

No. _____

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

R. S. RAGHAVENDRA, Founder,
Racial Equality Struggles For Columbia University
Employees (RESCUE) Ad Hoc Committee,
Petitioner,

v.

JANE E. BOOTH, THE TRUSTEES OF
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, ET AL.,
Respondents.

In. Re. R. S. RAGHAVENDRA

*On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
(on Mandamus Petition) to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit*

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

R (Randy) S. Raghavendra, *M.B.A.*
RESCUE Ad Hoc Committee
P.O. Box 7066,
Hicksville, New York, 11802-7066
RSRaghavendra@Yahoo.Com
(646) 229-9971
Petitioner

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

On July 11, 2018, by disregarding even the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's recent 2016 finding, the Appeals Court denied Petitioner's Writ of Mandamus petition to reverse a permanent injunction issued by non-recusing District Court Judge Paul A. Crotty -- against whom Criminal Complaints are already pending before U.S. Attorney/FBI and New York State Attorney General for obstruction of justice and other corrupt practices to induce payment of at least a \$215,000 "BRIBE" in the guise of bogus attorney fees to his friend/ financial-interest and Petitioner's own one-of-six-cases/out-going/40-hours Attorney Louis Stober by Defendant Columbia University in exchange for betraying, masterminding an elaborate fraud scheme, and also engaging in other disbarable attorney misconduct by demanding even totally baseless, unprecedented, and UNTHINKABLE \$5,000/day fines against his own client (Plaintiff) to:

- (1) prevent a \$200 Million Dollars (Coca Cola & TEXACO-Style) Class Action against Columbia;
- (2) indefinitely stay the already scheduled jury trial as ordered by New York State Supreme Court Justice Joan Kenney; and
- (3) prevent completion of expressly agreed arbitration for immediate organization of an Anti-Discrimination Minority Employees Association even after the period (2003-2009) of that 265-years old prestigious University's worst racial crisis.

Previously, on July 30, 2009, Columbia attorneys, in collusion with his own one-of-six-cases/out-going/40-hours attorney Stober induced Petitioner – a 57-years old, highly respected executive with the spirit of Dr. Martin Luther King – to sign a 2-Page Arbitration Contract by using false pretexts and promises to complete an expressly agreed arbitration under exclusive jurisdiction of labor arbitrator, Martin F. Scheinman, for immediate organization of the first Equal Opportunity Promoting “Minority Employees Association” at 265-years old Columbia.

The FOUR questions presented are as follows:

- I. **Did the Appeals Court Err or Violate Petitioner’s First Amendment Right to Petition the Courts by Failing to Issue a Writ of Mandamus for Reversing the Permanent Injunction Issued by Non-Recusing District Judge Paul A. Crotty – Who Has Also Been Criminally Charged with Obstruction of Justice and Aiding & Abetting Perjury and Fraud to Induce Payment of At least a \$215,000 Bribe in Guise of Bogus Attorney Fees to His Friend/”Financial-interest and Petitioner’s Own One-of-Six-Cases/Out-Going/Client-Betraying/40-Hours Attorney Stober?**

- II. **Did the Appeals Court Err by Failing to Enforce the Federal Arbitration Act and Chevron Doctrine by Not Compelling Expressly Agreed Arbitration Under Jurisdiction of the Labor Arbitrator, Martin F. Scheinman, for Organization of the EEOC-Authorized First Equal Opportunity Promoting “Minority**

Employees Association” at the 265-Years old
Columbia University?

- III. Did the Appeals Court Err by Failing to Enforce
**the Seventh Amendment of the United
States Constitution and 28 U.S.C. § 2283
(Anti-Injunction Act/Younger Abstention)**
by Not Issuing a Declaratory Order Allowing
Petitioner to Complete Already Scheduled
But (Fraudulently) Stayed Jury Trial that was
Ordered by New York State Supreme Court
Justice Joan Kenney in His 2003 Main Action
Where Defendant Columbia President Lee C.
Bollinger Would Have Been Compelled to
Testify Regarding His Prestigious University’s
Institutionalized Race Discrimination
Practices?
- IV. Did the Appeals Court Err by Failing to Enforce
**28 U.S.C. § 455 (Federal Judge Recusal
Law) and Code of Judicial Conduct** by Not
Ordering the Recusal of District Judge Crotty
-- Who Had Openly Engaged in Various Corrupt
Practices to Legitimize the Payment of At Least
**a \$215,000 Bribe in the Guise of Bogus
Attorney Fees to His Friend/Financial-
Interest** and Petitioner’s Own One-of-Six-Cases
/Client-Betraying/40-Hours Attorney Stober by
the Powerful Defendant Columbia University?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING¹ AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE

Petitioner is R (Randy) S. Raghavendra, Founder of the Racial Equality Struggles for Columbia University Employees (RESCUE) Ad Hoc Committee. Petitioner is not a corporation.

Respondents are Jane E. Booth, General Counsel of Columbia University, and The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York (a private institution of higher education). Hon. Paul A. Crotty is the district judge against whom the Writ of Mandamus Petition is being sought.

¹ This writ of certiorari petition is based on the most recently filed 17-cv-4480 (Continuing Employment Discrimination & Retaliation and Breach of 2009 Arbitration Contract) case that was originally assigned to District Judge Robert W. Sweet but was improperly transferred to the non-recusing District Court Judge Paul A. Crotty who had repeatedly denied any kind of fact-finding whatsoever in this matter during the past eight years in any of the Petitioner's improperly dismissed three other cases and without allowing for the completion of the expressly agreed arbitration under . Judge Crotty had openly condoned perjury, attorney fraud and other misconduct and has obstructed the independent prosecution of any "perjury, fraud and bribery" related claims in any other courts.

As of July 2009, Petitioner had an impending \$200 Million Dollars (Coca Cola & TEXACO-Style) Class Action on behalf of thousands of alleged victims of institutionalized discrimination in employment and three other already pending actions. Petitioner had two main pro se cases in the New York State Supreme Court and two supplementary cases in the Federal District Court. One-of-six-Cases/Out-Going/40-hours/attorney Louis Stober was attorney on record in one and only the 2006 (back-pay damages) case.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.