IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

LAWRENCE GOLAN, et al.,

Petitioners,

—v.—

ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., et al.,

Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE OF THE INTERNATIONAL COALITION FOR COPYRIGHT PROTECTION IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

ERIC M. LIEBERMAN

Counsel of Record

DAVID B. GOLDSTEIN

CHRISTOPHER J. KLATELL

RABINOWITZ, BOUDIN, STANDARD,

KRINSKY & LIEBERMAN, P.C.

45 Broadway, Suite 1700

New York, New York 10006

(212) 254-1111

elieberman@rbskl.com

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AU	THORITIES iii
STATEMENT (OF INTEREST 1
SUMMARY OF	ARGUMENT 3
ARGUMENT	6
EXER COM:	TION 514 IS A VALID RCISE OF THE FOREIGN MERCE CLAUSE AND TY POWER
A.	The URAA Is Trade Legislation Enacted Under Congress' Foreign Commerce Clause Powers
В.	Section 514 of the URAA, Which Implements Treaty Obligations, Is an Exercise of the Treaty Power
С.	The URAA Is a Valid Exercise of Congress' Power to Regulate Commerce with Foreign Nations, Especially Where Congress Acts To Carry Out Foreign Commerce Obligations Created by a Treaty of the United States



II. THE URAA DOES NOT VIOLATE THE FIRST AMENDMENT	21
A. The URAA Does Not Raise First Amendment Concerns Unresolved in <i>Eldred</i>	21
B. To the Extent the First Amendment Requires Additional Balancing of the Government's Interest in Enacting Section 514, the Court Must Defer to the Political Branches' Identification of the Foreign Policy Interests of the United States	27
1. The Political Branches, Not the Judiciary, Determine the Nature and Extent of the Government's "Interest" on Questions of Foreign Policy	27
C. Section 514 Expands the Incentives and Opportunity for Speech and Public Debate	32
Conclusion	25



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page Cases:
Authors League of Am., Inc. v. Oman, 790 F.2d 220 (2d Cir. 1986)
Bd. of Trustees of Univ. of Illinois v. United States, 289 U.S. 48 (193 14, 15, 16
California Bankers Ass'n v. Shultz, 416 U.S. 21 (1974)
City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc., 475 U.S. 41 (1986)
Container Corp. of Am. v. Franchise Tax Bd., 463 U.S. 159 (1983)
Crosby v. Nat'l Foreign Trade Council, 530 U.S. 363 (2000)
Dames & Moore v. Regan, 453 U.S. 654 (1981)
Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp., 539 U.S. 23 (2003)
El Al Israel Airlines, Ltd. v. Tseng, 525 U.S. 155 (1999)
Eldred v. Ashcroft, 537 U.S. 186 (2003)



First Nat'l Bank of Boston v. Bellotti, 435 U.S. 765 (1978)
Friedman v. Rogers, 440 U.S. 1 (1979)
Frisby v. Schultz, 487 U.S. 474 (1988)
Gibbons v. Ogden, 22 U.S. (9 Wheat.) 1 (1824) 15, 17
Golan v. Holder, 609 F.3d 1076 (10th Cir. 2010) 9, 10, 28, 30
Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 542 U.S. 507 (2004)
Harisiades v. Shaughnessy, 342 U.S. 580 (1952)
Hill v. Colorado, 530 U.S. 703 (2000)
Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project, 130 S.Ct. 2705 (2010)
Japan Line, Ltd. v. County of Los Angeles, 441 IJS 434 (1979)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

