

No. 15-1293

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

MICHELLE K. LEE, UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR,
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE,
Petitioner,

v.

SIMON SHIAO TAM,
Respondent.

**On Writ of Certiorari to the United States
Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit**

**BRIEF OF *AMICUS CURIAE* PRO-FOOTBALL, INC.
IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

ROBERT L. RASKOPF

TODD ANTEN

JESSICA A. ROSE

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart
& Sullivan LLP

51 Madison Ave.,

22nd Floor

New York, NY 10010

(212) 849-7000

robertraskopf@

quinnemanuel.com

LISA S. BLATT

Counsel of Record

ROBERT A. GARRETT

STEPHEN K. WIRTH

Arnold & Porter LLP

601 Massachusetts

Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20001

(202) 942-5000

lisa.blatt@aporter.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae Pro-Football, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... | iii |
| INTEREST OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i> | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT | 1 |
| ARGUMENT | 7 |
| SECTION 2(a) VIOLATES THE FIRST AMENDMENT, PARTICULARLY IN THE CANCELLATION CONTEXT | 7 |
| A. Section 2(a) Triggers Strict Scrutiny | 7 |
| 1. Trademarks Are Fully Protected Speech | 7 |
| 2. Section 2(a) Impermissibly Burdens Disfavored Speech | 11 |
| 3. This Court’s Precedents Foreclose the Government’s “But You Can Still Speak” Argument | 13 |
| B. Section 2(a) Fails Even Intermediate Scrutiny..... | 16 |
| 1. Section 2(a) Cannot Be Justified As Protecting Underrepresented Groups | 16 |
| 2. Section 2(a) Cannot Be Justified As Preventing Government Association..... | 19 |
| 3. PTO’s Arbitrary Application of § 2(a) Undercuts the Government’s Asserted Interests | 21 |
| C. Registration Is Neither Government Speech Nor a Subsidy | 24 |
| D. Section 2(a)’s Application in the Cancellation Context Is Independently Unconstitutional | 29 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS—continued

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Cancellation Does Not Further the Government’s Asserted Interests | 29 |
| 2. Cancellation Imposes Far Greater Burdens on Mark Owners | 30 |
| CONCLUSION | 35 |
| APPENDIX | |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page(s) |
|--|----------|
| Cases | |
| <i>Agency for Int'l Dev. v. Alliance for Open Soc'y Int'l, Inc.</i> , 133 S. Ct. 2321 (2013)..... | 26 |
| <i>Ark. Writers' Project Inc. v. Ragland</i> , 481 U.S. 221 (1987)..... | 14 |
| <i>Autor v. Pritzker</i> , 740 F.3d 176 (D.C. Cir. 2014)..... | 26 |
| <i>B&B Hardware, Inc. v. Hargis Indus.</i> , 135 S. Ct. 1293 (2015)..... | 4, 7, 11 |
| <i>Bd. of Trs. of the State Univ. of N.Y. v. Fox</i> , 492 U.S. 469 (1989)..... | 8 |
| <i>Bolger v. Youngs Drug Prods. Corp.</i> , 463 U.S. 60 (1983)..... | 16 |
| <i>Bullfrog Films, Inc. v. Wick</i> , 847 F.2d 502 (9th Cir. 1988)..... | 26 |
| <i>Cent. Hudson Gas & Elec. Corp. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n of N.Y.</i> , 447 U.S. 557 (1980)..... | 16 |
| <i>Citizens United v. Fed. Election Comm'n</i> , 558 U.S. 310 (2010)..... | 12 |
| <i>Cleveland v. United States</i> , 531 U.S. 12 (2000)..... | 11, 27 |
| <i>Davenport v. Wash. Educ. Ass'n</i> , 551 U.S. 177 (2007)..... | 26, 27 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—continued

| | Page(s) |
|---|------------|
| <i>Dep't of Tex., Veterans of Foreign Wars of the U.S. v. Tex. Lottery Comm'n, 760 F.3d 427 (5th Cir. 2014)</i> | 26 |
| <i>FCC v. League of Women Voters of Cal., 468 U.S. 364 (1984)</i> | 12 |
| <i>Good News Club v. Milford Cent. Sch., 533 U.S. 98 (2001)</i> | 30 |
| <i>Greater New Orleans Broad. Ass'n v. United States, 527 U.S. 173 (1999)</i> | 23 |
| <i>Harper & Row, Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enters., 471 U.S. 539 (1985)</i> | 10 |
| <i>Harris v. McCrae, 448 U.S. 297 (1980)</i> | 26 |
| <i>Hustler Magazine, Inc. v. Falwell, 485 U.S. 46 (1988)</i> | 17 |
| <i>Legal Servs. Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533 (2001)</i> | 25, 26, 28 |
| <i>L.A. Police Dep't v. United Reporting Publ'g Corp., 528 U.S. 32 (1999)</i> | 15 |
| <i>Illinois ex rel. Madigan v. Telemarketing Assocs., Inc., 538 U.S. 600 (2003)</i> | 10 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.