UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner

V.

RFCYBER CORP., Patent Owner

PGR2022-00003 U.S. Patent No. 10,600,046

PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW (§ 112 and § 101)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|---|---|----|
| II. | MANDATORY NOTICES | 2 |
| A | Real Party-In-Interest | 2 |
| В | Related Matters | 2 |
| C | Lead and Back-Up Counsel | 3 |
| III. | GROUNDS FOR STANDING | 3 |
| IV. | THE '046 PATENT | 4 |
| A | Overview of the '046 Patent | 4 |
| В | Prosecution History | 7 |
| V. THE '046 PATENT IS ELIGIBLE FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW11 | | |
| A | The '046 Patent's broken priority chain creates PGR eligibility | 12 |
| B | The '046 Patent's lack of written description for subject matter added by mendment creates PGR eligibility | |
| VI. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 22 |
| VII | LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART | 22 |
| VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF | | |
| IX. | IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES | 23 |
| X. | THE BOARD SHOULD INSTITUTE POST-GRANT REVIEW | 23 |
| | The challenges presented in this petition are not cumulative to prosecution '046 Patent | |
| В | 3. The <i>Fintiv</i> factors favor institution. | 24 |
| XI. | IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE. | 26 |
| A d | Challenge #1: Claims 1-17 are invalid for failing to satisfy the written escription requirement of 35 U.S.C § 112 | 26 |
| В | 3. Challenge #2: Claims 1-17 are invalid under 35 U.S.C § 101. | 49 |
| XII | CONCLUSION | 92 |



I. INTRODUCTION

U.S. Patent No. 10,600,046 ("the '046 Patent," GOOG-1001) describes methods and systems for mobile payment. In particular, the '046 Patent attempts to simplify retail transactions by replacing a paper invoice with an electronic invoice presented to a customer in a "tag," such as a radio-frequency identification ("RFID") tag. The customer's mobile device reads the tag and displays the invoice so the customer can add a tip, select a payment method, and settle the invoice.

This method of mobile payment was already well known when the inventors filed the application for the '046 Patent. As such, the Examiner repeatedly rejected the pending claims, forcing the inventors to heavily amend the claims by adding new limitations to gain allowance. The limitations added by these amendments lacked written description support and divorced the claims from the embodiments described in the specification. This lack of written description support (i) breaks the priority chain to the '046 Patent's pre-AIA priority applications, thereby giving rise to postgrant review eligibility, and (ii) renders the claims unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a).

The claims are also unpatentable for a second, independent reason—they are directed to the abstract idea of presenting and settling an invoice. The claims do not seek to improve any computer functionalities or resolve a technological problem. Rather, they aim to speed up the traditional retail payment process by reducing the



number of contacts between a consumer and a merchant—and they do so with unimproved, off-the-shelf hardware, such as the aforementioned "tag" and a generic mobile device.

The evidence in this Petition demonstrates that claims 1-17 of the '046 Patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112(a). Accordingly, Apple Inc. ("Petitioner") respectfully requests that these claims be held unpatentable and cancelled.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-In-Interest

The real party-in-interest is Apple Inc.

B. Related Matters

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2), to the best knowledge of the Petitioner, the '046 Patent is or was involved in the following cases ("Related Litigation"):

- RFCyber Corp. v. Apple Inc., Case No. 6:21-cv-00916 (W.D. Tex.)
- Google LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. RFCyber Corp., PGR2021-00028 (PTAB)
- Google LLC f/k/a Google Inc. v. RFCyber Corp., PGR2021-00029 (PTAB)
- RFCyber Corp. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Case No. 2:20-cv-00335 (E.D. Tex.)
- RFCyber Corp. v. Google LLC f/k/a Google Inc., Case No. 2:20-cv-00274 (E.D. Tex.)



Petitioner is also concurrently filing a petition for post-grant review of the '046 Patent that challenges claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel

Petitioner provides the following designation and service information for lead and back-up counsel. 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (b)(4).

| Lead Counsel | Back-Up Counsel |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Adam P. Seitz (Reg. No. 52,206) | Paul R. Hart (Reg. No. 59,646) |
| Adam.Seitz@eriseip.com | Paul.Hart@eriseip.com |
| PTAB@eriseip.com | |
| | |
| Postal and Hand-Delivery Address: | Postal and Hand-Delivery Address: |
| ERISE IP, P.A. | ERISE IP, P.A. |
| 7015 College Blvd., Suite 700 | 5299 DTC Blvd., Ste. 1340 |
| Overland Park, Kansas 66211 | Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111 |
| Telephone: (913) 777-5600 | Telephone: (913) 777-5600 |
| Fax: (913) 777-5601 | Fax: (913) 777-5601 |

III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioner certifies that the '046 Patent is eligible for post-grant review because it contains at least one claim with an effective filing date after March 16, 2013, as described below in Section V. See AIA §§ 3(n)(1), 6(f)(2)(A). Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting post-grant review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this Petition. Petitioner has not filed a civil action challenging the validity of any claim of the '046 Patent.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

