NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION - SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P. 65.37

IN RE: TRUSTS UNDER WILL OF IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF ROBERT L. MONTGOMERY, JR. PENNSYLVANIA

DECEASED FOR THE BENEFIT OF H.BEATTY CHADWICK (TRUST NO. 6) AND MARITAL TRUST UNDER WILL OF ROBERT L. MONTGOMERY, JR.,

DECEASED, FOR THE BENEFIT OF ELIZABETH B. MONTGOMERY AS

APPOINTED BY THE WILL OF ELIZABETH B. MONTGOMERY, DECEASED FOR THE BENEFIT OF H.

BEATTY CHADWICK (TRUST NO. 7)

No. 3007 EDA 2019

APPEAL OF: H. BEATTY CHADWICK

Appeal from the Order Entered September 30, 2019 In the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County Orphans' Court Division at No(s): No. 1977-X0448

BEFORE: BENDER, P.J.E., LAZARUS, J., and DUBOW, J.

MEMORANDUM BY BENDER, P.J.E.: Filed: August 13, 2020

H. Beatty Chadwick (Appellant) appeals pro se from the orphans' court's adjudication of the 2018 accounts of two trusts created under the will of Robert L. Montgomery, Jr., (Decedent), and the will of Elizabeth B. Montgomery, the deceased wife of Decedent. Pursuant to the court's adjudication, Appellant's objections were dismissed and the payment of attorney's fees to PNC Bank, N.A. (Trustee/Appellee) was approved. We affirm.

As noted in a prior decision by this Court, responding to an earlier appeal filed by Appellant, this matter has a "long, torturous, and infamous" history.



See In re Trusts Under the Will of Montgomery, 161 A.3d 392 (Pa. Super. 2017) (unpublished memorandum). The terms of the trusts provided that Appellant was to be the lifetime beneficiary of the trusts and that after Appellant's death, the principal of each trust was to be distributed to various charities. Specifically, with regard to the amount of the payment due Appellant, he was to receive a percentage of the lesser of the net income of the trust or a stated percentage of the fair market value of the principal of the trust.

The present appeal arises from the filing of the fifth accounting of trust #6 and the third accounting of trust #7. As part of the petitions for adjudication of the accounts, Appellee requested the payment of attorney's fees in the amount of \$447,635.40 to cover the costs incurred by it, which were expended to defend itself against Appellant's claims, both past and present. Following the filing of these petitions, Appellant filed objections alleging Appellee breached its fiduciary duties relating to the investment of the trusts' assets and asserting that its request for attorney's fees should be denied.

A hearing was held on February 26, 2019, at which the court heard testimony and received evidence. On September 30, 2019, the court issued its adjudications, dismissing Appellant's objections and approving the payment of the attorney's fees. The orphans' court also denied Appellant's motion for reconsideration. Thereafter, Appellant filed a timely appeal.



We begin by setting forth our standard of review.

Our standard of review of the findings of an Orphans' Court is deferential.

When reviewing a decree entered by the Orphans' Court, this Court must determine whether the record is free from legal error and the court's factual findings are supported by the evidence. Because the Orphans' Court sits as the fact-finder, it determines the credibility of the witnesses and, on review, we will not reverse its credibility determinations absent an abuse of that discretion.

However, we are not constrained to give the same deference to any resulting legal conclusions.

In re Estate of Harrison, 745 A.2d 676, 678-79 (Pa. Super. 2000), appeal denied, 563 Pa. 646, 758 A.2d 1200 (2000) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted). "The Orphans' Court decision will not be reversed unless there has been an abuse of discretion or a fundamental error in applying the correct principles of law." In re Estate of Luongo, 823 A.2d 942, 951 (Pa. Super. 2003), appeal denied, 577 Pa. 722, 847 A.2d 1287 (2003).

In re Fiedler, 132 A.3d 1010, 1018 (Pa. Super. 2016) (quoting In re Estate of Whitley, 50 A.3d 203, 206-07 (Pa. Super. 2012)).

Appellant raises the following two issues for our review:

- 1. Where the trustee of trusts with a beneficiary entitled only to receive trust income invests for total return principally by capital appreciation and the trusts achieve substantial capital appreciation, did the court below err in dismissing objections to [a]ccounts that the trustee violated fiduciary duties by refusing to exercise its statutory power to adjust the total return of the trusts to produce income which will accomplish the purposes of the trusts as set forth in the terms thereof?
- 2. Whether the court below abused its discretion in allowing a trustee to collect from trusts additional counsel fees and



J-A13004-20

expenses of \$477,635.40 for an [a]ccounting proceeding where \$516,733.78 already had been allowed for such purposes, 1,874 hours were billed by counsel to represent the trustee in such proceeding where the evidentiary hearing was less than

one day, and total fees and expenses allowed were 88% of the

combined assets of the trusts?

Appellant's brief at 37.

We have reviewed the certified record, the briefs of the parties, the

applicable law, and the thorough 17-page opinion of the Honorable Lois E.

Murphy of the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, dated

September 30, 2019. We conclude that Judge Murphy's opinion properly

disposes of the issues and accompanying arguments presented by Appellant.

Accordingly, we adopt her opinion as our own and affirm the order dismissing

Appellant's objections.

Order affirmed.

Judge Dubow joins this memorandum.

Judge Lazarus files a concurring statement in which President Judge

Emeritus Bender and Judge Dubow join.

Judgment Entered.

Joseph D. Seletyn, Es**d**

Prothonotary

Date: 8/13/20

A13004-20

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION No. 1977-X0448

ESTATE OF ROBERT L. MONTGOMERY, JR., DECEASED Sur Trust for Herbert B. Chadwick (Trust No. 6)

The fifth account of PNC Bank, N.A.¹, trustee of the testamentary trust created under Item FOURTH(A)(4) (hereinafter referred to as "trust No. 6") of the will of Robert L. Montgomery, Jr., Deceased, was called for audit on June 4, 2018. The objections filed thereto by H. Beatty Chadwick (hereinafter "the objectant") were heard on February 26, 2019, and the matter is now ripe for adjudication

COUNSEL APPEARED AS FOLLOWS:

DUANE MORRIS LLP
By: Lewis R. Olshin, Esquire
For the Accountant

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL By: David Dembe, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General as parens patriae, for charitable interests



^{1.} PNC Bank, N.A. succeeded Provident National Bank which was named as a trustee in Item TENTH of Robert Montgomery's Will.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

