

No. 18-1150

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

—◆—
STATE OF GEORGIA, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.,

Respondent.

—◆—
**On Writ Of Certiorari To The
United States Court Of Appeals
For The Eleventh Circuit**

—◆—
**BRIEF OF 36 COMPUTATIONAL
LAW SCHOLARS AS *AMICI CURIAE*
IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

—◆—
MICHAEL A. LIVERMORE
Counsel of Record
Professor of Law
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA SCHOOL OF LAW
580 Massie Road
Charlottesville, VA 22903
Tel: (434) 982-6224
mlivermore@virginia.edu

CHARLOTTE S. ALEXANDER
Associate Professor of Law and Analytics
J. MACK ROBINSON COLLEGE OF BUSINESS
GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY
35 Broad Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30303
Tel: (404) 413-7468
calexander@gsu.edu

ANNE M. TUCKER
Professor of Law
GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF LAW
85 Park Place, NE
Atlanta, GA 30303
Tel: (404) 413-9179
amtucker@gsu.edu

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Interest of <i>Amici Curiae</i> | 1 |
| Summary of the Argument..... | 1 |
| Argument..... | 4 |
| I. Extending copyright protection to official annotations of state statutes will inhibit legal scholarship | 4 |
| A. Digitized, publicly available legal texts facilitate the use of computational tools in legal scholarship..... | 4 |
| B. Open access to large volumes of digital texts is required for many forms of computational legal analysis | 9 |
| C. Official annotations are legal texts that can be usefully analyzed by scholars.... | 12 |
| II. Computational legal scholarship builds on a long tradition of scholarly synthesis of legal materials that courts have found useful..... | 14 |
| A. Commentaries, treatises, and related forms of legal scholarship have proven useful to courts | 16 |
| B. Computational tools are well suited to continue the tradition of interpretive legal scholarship by synthesizing large volumes of texts | 23 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

| | Page |
|--|------|
| III. Official annotations such as Georgia’s are created by state action, and as such are government edicts rather than legal commentary | 27 |
| A. The Eleventh Circuit’s “hallmarks” clearly identify official legal texts | 28 |
| B. Copyright will continue to protect works of law scholarship, including annotations, that have not been officially endorsed by the state | 30 |
| Conclusion..... | 31 |
| APPENDIX | |
| List of Signatories | 1a |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page |
|--|--------|
| CASES | |
| <i>Betterman v. Montana</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1609 (2016) | 18 |
| <i>Bradlie v. Md. Ins. Co.</i> , 37 U.S. 378 (1838)..... | 18 |
| <i>Code Revision Comm’n for General Assembly of Georgia v. Public.Resource.Org, Inc.</i> , 906 F.3d 1229 (11th Cir. 2018)..... | 28 |
| <i>Craig v. Provo City</i> , 389 P.3d 423 (Utah 2016) | 8 |
| <i>D.C. v. Heller</i> , 554 U.S. 570 (2008)..... | 17, 18 |
| <i>Fire Ins. Exch. v. Oltmanns</i> , 416 P.3d 1148 (Utah 2018) | 8 |
| <i>Glebe v. Frost</i> , 574 U.S. 21 (2014) | 14 |
| <i>Green v. Bock Laundry Mach. Co.</i> , 490 U.S. 504 (1989)..... | 16 |
| <i>Kansas v. Nebraska</i> , 135 S. Ct. 1042 (2015)..... | 20 |
| <i>Kernan v. Cuero</i> , 138 S. Ct. 4 (2017) | 14 |
| <i>Kisor v. Wilkie</i> , 139 S. Ct. 2400 (2019) | 19, 21 |
| <i>Muddy Boys, Inc. v. DOC</i> , 440 P.3d 741 (Utah Ct. App. 2019)..... | 8 |
| <i>Norfolk & W. Ry. Co. v. Ayers</i> , 538 U.S. 135 (2003)..... | 20 |
| <i>People v. Harris</i> , 885 N.W.2d 832 (Mich. 2016) | 8, 13 |
| <i>Richards v. Cox</i> , No. 20180033, 2019 Utah LEXIS 157 (Utah Sept. 11, 2019) | 8, 13 |
| <i>Rimini Street Inc. et al. v. Oracle USA, Inc.</i> , 139 S. Ct. 873 (2019)..... | 6 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

| | Page |
|--|------------|
| <i>State v. Lantis</i> , No. 46171, 2019 Ida. LEXIS 127 (Idaho Aug. 23, 2019) | 8, 13 |
| <i>Stoneridge Inv. Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.</i> , 552 U.S. 148 (2008)..... | 18 |
| <i>Sun Oil Co. v. Wortman</i> , 486 U.S. 717 (1988)..... | 17 |
| <i>Surplus Trading Co. v. Cook</i> , 281 U.S. 647 (1930) | 18 |
| <i>The William Bageley</i> , 72 U.S. 377 (1866) | 18 |
| <i>U.S. v. Maine</i> , 475 U.S. 89 (1986)..... | 18 |
| <i>U.S. v. Wong Kim Ark</i> , 169 U.S. 649 (1898) | 18 |
| <i>Washington v. Glucksberg</i> , 521 U.S. 702 (1997) | 18 |
| <i>Wilson v. Safelite Grp., Inc.</i> , 930 F.3d 429 (6th Cir. 2019) | 8, 13 |
| OTHER AUTHORITIES | |
| 1767 ANNUAL REGISTER 286 (8th ed. 1809)..... | 17 |
| Albert W. Alschuler, <i>Rediscovering Blackstone</i> , 145 U. PA. L. REV. 1 (1996) | 15, 17, 18 |
| Charlotte S. Alexander, <i>Litigation Migrants</i> , 56 AM. BUS. L.J. 235 (2019) | 12 |
| Charlotte S. Alexander, <i>#MeToo and the Litiga- tion Funnel</i> , 22 EMPL. RTS. & EMPL. POL'Y J. 101 (2019) | 12 |
| American Law Reports, 23 A.L.R. FED. 878 (1975)..... | 22 |
| Henry W. Ballantine, BALLANTINE ON CORPORA- TIONS (rev. ed. 1946)..... | 19 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.