In the Supreme Court of the United States

STATE OF GEORGIA, ET AL., PETITIONERS,

υ.

PUBLIC.RESOURCE.ORG, INC.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONERS

Anthony B. Askew Lisa C. Pavento Warren J. Thomas Meunier Carlin & Curfman LLC 999 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 1300 Atlanta, GA 30309 (404) 645-7700

DANIEL R. ORTIZ
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
SCHOOL OF LAW
SUPREME COURT
LITIGATION CLINIC
580 Massie Road
Charlottesville, VA
22903

Jeremy C. Marwell
Joshua S. Johnson
Counsel of Record
Matthew X. Etchemendy
Vinson & Elkins LLP
2200 Pennsylvania Ave.,
NW, Suite 500 West
Washington, DC 20037
(202) 639-6500
joshjohnson@velaw.com

JOHN P. ELWOOD
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE
SCHOLER LLP
601 Massachusetts Ave.,
NW
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 942-5992



QUESTION PRESENTED

This Court has held, as a matter of "public policy," that judicial opinions are not copyrightable. *Banks* v. *Manchester*, 128 U.S. 244, 253-254 (1888). Based on that precedent, lower courts have held that certain other "government edicts" having the force of law, such as state statutes, are not eligible for copyright protection.

The question presented is:

Whether the government edicts doctrine extends to—and thus renders uncopyrightable—works that lack the force of law, such as the annotations in the Official Code of Georgia Annotated.



PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS

- 1. Petitioners, the State of Georgia and the Georgia Code Revision Commission, on behalf of and for the benefit of the General Assembly of Georgia, were plaintiffs and counter-defendants in the district court, and appellees below.
- 2. Respondent Public.Resource.Org, Inc., was the defendant and counter-claimant in the district court, and the appellant below.



III

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Question Presented	I
Parties To The Proceedings	II
Appendix Contents	
Table Of Authorities	VI
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Constitutional And Statutory Provisions In-	
volved	1
Introduction	1
Statement	5
Summary Of Argument	17
Argument	20
I. The Copyright Act's Text And Histor Establish The OCGA Annotation Copyrightability	ns'
A. Denying Copyright Protection Conflic With Plain Statutory Text	
B. The Act's History Confirms The Ann tations Are Copyrightable	
C. Copyright Office Guidance Suppor Georgia's Position	
II. This Court's Precedents Do Not Depring The OCGA's Annotations Of Copyright Protection	ht
A. Wheaton, Banks, And Callaghan On Preclude Copyrighting Works Havin	U



IV

	The Force Of Law, And Expressly Authorize Copyrighting Annotations	32
В.	Because The OCGA's Annotations Are Not The Law, They Are Not Subject To The Government Edicts Doctrine	40
С.	Regardless Of Its Theoretical Foundations, The Government Edicts Doctrine Does Not Justify Denying Copyright Protection To The OCGA's Annotations	43
Sul	e Eleventh Circuit's Approach Creates ostantial Uncertainty And Disruption thout Corresponding Benefit	55
Conclus	sion	58



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

