

App. 1

NOT FOR PUBLICATION
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FROST-TSUJI ARCHITECTS,
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

HIGHWAY INN, INC.;
HO'OLA MAU, LLC;
BRYCE E. UYEHARA, A.I.A.,
INCORPORATED; IWAMOTO
AND ASSOCIATES, LLC; J.
KADOWAKI, INC.; PALEKANA
PERMITS LLC; BARGREEN
ELLINGSON OF HAWAII, INC.,
Defendants-Appellees.

No. 15-15802

D.C. No.

1:13-cv-00496-
SOM-BMK

MEMORANDUM*

(Filed
Oct. 26, 2017)

FROST-TSUJI ARCHITECTS,
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

HIGHWAY INN, INC.;
HO'OLA MAU, LLC;
BRYCE E. UYEHARA, A.I.A.,
INCORPORATED; J.
KADOWAKI, INC.; IWAMOTO
AND ASSOCIATES, LLC;

No. 16-15562

D.C. No.

1:13-cv-00496-
SOM-BMK

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

App. 2

PALEKANA PERMITS LLC;
BARGREEN ELLINGSON OF
HAWAII, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees.

FROST-TSUJI ARCHITECTS,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

HIGHWAY INN, INC.;
HO'OLA MAU, LLC;
BRYCE E. UYEHARA,
A.I.A., INCORPORATED,

Defendants-Appellees,

J. KADOWAKI, INC.,

Defendant-Appellant,

IWAMOTO AND
ASSOCIATES, LLC;
PALEKANA PERMITS LLC;
BARGREEN ELLINGSON
OF HAWAII, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 16-15799

D.C. No.

1:13-cv-00496-
SOM-BMK

FROST-TSUJI ARCHITECTS,
Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

No. 16-15802

D.C. No.

1:13-cv-00496-
SOM-BMK

HIGHWAY INN, INC.;
HO'OLA MAU, LLC,
 Defendants-Appellants,
BRYCE E. UYEHARA, A.I.A.,
INCORPORATED; J.
KADOWAKI, INC.; IWAMOTO
AND ASSOCIATES, LLC;
PALEKANA PERMITS LLC;
BARGREEN ELLINGSON OF
HAWAII, INC.,
 Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Hawaii
Susan O. Mollway, District Judge, Presiding
Argued and Submitted October 12, 2017
U. of Hawaii Manoa

Before: SCHROEDER, D.W. NELSON, and McKEOWN,
Circuit Judges.

Frost-Tsuji Architects brought this action against Highway Inn, Inc., a former client, and Ho'ola Mau, LLC, J. Kadowaki, Inc., Bargreen Ellingson, Inc., Bryce E. Uyehara, A.I.A., Inc., Palekana Permits, LLC, and Iwamoto & Associates, LLC (collectively, "Highway Inn"), alleging that Highway Inn (1) infringed Frost-Tsuji's copyright in architectural plans for a new restaurant, and (2) removed Frost-Tsuji's copyright management information ("CMI") from the plans in violation of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"), 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b)(1). The district court

App. 4

granted summary judgment in favor of Highway Inn. The district court then denied Frost-Tsuji's motions for reconsideration of the summary judgment orders. The court awarded Highway Inn attorneys' fees and costs incurred in litigating the DMCA claim and in litigating the copyright infringement claim after the district court's August 26, 2014 summary judgment order.

We review de novo the order granting summary judgment on Frost-Tsuji's copyright infringement claim, *Wolfe v. BNSF Ry. Co.*, 749 F.3d 859, 863 (9th Cir. 2014), and we affirm for the reasons stated in the district court's order entered August 26, 2014.

We review de novo the order granting summary judgment on Frost-Tsuji's CMI removal claim under the DMCA, *id.*, and we affirm for the reasons stated in the district court's order entered November 7, 2014.

We review for abuse of discretion the order denying Frost-Tsuji's motion for reconsideration of the November 7, 2014 summary judgment order, *389 Orange St. Partners v. Arnold*, 179 F.3d 656, 661 (9th Cir. 1999), and we affirm for the reasons stated in the district court's order entered January 21, 2015.

We review for abuse of discretion the order denying Frost-Tsuji's motion for reconsideration of the August 26, 2014 summary judgment order, *id.*, and we affirm for the reasons stated in the district court's order entered January 23, 2015.

We review for abuse of discretion the order awarding Highway Inn attorneys' fees and costs under

App. 5

the Copyright Act, *Maljack Productions, Inc. v. GoodTimes Home Video Corp.*, 81 F.3d 881, 889 (9th Cir. 1996), and under the DMCA, *see Polar Bear Productions, Inc. v. Timex Corp.*, 384 F.3d 700, 719 (9th Cir. 2004), and we affirm for the reasons stated in the district court's order entered March 30, 2015.

AFFIRMED.

Each party shall bear its own costs and fees on appeal.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.