IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

GERALD LYNN BOSTOCK,

Petitioner,

v.

CLAYTON COUNTY, GEORGIA,

Respondent.

ALTITUDE EXPRESS, INC., AND RAY MAYNARD,

Petitioners,

MELISSA ZARDA AND WILLIAM MOORE, JR., CO-INDEPENDENT EXECUTORS OF THE ESTATE OF DONALD ZARDA

Respondents.

R.G. & G.R. HARRIS FUNERAL HOMES, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION AND AIMEE STEPHENS,

Respondents.

On Writs of Certiorari to the United States Courts of Appeals for the Eleventh, Second, and Sixth Circuits

BRIEF OF KENNETH B. MEHLMAN ET AL. AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF THE EMPLOYEES

ROY T. ENGLERT, JR.

Counsel of Record

LAURIE R. RUBENSTEIN

PETER A. GABRIELLI

CAROLYN M. FORSTEIN

ROBBINS, RUSSELL, ENGLERT, ORSECK,

UNTEREINER & SAUBER LLP

2000 K STREET NW 4TH FLR

WASHINGTON, DC 20006

(202) 775-4500

renglert@robbinsrussell.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIESii
INTEREST OF THE AMICI CURIAE 1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT2
ARGUMENT5
I. THE PLAIN TEXT OF TITLE VII PROHIBITS DISCRIMINATION BECAUSE OF AN INDIVIDUAL EMPLOYEE'S SEXUAL ORIENTATION OR TRANSGENDER STATUS
A. Discrimination Because of Sexual Orientation or Transgender Status Necessarily Constitutes Discrimination Because of Sex
B. Congressional "Intent" Does Not Override Unambiguous Text10
C. Title VII Prohibits Discrimination Against <i>Individuals</i> , Rather than Against Protected Classes at Large 15
II. PAST PRACTICE DOES NOT REQUIRE A CONTRARY RESULT
CONCLUSION 24



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases
Barnes v. Train, No. 1828-73, 1974 WL 10628 (D.D.C. Aug. 9, 1974), rev'd sub nom. Barnes v. Costle, 561 F.2d 983 (D.C. Cir. 1977)
Boyle v. United States, 556 U.S. 938 (2009)
Bridge v. Phoenix Bond & Indem. Co., 553 U.S. 639 (2008)
Buckhannon Bd. & Care Home, Inc. v. W. Va. Dep't of Health & Human Res., 532 U.S. 598 (2001)
City of Los Angeles, Dep't of Water & Power v. Manhart, 435 U.S. 702 (1978)
Corne v. Bausch & Lomb, Inc., 390 F. Supp. 161 (D. Ariz. 1975), vacated, 562 F.2d 55 (9th Cir. 1977)
EEOC v. Abercrombie & Fitch Stores, Inc., 135 S. Ct. 2028 (2015)
Food Mktg. Inst. v. Argus Leader Media, 588 U.S. (2019) (slip op.) 23



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

	Page(s)
Gen. Dynamics Land Sys., Inc. v. Cline, 540 U.S. 581 (2004)	15, 16
Gross v. FBL Fin. Servs., Inc., 557 U.S. 167 (2009)	6
Hively v. Ivy Tech Cmty. Coll., 853 F.3d 339 (7th Cir. 2017)	22
Keene Corp. v. United States, 508 U.S. 200 (1993)	23
Lewis v. City of Chicago, 560 U.S. 205 (2010)	11
McNally v. United States, 483 U.S. 350 (1987)	24
Meritor Sav. Bank, FSB v. Vinson, 477 U.S. 57 (1986)12, 13	3, 14, 15
Milner v. Dep't of Navy, 562 U.S. 562 (2011)	23
NLRB v. SW Gen., Inc., 137 S. Ct. 929 (2017)	10
Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Servs., 523 U.S. 75 (1998)	.passim
Pa. Dep't of Corr. v. Yeskey, 524 U.S. 206 (1998) 10, 11	1, 12, 16



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—Continued

Page(s)
Phillips v. Martin Marietta Corp., 400 U.S. 542 (1971)21, 22
Sandifer v. U.S. Steel Corp., 571 U.S. 220 (2014)
Sedima, S.P.R.L. v. Imrex Co., 473 U.S. 479 (1985)
Univ. of Tex. Sw. Med. Ctr. v. Nassar, 570 U.S. 338 (2013)
Constitution and statutes
U.S. CONST. Art. I, § 7
42 U.S.C. § 2000e 7
42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1)passim
42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(m)
42 U.S.C. § 12132
Other Authorities
CHAMBERS'S TWENTIETH CENTURY DICTIONARY (William Geddie ed., First American ed. 1965)
THE OXFORD ENGLISH DICTIONARY (1933) 8 17 18



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

