

No. _____

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
CARL M. BURNETT,

Petitioner,

v.

PANASONIC CORPORATION, PANASONIC
CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA,
PANASONIC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
CORPORATION OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

—◆—
**On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court Of Appeals
For The Federal Circuit**

—◆—
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

—◆—
CARL M. BURNETT
12909 Hawkshead Terrace
Silver Spring, MD 20904
(240) 355-1128
cmburn@live.com

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- 1) Whether electronic data is the tangible embodiment of an electromagnetic analog or digital signal and when changed to a new and useful form of electronic data remains a tangible embodiment of an electromagnetic analog or digital signal and is therefore directed to patent-eligible subject matter within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 101 as interpreted by this Court.
- 2) Whether a process that creates a new and useful tangible embodiment of electronic data is therefore directed to patent-eligible subject matter within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 101 as interpreted by this Court.

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

All parties to the proceeding are identified in the caption.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS PRESENTED	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	1
STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	1
INTRODUCTION	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
I. STATUTORY BACKGROUND	4
II. PROCEEDINGS BELOW.....	8
A. The Invention	8
B. Industry Standards	11
1. Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers (“SMPTE”) Standards	11
2. Internet Engineering Task Force (“IETF”) Standard.....	12
C. Lower Court Proceedings.....	13
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.....	14
I. THE HOLDINGS BY THE CAFC CONFLICT WITH SCIENTIFIC FACTS THAT ELECTRONIC DATA IS THE TANGIBLE EMBODIMENT OF AN ELECTROMAGNETIC ANALOG OR DIGITAL SIGNAL.....	16

TABLE OF CONTENTS – Continued

	Page
II. IMMEDIATE INTERVENTION IS REQUIRED BY THIS COURT TO PREVENT THE CAFC HOLDINGS FROM RENDERING INADMISSIBLE ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AS EVIDENCE IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.....	28
CONCLUSION	34
APPENDIX A: <i>Burnett v. Panasonic Corp.</i> , No. 18-1234, 2018 U.S. App. LEXIS 19843 (Fed.Cir. 2018).....	App. 1
APPENDIX B: <i>Burnett v. Panasonic Corp. of N. Am.</i> , 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 203808 (U.S. Dist. Md. 2017).....	App. 15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.