

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

RFCYBER CORP.,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 10,600,046
Filing Date: June 2, 2015
Issue Date: March 24, 2020

Inventors: Xiangzhen Xie, Liang Seng Koh, and Hsin Pan
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MOBILE PAYMENTS

**RFCYBER CORP.'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Case No. PGR2021-00029

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE '046 PATENT	2
A. The '046 Patent's Priority Chain.....	2
B. The '046 Patent's Disclosure	3
III. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	5
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	5
A. The Petition Should Be Denied Because it Fails to Provide a Claim Construction Necessary to Resolve the Issues Before the Board	5
B. “payment gateway”	7
C. “displaying a denial”	8
V. THE '046 PATENT IS INELIGIBLE FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW.....	9
A. Legal Standard for Written Description.....	10
B. The “Displaying a denial” Step of Claim 1 is Supported in the '832 Application and the '802 Provisional	12
C. The “account and bank information of the registered merchant”	17
1. Patent Owner Has Disclaimed Claims 6-11 and 15-16 and No PGR May Be Instituted Based on Those Claims	18
2. The Limitation Was Disclosed in the '802 Provisional Application.....	22
D. The pre-AIA Applications Describe “wherein the payment gateway is configured to cause the balance in the e-purse reduced by the amount”.....	23

1.	The '802 Provisional Describes the Limitation on Page 3	24
2.	The '802 Provisional Describes this Limitation in its Appendix	27
E.	Conclusion.....	33
VI.	THE MOSHAL REFERENCE IS NOT PRIOR ART TO ANY CLAIMS OF THE '046 PATENT.....	33
VII.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED IN THE DISCRETION OF THE DIRECTOR UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 324(A)	34
A.	The Parallel District Court Litigation and the Petition Involve the Same Parties	36
B.	The District Court Litigation Involves the Same Claims and the Same Arguments	36
C.	These Issues Will be Resolved Before the Board Has an Opportunity to Enter a Written Decision	37
D.	Significant Investment and Petitioner's Delay in Filing the Petition.....	39
E.	No Stay of the Parallel District Court Litigation	40
F.	Other Factors Favor Denial	41
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	41

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Google LLC</i> , No. 2:19-cv-00361-JRG, 2021 WL 465424 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 9, 2021)	40
<i>Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020)	34, 35, 37
<i>Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (en banc)	11
<i>Axon Enter., Inc. v. Dig. Ally, Inc.</i> , PGR2018-00052, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 1, 2018)	18, 20
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Ramot at Tel Aviv Univ. Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00122, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. May 15, 2020)	38
<i>Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic AVE, Inc.</i> , 339 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	12, 13, 14
<i>Facebook, Inc. v. Skky, LLC</i> , CBM2016-00092, Paper 12 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 28, 2017)	19
<i>Gen. Plastic Indus. Co. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357, Paper 19 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017)	36
<i>Genetics Inst., LLC v. Novartis Vaccines & Diagnostics, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	18, 21
<i>Guinn v. Kopf</i> , 96 F.3d 1419 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	18
<i>Instrumentation Lab. Co. v. Hemosonics LLC</i> , PGR2019-00047, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 24, 2019)	11
<i>In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Pat. Litig.</i> , 639 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	5

<i>Lifescan Global Corp. v. Ikeda Food Research, Ltd.,</i> PGR2019-00031, Paper No. 7 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 15, 2019)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.,</i> 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	11
<i>Mad Dogg Athletics, Inc. v. Peloton Interactive, Inc.,</i> No. 2:20-cv-00382-JRG, Dkt. 43 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 31, 2021)	37
<i>Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.,</i> 579 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	11
<i>Next Caller Inc. v. TrustID, Inc.,</i> IPR2019-00961, -00962, Paper 10, at 8-16 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2019)	38
<i>NHK Spring Co. v. Intri-Plex Techs., Inc.,</i> IPR2018-00752, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 12, 2018).....	36
<i>One World Techs., Inc. v. Chervon (HK) Ltd.,</i> PGR2020-00061, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 7, 2020).....	9
<i>Orthopediatrics Corp. v. K2M, Inc.,</i> IPR2018-01548, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 1, 2019).....	6, 7
<i>Ralston Purina Co. v. Far-Mar-Co, Inc.,</i> 772 F.2d 1570 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	11
<i>RetailMeNot, Inc. v. Honey Sci. Corp.,</i> PGR2019-00060, Paper No. 17 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 10, 2020)	20, 21
<i>RFCyber Corp. v. Google LLC, et al.,</i> No. 2:20-cv-00274-JRG (E.D. Tex.)	34
<i>Samsung Elecs. Am., Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC,</i> IPR2019-01218, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 7, 2020)	38
<i>Shieldmark, Inc. v. Lowe,</i> PGR2019-00058, Paper No. 8 at 7 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 9, 2020).....	10
<i>Supercell Oy v. Gree, Inc.,</i> IPR2020-00513, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. June 24, 2020)	39

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.