

No. 08-351

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

ANITA ALVAREZ,
STATE'S ATTORNEY OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS,
Petitioner,

v.

CHERMANE SMITH, ET AL.,
Respondents.

**On Writ of Certiorari to the
United States Court of Appeals
for the Seventh Circuit**

**BRIEF OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
COUNTIES, NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF
STATE LEGISLATURES, NATIONAL
LEAGUE OF CITIES, INTERNATIONAL
CITY/COUNTY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION,
U.S. CONFERENCE OF MAYORS, AND
INTERNATIONAL MUNICIPAL LAWYERS
ASSOCIATION AS *AMICI CURIAE*
IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER**

RICHARD RUDA *
Chief Counsel
MICHAEL HUANG
STATE AND LOCAL LEGAL
CENTER
444 North Capitol Street, N.W.
Suite 309
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 434-4850

* Counsel of Record for the
Amici Curiae

WILSON-EPES PRINTING CO., INC. - (202) 789-0096 - WASHINGTON, D. C. 20002

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether the court of appeals correctly ruled that DAFPA is facially invalid because it does not provide for a preliminary postseizure hearing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED.....	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	iv
INTEREST OF THE <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
STATEMENT	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	5
ARGUMENT	7
DAFPA IS NOT FACIALLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL FOR NOT PROVIDING A PRELIMINARY POSTSEIZURE HEARING.....	7
A. State Legislatures, Not Courts, Should Set Time Limits for Civil Forfeiture Proceedings.....	7
B. The Proper Test for the Timeliness of Forfeiture Proceedings Is Contained in <i>Barker v. Wingo</i> , Which Requires Case- by-Case Adjudication.....	10
C. The Court of Appeals Erroneously Applied <i>Mathews v. Eldridge</i> , Which Also Requires Case-by-Case Adjudication.....	12
1. The private interest will differ in each case.....	15
2. The State has strong interests in seizing forfeitable property and having sufficient time to assess whether it should be forfeited.....	16
D. DAFPA Satisfies Due Process.....	20
CONCLUSION	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS—continued

	Page
APPENDIX	1a

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.