

No. 15-927

---

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

SCA HYGIENE PRODUCTS AKTIEBOLAG  
AND SCA PERSONAL CARE, INC.,  
*Petitioners,*

*v.*

FIRST QUALITY BABY PRODUCTS, LLC, FIRST QUALITY  
HYGIENIC, INC., FIRST QUALITY PRODUCTS, INC.,  
AND FIRST QUALITY RETAIL SERVICES, LLC,  
*Respondents.*

---

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE  
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

---

**BRIEF FOR RESPONDENTS**

---

MARK C. FLEMING  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
60 State Street  
Boston, MA 02109

KENNETH P. GEORGE  
CHARLES R. MACEDO  
MARK BERKOWITZ  
AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN  
& EBENSTEIN LLP  
90 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10016

SETH P. WAXMAN  
*Counsel of Record*  
THOMAS G. SAUNDERS  
MATTHEW GUARNIERI  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 663-6000  
seth.waxman@wilmerhale.com

JASON D. HIRSCH  
HANNA A. BAEK  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
7 World Trade Center  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007

---

## QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether laches is available as a defense under the Patent Act to bar claims for damages.

(i)

## CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

First Quality Baby Products, LLC; First Quality Hygienic, Inc.; First Quality Products, Inc.; and First Quality Retail Services, LLC are privately held companies, and no publicly held company owns 10% or more of the stock of any of them.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED .....	i
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	vi
INTRODUCTION .....	1
STATEMENT .....	2
A. Statutory Background.....	2
B. Factual Background .....	7
C. Prior Proceedings .....	9
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	13
ARGUMENT.....	16
I. CONGRESS CODIFIED LACHES AS A DE- FENSE TO DAMAGES CLAIMS IN § 282 OF THE PATENT ACT .....	16
A. Before 1952, The Federal Courts Uni- formly Recognized Laches As A Bar To Recovering Damages For Patent Infringement.....	17
1. Laches barred recovery of patent damages before the merger of law and equity.....	17
2. Laches was also available as a de- fense in the rare patent actions brought at law .....	21
3. The consensus view of laches as a bar to damages persisted after the 1938 merger of law and equity.....	23

**TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued**

	Page
4. SCA’s attempt to rebut this judicial consensus fails .....	24
B. The Text, Purpose, And History Of The 1952 Act Demonstrate That Congress Intended To Preserve Laches As A Defense To Damages.....	28
C. Since 1952, Congress Has Altered The Patent Act Repeatedly Without Disturbing Laches.....	33
II. SCA MISREADS <i>PETRELLA</i> AND MISCONSTRUES § 286 OF THE PATENT ACT .....	36
A. <i>Petrella’s</i> Concern For Respecting Legislative Intent Supports Retaining Laches Here.....	37
B. Congress Had Good Reason For A Different Approach To Laches In Patent Law.....	40
1. Section 286 is not a statute of limitations and does not measure a patentee’s delay.....	40
2. SCA’s other textual arguments premised on § 286 are unfounded .....	42
3. SCA ignores numerous other distinctions between patent and copyright law .....	44
C. SCA’s Policy Arguments Are Unfounded .....	49

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.