 Wilshire Blvd. #108-62 Los Angeles, CA 90010 (213) 639-3900

AMY S. TAYLOR PAIGE AUSTIN MAKE THE ROAD NEW YORK 301 Grove Street Brooklyn, NY 11237 (718) 418-7690

DOCKE

RM

MICHAEL J. WISHNIE Counsel of Record MUNEER I. AHMAD MARISOL ORIHUELA JEROME N. FRANK LEGAL SERVICES ORGANIZATION P.O. Box 209090 New Haven, CT 06520 (203) 432-4800 michael.wishnie@yale.edu

KAREN C. TUMLIN Cooperating Attorney JEROME N. FRANK LEGAL SERVICES ORGANIZATION P.O. Box 209090 New Haven, CT 06520 (323) 316-0944

Counsel for Batalla Vidal Non-State Respondents

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964 WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

### MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF AFTER ORAL ARGUMENT, AND SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 25.6 and 25.7, non-State respondents Martín Batalla Vidal, et al., move for leave to file a supplemental brief presenting new information that was not available at the time of oral argument.

First, this case involves whether the decision to terminate DACA must be vacated because, among other reasons, the Department of Homeland Security did not adequately assess the relevant reliance interests when it terminated the program. See Br. of DACA Recipient Respondents at 34 ("Here, the government never considered the 'disruption' its policy 'would have on the lives of DACA recipients, let alone their families, employers and employees, schools and communities.") (citing Regents Pet. App. 60a); see also Transcript of Oral Argument at 23-24. The COVID-19 pandemic, and resulting mobilization of resources, provide a vivid illustration of some of the reliance interests engendered by the program that the agency failed to consider – namely, those borne by healthcare and other essential service providers that employ DACA recipients. While the agency could not have predicted the pandemic, at the very least it was required to give adequate consideration to the significant adverse consequences of termination for these and other key societal actors who rely on and benefit from the work of DACA recipients. It failed to do so.

DOCKE

Second, the question of whether DACA recipients would be deported if the program were terminated was raised at oral argument. Tr. at 48-9. The federal government recently clarified its plans regarding the deportation of DACA recipients with final orders of removal.

Respectfully submitted,

TRUDY S. REBERT NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER P.O. Box 721361 Jackson Heights, NY 11372 (646) 867-8793

ARACELI MARTÍNEZ-OLGUÍN MAYRA B. JOACHIN NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER 3450 Wilshire Blvd. #108-62 Los Angeles, CA 90010 (213) 639-3900

AMY S. TAYLOR PAIGE AUSTIN MAKE THE ROAD NEW YORK 301 Grove Street Brooklyn, NY 11237 (718) 418-7690

April 2, 2020

MICHAEL J. WISHNIE *Counsel of Record* MUNEER I. AHMAD MARISOL ORIHUELA JEROME N. FRANK LEGAL SERVICES ORGANIZATION P.O. Box 209090 New Haven, CT 06520 (203) 432-4800 michael.wishnie@yale.edu

KAREN C. TUMLIN Cooperating Attorney JEROME N. FRANK LEGAL SERVICES ORGANIZATION P.O. Box 209090 New Haven, CT 06520 (323) 316-0944

Counsel for Batalla Vidal Non-State Respondents

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

i

Page

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR RESPONDENTS	
MARTÍN JONATHAN BATALLA VIDAL ET	
AL	1

### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### OTHER AUTHORITIES

Matthew Albence, Acting Dir., Immigr. & Cus-	
toms Enforcement, Public Safety Media Brief-	
ing (Jan. 23, 2020)	4
Resources and Authorities Needed to Protect and	
Secure the Homeland: Hearing Before the S.	
Comm. on Homeland Sec. and Governmental	

Comm. on Homeland Sec. and Governmental	
Affairs, 116th Cong. (2020)	4

### BRIEFS

Brief for 109 Cities, Counties, et al. as Amicus Curiae Supporting Respondents	3
Brief for Ass'n of Am. Medical Colleges as Ami- cus Curiae Supporting Respondents1,	<b>2</b>
Brief for Nineteen Coll. and Univ. as Amicus Cu- riae Supporting Respondents	3
Brief for SEIU, AFL-CIO, and AFSCME as Ami- cus Curiae Supporting Respondents	3
Brief for United We Dream as Amicus Curiae Supporting Respondents	3

### SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR RESPONDENTS MARTÍN JONATHAN BATALLA VIDAL ET AL.

Batalla Vidal-Respondents submit this brief to advise the Court of the bearing on this matter of the COVID-19 pandemic and the current national state of emergency. *Batalla Vidal*-Respondents argue that the decision to terminate DACA must be vacated because, among other reasons, the agency did not adequately assess the relevant reliance interests when it terminated the program. The public health crisis now confronting our nation illuminates the depth of those interests as borne by employers, civil society, state and local governments, and communities across the country, and especially by healthcare and other essential services providers. Furthermore, it throws into sharp relief DACA recipients' important contributions to the country and the significant adverse consequences of eliminating their ability to live and work without fear of imminent deportation. These are the very consequences the agency failed to consider.

Healthcare providers on the frontlines of our nation's fight against COVID-19 rely significantly upon DACA recipients to perform essential work. Approximately 27,000 DACA recipients are healthcare workers—including nurses, dentists, pharmacists, physician assistants, home health aides, technicians, and other staff—and nearly 200 are medical students, residents, and physicians. Brief for Ass'n of Am. Medical Colleges as Amicus Curiae Supporting Respondents at 2-3. The pandemic sheds new light on the reliance interests of healthcare providers and the

DOCKE

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.