

No. 13-1339

In the Supreme Court of the United States

SPOKEO, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

THOMAS ROBINS, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON
BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Respondent.

*On Writ of Certiorari to the United States
Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit*

**BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE STATES OF MASSACHUSETTS,
CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
HAWAII, ILLINOIS, MAINE, MARYLAND, MINNESOTA,
MISSISSIPPI, NEW MEXICO, NEW YORK, OREGON, AND
WASHINGTON IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT**

MAURA HEALEY

Attorney General of Massachusetts

SARA CABLE*

FRANCESCA L. MICELI

Assistant Attorneys General

Office of the Attorney General

One Ashburton Place

Boston, MA 02108

(617) 727-2200

sara.cable@state.ma.us

**Counsel of Record for Amici Curiae*

(Additional Counsel on Inside Cover)

Becker Gallagher • Cincinnati, OH • Washington, D.C. • 800.890.5001

GEORGE JEPSEN
Attorney General
of Connecticut
55 Elm St.
Hartford, CT 06106

MATTHEW P. DENN
Attorney General
of Delaware
820 N French St., 6th Fl.
Wilmington, DE 19801

KARL A. RACINE
Attorney General
for the District of Columbia
441 4th St., NW
Washington, DC 20001

DOUGLAS S. CHIN
Attorney General
of Hawaii
425 Queen St.
Honolulu, HI 96813

LISA MADIGAN
Attorney General
of Illinois
100 W. Randolph St.
12th Floor
Chicago, IL 60601

JANET T. MILLS
Attorney General
of Maine
109 Sewall St.
Cross Office Building
6th Floor
Augusta, ME 04330

BRIAN E. FROSH
Attorney General
of Maryland
200 Saint Paul Pl.
Baltimore, MD 21202

LORI SWANSON
Attorney General
of Minnesota
102 State Capitol
75 Rev. Dr. Martin Luther
King Jr. Blvd.
St. Paul, MN 55155

JIM HOOD
Attorney General
of Mississippi
P. O. Box 220
Jackson, MS 30205

HECTOR H. BALDERAS
Attorney General
of New Mexico
P. O. Drawer 1508
Santa Fe, NM 87504

ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
Attorney General
of New York
120 Broadway
25th Floor
New York, NY 10271

ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM
Attorney General
of Oregon
1162 Court St. N.E.
Salem, OR 97301

ROBERT W. FERGUSON
Attorney General
of Washington
1125 Washington St. SE
P.O. Box 40100
Olympia, WA 98504

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

INTERESTS OF *AMICI CURIAE* 1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 2

ARGUMENT 4

I. CONSUMERS ARE ROUTINELY HARMED BY THE DISSEMINATION AND USE OF INACCURATE DATA PROFILES 4

 A. Vast Amounts of Detailed, Personal Consumer Data Are Collected, Processed, and Sold By the Data Broker Industry 5

 B. Businesses Frequently Rely on Data Profiles to Make Decisions With Important Consequences for Consumers 11

 C. Error-Prone Consumer Data Profiles Lead to Negative Consequences that Consumers Are Unable to Identify 16

II. THE FCRA IS A CRITICAL TOOL TO PROTECT CONSUMERS FROM THE DISSEMINATION OF INACCURATE DATA PROFILES 19

 A. The FCRA Is Intended to Protect Consumers Against the Dissemination of Inaccurate Data Profiles by Data Brokers 21

 B. Harm to Reputation or Property Rights, Even Without Proof of Additional Injury, Has Been Long Understood to Create a Judicable Case 22

C. The Dissemination of Inaccurate Personal Data Causes a Substantial Risk of Injury Sufficient for Standing 26

D. Statutory Damages Cases and Private Class Actions Are Needed to Complement the Role of Attorneys General in Protecting Consumers 33

CONCLUSION 37

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Bateman v. American Multi-Cinema</i> , 623 F.3d 708 (9th Cir. 2010)	34
<i>Beaudry v. Telecheck Services</i> , 579 F.3d 702 (6th Cir. 2009)	26
<i>Clapper v. Amnesty Int’l USA</i> , — U.S. —, 133 S. Ct. 1138 (2013)	26, 27, 28
<i>F.W. Woolworth Co. v. Contemporary Arts, Inc.</i> , 344 U.S. 228 (1952)	25
<i>Feltner v. Columbia Pictures Television, Inc.</i> , 523 U.S. 340 (1998)	25
<i>Figueroa v. Sharper Image Corp.</i> , 517 F. Supp. 2d 1292 (S.D. Fla. 2007)	36
<i>Harris v. Mexican Specialty Foods, Inc.</i> , 564 F.3d 1301 (11th Cir. 2009)	34
<i>Holman v. Experian Info. Solutions, Inc. et al</i> , C.A. No. 11-00180, Dkt. No. 279 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 29, 2014)	35
<i>In re Adobe Sys., Inc. Privacy Litig.</i> , 66 F. Supp. 3d (N.D. Cal. 2014)	27, 28, 30
<i>Krottner v. Starbucks Corp.</i> , 628 F.3d 1139 (9th Cir. 2010)	28
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555 (1992)	23

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.