No. _____

In The Supreme Court of the United States

OIL STATES ENERGY SERVICES, LLC,

. 🌢

Petitioner,

v.

GREENE'S ENERGY GROUP, LLC,

Respondent.

On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Federal Circuit

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

C. ERIK HAWES ALLYSON N. HO WILLIAM R. PETERSON Counsel of Record MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP JOHN C. SULLIVAN 1000 Louisiana Street, MORGAN, LEWIS & Suite 4000 BOCKIUS LLP Houston, Texas 77002 1717 Main Street, T. 713.890.5000 Suite 3200 F. 713.890.5001 Dallas, Texas 75201 T. 214.466.4000

F. 214.466.4001 allyson.ho@morganlewis.com

Counsel for Petitioner

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964 WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether *inter partes* review—an adversarial process used by the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) to analyze the validity of existing patents—violates the Constitution by extinguishing private property rights through a non-Article III forum without a jury.

2. Whether the amendment process implemented by the PTO in *inter partes* review conflicts with this Court's decision in *Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC* v. *Lee*, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016), and congressional direction.

3. Whether the "broadest reasonable interpretation" of patent claims—upheld in *Cuozzo* for use in *inter partes* review—requires the application of traditional claim construction principles, including disclaimer by disparagement of prior art and reading claims in light of the patent's specification.

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS AND RULE 29.6 STATEMENT

The parties to the proceedings include those listed on the cover.

Oil States Energy Services, L.L.C., formerly known as Stinger Wellhead Protection, Inc., is a wholly owned subsidiary of Oil States Energy Services Holding, Inc., which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Oil States International, Inc., a publicly traded company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	age
QUESTIONS PRESENTED	i
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDINGS AND RULE 29.6 STATEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI	1
OPINIONS AND ORDERS BELOW	1
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVI- SIONS INVOLVED	2
STATEMENT	2
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION	10
I. Inter Partes Review Conflicts With This Court's Cases Upholding The Constitu- tional Guarantees Of A Jury And An Arti- cle III Court For Patent Invalidation	11
A. This Court's Precedent Confirms That The Seventh Amendment Provides Pa- tent Owners With A Right To A Jury In Invalidation Proceedings	12
B. This Court's Precedent Confirms That Patent Owners Have A Right To An Ar- ticle III Forum For Invalidation Pro-	10
ceedings	16

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

Page

II.	The PTO's Standards For Amending Pa- tents Conflict With The Broadest Reason- able Interpretation Standard Upheld In <i>Cuozzo</i> And The Statutory Scheme	19
III.	The Federal Circuit Is Conflicted In Its Application Of Traditional Principles Of Claim Construction	26
IV.	The Constitutionality And Administration Of Patent Adjudications Is Unquestiona- bly Important And Squarely Presented Here	32
V.	Notwithstanding The Federal Circuit's Cursory Treatment, This Case Is An Ap- propriate Vehicle To Resolve The Im- portant Questions Presented	35
CON	CLUSION	37

APPENDIX

United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, Judgment, dated May 4, 2016App. 1
Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Final Written Decision, dated May 1, 2015 App. 3
United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, Order, dated July 26, 2016App. 37
Relevant Statutory Provisions App. 39

iv

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.