UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

RFCYBER CORP., Patent Owner.

Patent No. 10,600,046 Filing Date: June 2, 2015 Issue Date: March 24, 2020

Inventors: Xiangzhen Xie, Liang Seng Koh, and Hsin Pan Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MOBILE PAYMENTS

RFCYBER CORP.'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Case No. PGR2021-00028



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		F	Page(s)			
I.	INTR	RODUCTION				
II.	THE '046 PATENT					
	A.	The '046 Patent's Priority Chain	2			
	B.	The '046 Patent's Disclosure	3			
III.	LEVI	EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART				
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
	A.	The Petition Should be Denied Because it Fails to Provide a Claim Construction Necessary to Resolve the Issues Before the Board	5			
	B.	"payment gateway"				
	C.	"displaying a denial"				
V.		'046 PATENT IS INELIGIBLE FOR POST-GRANT IEW	9			
	A.	Legal Standard for Written Description	11			
	B.	The "Displaying a denial" Step of Claim 1 is Supported in the '832 Application and the '802 Provisional				
	C.	The "account and bank information of the registered merchant"	17			
		1. Patent Owner Has Disclaimed Claims 6 and 15 and No PGR May Be Instituted Based on Those Claims	18			
		2. The Limitation Was Disclosed in the '802 Provisional Application	22			



D.		_	os of Claim 1 Are Supported and Described in the Prepplications and the '046 Patent	
	1.	paym balan	Pre-AIA Applications Describe "sending the nent request to the payment gateway, wherein the nee is sufficient to honor the payment request" as ned	24
	2.	confi	Pre-AIA Applications Describe "displaying a rmation in the mobile device that the balance in the see has been reduced by the total amount"	29
E.			f Claim 12 Are Supported and Described in the oplications	31
	1.	reque is les	Pre-AIA Applications Describe "the payment est is sent to a payment gateway when the amount s than a balance of an electronic purse (e-purse) tained locally in the mobile device"	31
	2.	paym	Pre-AIA Applications Describe "wherein the nent gateway is configured to cause the balance in purse reduced by the amount"	32
		(a)	The '802 Provisional Describes the Limitation on Page 3	32
		(b)	The '802 Provisional Describes this Limitation in its Appendix	35
F.	Conc	lusion		41
			F THE '046 PATENT ARE FULLY SUPPORTED CATION	41
			TO SHOW THAT THE CLAIMS OF THE '046 IELIGIBLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. §101	43
A.	The (Claims	Are Not Directed to an Abstract Idea	43



VI.

VII.

		1.	Claims Related to Economic Practices Are Not Per Se Abstract	49		
		2.	The Claims Here Are Not Similar to Those of <i>Trading Techs</i> .	51		
		3.	The Use of "off-the-shelf" Components Does Not Render the Claims Ineligible	51		
	B.	The C	Claims Include an Inventive Concept	53		
VIII.	THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED IN THE DISCRETION OF THE DIRECTOR UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 324(A)					
	A.		Parallel District Court Litigation and the Petition Involve nme Parties	58		
	B.		District Court Litigation Involves the Same Claims and ame Arguments	58		
	C.		e Issues Will be Resolved Before the Board Has an rtunity to Enter a Written Decision	59		
	D.	_	ficant Investment and Petitioner's Delay in Filing the	61		
	E.	No St	ay of the Parallel District Court Litigation	62		
	F.	Other	Factors Favor Denial	63		
IV	CON	CLUSI	ION	63		



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
AGIS Software Dev. LLC v. Google LLC, No2:2019-cv-00361-JRG, 2021 WL 465424 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 9, 2021)	62
Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l, 573 U.S. 208 (2014)	49, 50
Amdocs (Israel) Ltd. v. Openet Telecom, Inc., 841 F.3d 1288 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	52, 53
Apple Inc. v. Fintiv, Inc., IPR2020-00019, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 20, 2020)	56, 59
<i>Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (en banc)	12
Axon Enter., Inc. v. Dig. Ally, Inc., PGR2018-00052, Paper No. 8 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 1, 2018)	18, 20
BASCOM Global Internet Svcs., Inc. v. AT&T Mobility Inc., 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	53, 54
Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Ramot at Tel Aviv Univ. Ltd., IPR2020-00122, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. May 15, 2020)	60
Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic AVE, Inc., 339 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	13, 14, 28
DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P., 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	49
Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175 (1981)	52
EcoServices, LLC v. Certified Aviation Servs., LLC, 830 Fed. Appx. 634 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

