

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Stephen Barbour
U.S. Patent No.: 11,574,372 Attorney Docket No.: 54598-0001PS2
Issue Date: February 7, 2023
Appl. Serial No.: 16/484,728
Filing Date: January 6, 2020
Title: BLOCKCHAIN MINE AT OIL OR GAS FACILITY

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 11,574,372 PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.200 *et seq.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR PGR.....	3
	A. Grounds for Standing and PGR Eligibility	3
	B. Challenge and Relief Requested.....	3
	C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	4
III.	THE ‘372 PATENT	4
	A. Brief Description of the ‘372 Patent Specification.....	4
	B. Prosecution History of the ‘372 Patent.....	7
IV.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C § 112(a), 112(b) AND/OR 112(f).....	10
	A. GROUND 1 (indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C § 112(b)).....	10
	1. Legal Framework	10
	2. “blockchain mining devices”	11
	3. “mining processor”	21
	4. “adapted to mine transactions”	24
	B. GROUND 2 (written description under 35 U.S.C § 112(a)).....	27
	1. Legal Framework	27
	2. “blockchain mining devices”	27
	C. GROUND 3 (indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(f))	29
	1. The “controller” term is a means-plus-function term and subject to § 112(f).....	31
	2. “Controller” lacks sufficient disclosed structure in the ‘372 Patent and, therefore, is indefinite.....	37
V.	PTAB DISCRETION SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION.....	46
	A. The <i>Fintiv</i> Factors	46
	1. Factor 1: The District Court has stayed the case.....	46
	2. Factor 2: The Trial Schedule is Unclear	46
	3. Factor 3: Petitioner’s Diligence and Investment in PGR Outweighs the Parties’ Minimal Investment in Litigation	47
	4. Factor 4: The Petition’s Grounds Are Materially Different.....	47
	5. Factor 5: Party Overlap	47
	6. Factor 6: The Merits of this Petition Strongly Favor Institution ..	47
	B. Considerations Implicated by 35 U.S.C. § 325(d).....	48
	C. Other Considerations Implicated by 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).....	48
	1. a ranking of the petitions.....	51

2. a succinct explanation of the differences between the petitions ...51

VI. CONCLUSION.....53

VII. PAYMENT OF FEES53

VIII. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....53

A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....53

B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....53

C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....53

D. Service Information54

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- EX1001 U.S. Patent No. 11,574,372 to Stephen Barbour et al. (“the ‘372 Patent”)
- EX1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ‘372 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- EX1003 [RESERVED]
- EX1004 [RESERVED]
- EX1005 [RESERVED]
- EX1006 CryptoKube brochure from the WaybackMachine dated March 5, 2016 (“CryptoKube Brochure”)
- EX1007 [RESERVED]
- EX1008 [RESERVED]
- EX1009 Szmigielski, Albert. Bitcoin Essentials. Packt Publishing Ltd, 2016 (“Szmigielski”)
- EX1010 U.S. Patent Publication No. 2016/0125040 (“Kheterpal”)
- EX1011 [RESERVED]
- EX1012 [RESERVED]
- EX1013 [RESERVED]
- EX1014 US Patent Publication No. 2015/0368566 (“Young”)
- EX1015 [RESERVED]
- EX1016 [RESERVED]
- EX1017 U.S. Patent Publication No. 2014/0096837 (“Belady-837”)

- EX1018 U.S. Patent Publication No. 2018/0109541 (“Gleifchauf”)
- EX1019 [RESERVED]
- EX1020 [RESERVED]
- EX1021 [RESERVED]
- EX1022 “Crypto you can mine from a home computer in 2023,” Brave New Coin (bravenewcoin.com) (July 18, 2023)
- EX1023 [RESERVED]
- EX1024 Second Declaration of Vernon Kasdorf
- EX1025 O’Dwyer, Karl J., and David Malone. "Bitcoin mining and its energy footprint." ISSC 2014, (2014): 280-285 ("O’Dwyer”)
- EX1026 Kaplan, Steven. (2004). Wiley Electrical and Electronics Engineering Dictionary.
- EX1027 The IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms (6th ed) (1996). IEEE.
- EX1028-1099 [RESERVED]
- EX1100 Complaint for Patent Infringement, *Upstream Data Inc. v. Crusoe Energy Systems LLC*, Case No. 1:23-cv-01252 (D. Colo. May 18, 2023)
- EX1101 ORDER GRANTING Agreed Motion to Stay Litigation and Extend Deadlines, *Upstream Data Inc. v. Crusoe Energy Systems LLC*, Case No. 1:23-cv-01252 (D. Colo. May 18, 2023)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.