

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

AMERANTH, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOORDASH, INC.,

Defendant.

C.A. No.: 2:22-cv-1776-WSH



**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS  
FOR IMPROPER VENUE, OR ALTERNATIVELY, TO TRANSFER,  
AND FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND .....	2
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	3
A. Improper Venue .....	3
B. Failure to State a Claim Upon Which Relief Can be Granted .....	4
IV. ARGUMENT.....	5
A. Venue is Improper for DoorDash in the Western District of Pennsylvania .....	5
1. DoorDash Does Not “Reside” in Pennsylvania.....	6
2. DoorDash Does Not Have a “Regular and Established Place of Business” in the Western District of Pennsylvania.....	6
3. If This Court Exercises Its Discretion Not To Dismiss Despite Ameranth’s Forum Shopping, This Case Should Be Transferred to the District of Delaware .....	9
B. Ameranth’s Claims Must Be Dismissed Because The Asserted Patent Is Invalid .....	11
1. Background Of The ‘130 Patent And Related Invalidated Patents.....	12
a. <i>Apple</i> : The ‘850, ‘325, and ‘733 Patents At The Patent Office And Subsequent Appeal .....	13
b. <i>Domino</i> ’s: The ‘077 Patent In The Southern District Of California And Subsequent Appeal. ....	14
c. <i>Olo</i> : The ‘651 Patent In The District Of Delaware And Subsequent Appeal.....	15
d. The ‘130 Patent Asserted In This Case Is Substantially Similar To The Related Patents Already Found To Be Invalid. ....	17
2. The Court Should Dismiss The Complaint Because The Asserted Patent Claims Patent Ineligible Subject Matter .....	21

a.	The Asserted Patent’s Invalidity Is Confirmed By Two Prior District Court Determinations And Three Federal Circuit Affirmances .....	21
b.	The Asserted Patent Fails The <i>Alice</i> Framework.....	23
i.	<i>Alice</i> Step One: The ‘130 Patent Is Directed To An Abstract Idea.....	23
ii.	<i>Alice</i> Step Two: The ‘130 Patent Does Not Recite Any Inventive Concepts .....	24
C.	Ameranth’s Willfulness Claim Must be Dismissed Because DoorDash Did Not Have Pre-Suit Knowledge of the Patent or Alleged Infringement.....	27
1.	Courts are Split on Whether Pre-Suit Knowledge is Necessary to Plead Willful Infringement.....	27
2.	The Better Approach is to Find that Pre-Suit Knowledge of a Patent and Alleged Infringement is a Prerequisite to a Claim of Willful Infringement.....	28
3.	Ameranth Fails to Plead Pre-Suit Knowledge of the ‘130 Patent.....	29
V.	CONCLUSION.....	30

..

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Adidas Am., Inc. v. Skechers USA, Inc.</i> , No. 3:16-CV-1400-SI, 2017 WL 2543811 (D. Or. June 12, 2017) .....	29
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Ameranth Cases</i> , No. 11cv1810 DMS (WVG), 2018 WL 11433300 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2018), <i>aff’d</i> , 792 F. App’x 780 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	2, 14
<i>Ameranth Inc. v. Menusoft Sys. Corp.</i> , Case No. 2:07-cv-271-CE, Dkt. No. 265 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 21, 2010).....	13
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Domino’s Pizza, LLC</i> , 792 F. App’x 780 (Fed. Cir. 2019) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Ameranth, Inc. v. Olo Inc.</i> , No. 2021-1211, 2021 WL 4699180 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 8, 2021).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Andra Grp., LP v. Victoria’s Secret Stores, L.L.C.</i> , 6 F.4th 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	7
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1229 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bayer Healthcare LLC v. Baxalta Inc.</i> , 989 F.3d 964 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	5
<i>Beteiro, LLC v. BetMGM, LLC</i> , 626 F. Supp. 3d 789 (D.N.J. 2022) .....	27
<i>In re Bilski</i> , 545 F.3d 943 (Fed. Cir. 2008), <i>aff’d sub nom. Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010).....	4
<i>BSG Tech LLC v. Buyseasons, Inc.</i> , 899 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	24
<i>Cooper Lighting, LLC v. Cordelia Lighting, Inc.</i> , No. 1:16-CV-2669-MHC, 2017 WL 3469535 (N.D. Ga. Apr. 6, 2017) .....	29
<i>In re Cordis Corp.</i> , 769 F.2d 733 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	3

...

<i>In re Cray Inc.</i> , 871 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Dietz v. Bouldin</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1885 (2016).....	10, 11
<i>Eko Brands LLC v. Adrian Rivera Maynez Enters.</i> , 946 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	5
<i>Electric Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> , 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	23
<i>Ficep Corp. v. Peddinghaus Corp.</i> , 587 F. Supp. 3d 115 (D. Del. 2022).....	25
<i>Fowler v. UPMC Shadyside</i> , 578 F.3d 203 (3d Cir. 2009).....	4
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 290 F. Supp. 3d 599 (N.D. Tex. 2017) .....	9
<i>In re Google LLC</i> , 949 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	3, 4
<i>GreatGigz Sols., LLC v. Maplebear Inc.</i> , No. W-20-CV-00737-ADA, 2021 WL 4691145 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 6, 2021).....	9
<i>Helios Streaming, LLC v. Vudu, Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 3167641 (D. Del. June 15, 2020).....	28
<i>iFIT Inc. v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.</i> , No. CV 21-507, 2022 WL 609605 (D. Del. Jan. 28, 2022).....	29
<i>Infinity Comput. Prod., Inc. v. OKI Data Americas, Inc.</i> , No. CV 12-6797, 2018 WL 1035793 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 23, 2018).....	8
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Erie Indem. Co.</i> , 200 F. Supp. 3d 565 (W.D. Pa. 2016).....	4, 23
<i>Lafferty v. St. Riel</i> , 495 F.3d 72 (3d Cir. 2007).....	9
<i>M&amp;C Innovations, LLC v. Igloo Prods. Corp.</i> , 2018 WL 4620713 (S. D. Tex. July 31, 2018).....	28
<i>McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games Am. Inc.</i> , 837 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	22

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.