



No. 13-461

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

AMERICAN BROADCASTING COMPANIES, INC., *et al.*,
Petitioners,

v.

AEREO, INC., F/K/A BAMBOOM LABS, INC.,
Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF
COMPOSERS, AUTHORS AND PUBLISHERS (ASCAP),
BROADCAST MUSIC, INC. (BMI), THE NATIONAL MUSIC
PUBLISHERS' ASSOCIATION (NMPA), THE RECORDING
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA (RIAA), SESAC,
INC., THE SONGWRITERS GUILD OF AMERICA, INC.
(SGA), THE CHURCH MUSIC PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION
(CMPA), THE NASHVILLE SONGWRITERS ASSOCIATION,
INTERNATIONAL (NSAI), AND SOUNDEXCHANGE, INC.
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

Russell J. Frackman
Counsel of Record
Mitchell Silberberg &
Knupp LLP
11377 W. Olympic Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90064
Tel: (310) 312-2000
Email: rjf@msk.com

Eric J. Schwartz
J. Matthew Williams
Mitchell Silberberg &
Knupp LLP
1818 N St., NW, 8th Flr.
Washington, DC 20036
Tel: (202) 355-7900
Email: ejs@msk.com
Email: mxw@msk.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTERESTS OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	6
ARGUMENT	8
I. The Second Circuit’s Interpretation Is Inconsistent With The Statute’s Purpose To Provide A Fair Return To Copyright Owners As An Incentive To Create And Disseminate Works.	8
II. The Second Circuit Misread The Language And Intent Of The Transmit Clause.	12
III. The Second Circuit’s Opinion Cannot Be Reconciled With The Structure Or Legislative History Of The Copyright Act.	19
IV. The Second Circuit’s Opinion Is Inconsistent With Treaty Obligations.	24
CONCLUSION.....	28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

<i>Beethoven.com LLC v. Librarian of Cong.</i> , 394 F.3d 939 (D.C. Cir. 2005).....	22
<i>Bowen v. Gilliard</i> , 483 U.S. 587 (1987).....	16
<i>Broad. Music, Inc. v. Columbia Broad. Sys.</i> , <i>Inc.</i> , 441 U.S. 1 (1979).....	2
<i>Cartoon Network LP, LLLP v. CSC Holdings</i> , <i>Inc.</i> , 536 F.3d 121 (2d Cir. 2008), <i>cert. denied</i> , 129 S. Ct. 2890 (2009).....	1, 5, 8
<i>Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc. v. Profl Real</i> <i>Estate Investors</i> , 866 F.2d 278 (9th Cir. 1989).....	15
<i>Columbia Pictures Indus. v. Redd Horne</i> , 749 F.2d 154 (3d Cir. 1984)	19
<i>Eldred v. Ashcroft</i> , 537 U.S. 186 (2003).....	25
<i>FDA v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> , 529 U.S. 120 (2000).....	23

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Fox Television Stations, Inc. v. BarryDriller Content Sys., PLC, 915 F. Supp. 2d 1138 (C.D. Cal. 2012)</i>	4, 14
<i>Fox TV Stations, Inc. v. FilmOn X LLC, No. 13-758 (RMC), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 126543 (D.D.C. Sept. 5, 2013)</i>	4, 13
<i>Golan v. Holder, 132 S. Ct. 873 (2012)</i>	7, 25
<i>Hearst Stations Inc. v. Aereo, Inc., No. 13-11649-NMG, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 146825 (D. Mass. Oct. 8, 2013)</i>	5
<i>ITV Broad. Ltd v. TV Catchup, Ltd., Case No. C-607/1 (E.C.J. Mar. 7, 2013)</i>	27
<i>Mazer v. Stein, 347 U.S. 201 (1954)</i>	6
<i>Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd., 545 U.S. 913 (2005)</i>	5
<i>Nat'l Cable Television Ass'n, Inc. v. Broad. Music, Inc., 772 F. Supp. 614 (D.D.C. 1991)</i>	7, 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Nexstar Broad., Inc. v. Aereo, Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-cv-975 (D. Utah Oct. 24, 2013)	5
<i>On Command Video Corp. v. Columbia Pictures Indus.</i> , 777 F. Supp. 787 (N.D. Cal. 1991).....	16
<i>PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin</i> , 532 U.S. 661 (2001).....	20
<i>Sebelius v. Auburn Reg'l Med. Ctr.</i> , 133 S. Ct. 817 (2013).....	13
<i>SoundExchange, Inc. v. Librarian of Cong.</i> , 571 F.3d 1220 (D.C. Cir. 2009).....	21
<i>United States v. Gonzales</i> , 520 U.S. 1 (1997).....	18
<i>WNET Thirteen v. Aereo, Inc.</i> , 712 F.3d 676, reh'g denied, 722 F.3d 500 (2d Cir. 2013)	passim
<i>WPIX, Inc. v. ivi, Inc.</i> , 691 F.3d 275 (2d Cir. 2012)	15
STATUTES	
U.S. Constitution, Art. 1, §8, cl. 8.....	6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.