No. 17-

### IN THE

# Supreme Court of the United States

DRK PHOTO, a Sole Proprietorship,

Petitioner.

v.

MCGRAW-HILL GLOBAL EDUCATION HOLDINGS, LLC and McGraw-Hill School Education Holdings, LLC,

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the **United States Court of Appeals** for the Ninth Circuit

### PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

CHRISTOPHER SEIDMAN HARMON SEIDMAN BRUSS & KERR, LLC 101 South Third Street Suite 265 Grand Junction, CO 81501 New Hope, PA 18938 (970) 245-9075

MAURICE HARMON Counsel of Record HARMON SEIDMAN BRUSS & KERR, LLC 11 Chestnut Street (917) 561-4434maurice@harmonseidman.com

Counsel for Petitioner

February 2, 2018

DOCKE.

WILSON-EPES PRINTING CO., INC. - (202) 789-0096 - WASHINGTON, D. C. 20002

#### **QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

1. The Copyright Act provides that the "legal or beneficial owner" of copyright "is entitled" to institute an action for infringement, 17 U.S.C. § 501(b), but Section 501(b) does not say whether an assignee of an accrued infringement claim, who is not a copyright owner, has standing. The Ninth Circuit in this case held that Petitioner, a stock photography agency authorized to issue licenses for use of photographs and share licensing revenue, is not a copyright owner and therefore lacks standing to sue its licensee for infringing copyright. The Ninth Circuit so held even though 74 of Petitioner's contributing photographers executed assignments granting it "all copyright rights and complete legal title in the Images" at issue, together with accrued infringement claims.

The first question is: Whether an assignee of an accrued claim who is not a legal or beneficial owner of copyright has standing to sue for infringement, as the Fifth Circuit ruled in *Prather*,<sup>1</sup> or does not have standing, as the Ninth Circuit ruled in *Silvers*?<sup>2</sup>

2. The second question is: Whether an unequivocal transfer of copyright ownership, together with accrued claims, is effective to give the transferee the statutory right to sue as legal owner of copyright, even when the purpose of the transfer is to facilitate an infringement action?

3. The third question is: Whether "beneficial owner" – which the Copyright Act does not define – extends to an assignee of accrued claims who has pre-existing interests in the copyright and is injured by infringement?

DOCKE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prather v. Neva Paperbacks, Inc., 410 F.2d 698 (5th Cir. 1969).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Silvers v. Sony Pictures Entm't, Inc., 402 F.3d 881 (9th Cir. 2005).

### PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE

There are no parties to the proceedings other than those listed in the caption. Petitioner DRK Photo was plaintiff in the district court and appellant in the court of appeals. Respondents McGraw-Hill Global Education Holdings, LLC and McGraw-Hill School Education Holdings, LLC were defendants in the district court and appellees in the court of appeals.

Petitioner DRK Photo, a sole proprietorship, is not subject to the corporate disclosure requirements of S. Ct. Rule 29.6.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

QUESTION PRESENTED	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING AND	
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	vi
OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	1
STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	1
INTRODUCTION	2
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5
A. Statutory Background	5
B. The fragmented decision in <i>Silvers</i> and the bare-right-to-sue rule	6
C. The parties and the transfers of copyright ownership and accrued claims at issue	9
D. The District Court proceedings	11
E. The Ninth Circuit proceedings	12
1. The panel decision	12
2. Judge Berzon's concurrence	16
3. Denial of rehearing en banc	18
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	18

(iii)

# iv TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

Page
------

I.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE GRANTED	
	TO RESOLVE A CIRCUIT-SPLIT	
	REGARDING ASSIGNABILITY OF	
	COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT CLAIMS	
	TO A NON-OWNER OF COPY-RIGHT,	
	AND THE ASSIGNEE'S STANDING TO	
	PURSUE THEM	19
	A. <i>Silvers</i> conflicts with decisions of the	
	Fifth and Second Circuits	19
	B. The Ninth Circuit's bare-right-to-sue	
	rule is erroneous, and conflicts with	
	the statutory text and this Court's	
	precedents	24
II.	THE PETITION SHOULD ALSO BE	
	GRANTED TO RESOLVE CONFUSION	
	ABOUT TRANS-FERS OF COPYRIGHT	
	OWNERSHIP, AND THE EXTENT	
	OF "BENEFICIAL OWNER-SHIP" OF	
	COPYRIGHT	30
	A. The bare-right-to-sue rule leads to	
	wasteful litigation invalidating crystal	
	clear transfers of copyright ownership	
	for purposes of litigation	30
	B. The Court should take this oppor-	
	tunity to examine the important	
	question of who qualifies as "beneficial	
	owner" of copy-right under the 1976	
	Copyright Act	33

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.