



### **APPENDIX**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A	Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint in the United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York (February 26, 2019)
Appendix B	Order in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (March 13, 2019) App. 16



### App. 1

### APPENDIX A

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

[Filed February 26, 2019]

Chapter 11 Case No. 11-15463 (SHL) (Jointly Administered)

In re:	_ )
AMR CORPORATION, et al.,	
Debtors.	)
	_ )
Adv. Proc. No. 13-01392	(SH
CAROLYN FJORD, et al.,	_ )
Plaintiffs,	)
Transmis,	)
v.	)
AMR CORPORATION, AMERICAN	)
AIRLINES, US AIRWAYS GROUP,	)
INC. and US AIRWAYS, INC.,	)
Defendants,	)
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF	)
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF	)



App. 2	
UNSECURED CREDITORS,	)
As Intervenor.	,

### ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SECOND AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended and Supplemental Complaint to Allege Injury and Damages Under Section 4 of the Clayton Act and Demand for Jury Trial (Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 and Bankruptcy Rule 7015) (the "Motion") [Adv. Proc. ECF No. 189] and Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion (the "Memo of Law") [Adv. Proc. ECF No. 190]. The Motion requests that the Court grant leave to file a second amended and supplemental complaint to add a claim for treble damages and a demand for a jury trial.

### **BACKGROUND**

The history of the Debtors' bankruptcy case and this five-year-old adversary proceeding has been previously set forth in prior decisions, familiarity with which is assumed. See, e.g., Fjord v. AMR Corp. (In re AMR Corp.), 506 B.R. 368, 373-76 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2014) ("Fjord I"); Fjord v. AMR Corp. (In re AMR Corp.), 527 B.R. 874, 878-80 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2015) ("Fjord II"). But to understand the Court's decision today on the Motion, we must revisit some of that history, including previous iterations of the complaint.



### App. 3

In August 2013, Plaintiffs filed this adversary proceeding against US Airways, AMR Corporation, and American Airlines, seeking to enjoin the entities' proposed merger that formed the basis of the Debtors' plan of reorganization. Plaintiffs claimed the proposed merger would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Antitrust Act. Subsequent to the filing of this case, the United States Department of Justice filed its own antitrust suit against the merger in August 2013—an action that was settled in November 2013. Plaintiffs' request for a temporary restraining order to block the merger was subsequently denied, see Fjord v. AMR Corp. (In re AMR Corp.), 502 B.R. 23 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2013), and the merger went forward at the end of 2013.

In early 2014, Plaintiffs for the first time moved to amend their complaint by adding new factual allegations, a claim for damages, a demand for a jury trial, and modifications to the declaratory relief sought. See Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint to Add Damages Claim (the "First Motion") [Adv. Proc. ECF No. 91]. The Court granted Plaintiffs' requests to add new factual declarations and modify the request for declaratory relief but denied Plaintiffs' claim for damages and demand for a jury trial. See Fjord I, 506 B.R. 368. Plaintiffs subsequently filed an amended complaint consistent with the Court's ruling (the "April 2014 Complaint") [Adv. Proc. ECF No. 103]. The April 2014 Complaint remains the operative complaint in this case.

Plaintiffs subsequently sought to further amend the April 2014 Complaint. See Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint (the "Second

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

