

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CRUSOE ENERGY SYSTEMS, LLC
Petitioner

v.

UPSTREAM DATA INC.
Patent Owner

Case PGR2023-00039
Patent No. 11,574,372

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
POST GRANT REVIEW
U.S. PATENT NO. 11,574,372**

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF '372 PATENT	1
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	4
A. Petitioner's Construction Of "Blockchain Mining Devices" And "Mining Processor" Is Not Supported By The Intrinsic Record.....	4
B. Petitioner's Construction Of A "A Continuous Flow Of Combustible Gas" Is Inconsistent With The Intrinsic Record.....	6
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	8
IV. NON-OBVIOUSNESS.....	9
A. Ground 1.....	9
1. Petition Based on Impermissible Hindsight.....	9
2. Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness	11
a. Patent Owner's systems embody the claimed invention	13
b. Commercial success	19
c. Long-felt unmet need.....	22
d. Industry skepticism.....	25
e. Industry praise	28
3. Szhmigielski Cannot Supply Missing Motivation to Combine	30
4. No Reasonable Expectation of Success	39
5. Ground 1 Does Not Disclose Challenged Claims.....	41
a. Claim 1.....	41
b. Claim 24.....	50
B. Ground 2.....	50
1. No Motivation to Combine or Reasonable Expectation of Success	50

2.	Ground 2 Combination Does Not Disclose Challenged Claims	50
a.	Claims 1, 24.....	51
b.	Claims 10-13.....	51
c.	Claims 35-37.....	55
C.	Ground 3.....	55
1.	Ground 3 Does Not Meet Particularity Requirement	55
2.	No Motivation to Combine or Reasonable Expectation of Success	56
D.	Ground 4.....	58
1.	No Motivation to Combine or Reasonable Expectation of Success	58
2.	Ground 4 Combination Does Not Disclose Challenged Claims	62
a.	Claims 1, 24.....	62
E.	Ground 5.....	65
1.	No Motivation to Combine or Reasonable Expectation of Success	65
2.	Ground 5 Combination Does Not Disclose Challenged Claims	67
a.	Claims 1, 24.....	67
b.	Claims 10-13.....	68
c.	Claims 35-37.....	70
V.	GROUND 6: THE CLAIMS RECITE PATENT ELIGIBLE SUBJECT MATTER.....	70
A.	Step 2A, Prong One: The '372 Patent Claims Are Not Directed To An Abstract Idea	73
B.	Step 2A, Prong Two: The '372 Patent Claims Recite A Practical Application.....	76
C.	Step 2B: The Claims of The '372 Patent Include Inventive Concepts Beyond Using Natural Gas To Power A Blockchain Mine.....	77

D. The Petition Failed to Adequately Address the Patent Eligibility
of Challenged Claims 2-4, 7-12, 15-23, 25-30, 34-37 and 4083

VI. CONCLUSION.....88

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	71, 73
<i>Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. Philip Morris Inc.</i> , 229 F.3d 1120 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	51
<i>Cambrios Film Solutions Corporation v. C3Nano Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00655, Paper 17 (Aug. 9, 2019)	54
<i>Elekta Ltd. v. ZAP Surgical Sys., Inc.</i> , 81 F.4th 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	40
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Teva Pharms. Int’l GmbH</i> , 8 F.4th 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	39
<i>In re GPAC Inc.</i> , 57 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	12
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	11
<i>Henny Penny Corp. v. Frymaster LLC</i> , 938 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	12
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	42, 63, 64
<i>Juniper Networks, Inc. v. Correct Transmission, LLC</i> , IPR2021-000682, Paper 26 (PTAB Oct. 3, 2022).....	39, 40
<i>Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> , 566 U.S. 66 (2012).....	71, 73, 74
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. FG SRC, LLC</i> , 860 Fed. App’x 708 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	42, 64
<i>In re Piasecki</i> , 745 F.2d 1468 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.