UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
EVERGREEN THERAGNOSTICS, INC.
Petitioner
$-\mathrm{vs.}-$
ADVANCED ACCELERATOR APPLICATIONS SA
Patent Owner

PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,596,276 (ALL CLAIMS)

CASE NO. PGR2021-00003



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			1	Page
I.	INTI	INTRODUCTION1		
II.	GRO	GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.204(a))		
III.	OVE	RVIE	W OF THE TECHNOLOGY AND THE '276 PATENT	3
	A.	Back	ground of the Technology	3
	B.	The	276 Patent	4
		1.	Summary of the Specification of the '276 Patent	5
		2.	Summary of the Claims of the '276 Patent	5
		3.	Summary of the Relevant Portions of the Prosecution History	6
IV.	REQ THE	UEST CHAI	FOR WHICH PGR IS REQUESTED, PRECISE RELIEF ED, AND SPECIFIC STATUTORY GROUNDS ON WHICH LLENGE IS BASED (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a) AND 37 C.F.R. §	I }
	A.	Prior	Art Patents and Printed Publications Relied Upon	8
	B.	Leve	el of Ordinary Skill in the Art	13
	C.	Clair	n Construction	13
	D.	Over	view of the Prior Art	14
		1.	Maus (Ex. 1009)	14
		2.	De León-Rodríguez (Ex. 1014)	17
		3.	Banerjee (Ex. 1016)	18
		4.	The '536 Patent (Ex. 1013)	18
		5.	de Blois (Ex. 1017)	19



	6.	SEC Statement (Ex. 1018)	20	
	7.	The '365 Publication (Ex. 1019)	20	
	8.	Strosberg (Ex. 1011) and Associated Supplemental Material (i.e., Protocol (Ex. 1012))	21	
	9.	Scott (Ex. 1015)	23	
Е.	12-14	enge 1: Independent Claim 1 and Dependent Claims 2-9 and Would Have Been Obvious Over Maus (Ex. 1009) in View e '536 Patent (Ex. 1013)		
	1.	Claim 1	24	
	2.	Claims 2-9 and 12-14	30	
F.	Challenge 2: Claims 10, 11, and 17 Would Have Been Obvious Over Maus (Ex. 1009) in View of the '536 Patent (Ex. 1013) Further in View of De León-Rodríguez (Ex. 1014) or Banerjee (Ex. 1016)			
G.	1009) Kwek	Challenge 3: Claim 16 Would Have Been Obvious Over Maus (Ex. 1009) in View of the '536 Patent (Ex. 1013) Further in View of Kwekkeboom (Ex. 1010) or Other Prior Art Disclosing the Use of ¹⁷⁷ LuCl ₃ in an HCl Solution for Complexation		
Н.	Challenge 4: Claim 18 Would Have Been Obvious Over Maus (Ex. 1009) in View of the '536 Patent (Ex. 1013) Further in View of the Knowledge of a POSA of the Interchangeability of Ascorbic Acid and Sodium Ascorbate as Stabilizers as Evidenced by Scott (Ex. 1015)			
I.	Challenge 5: Claims 15 and 19 Would Have Been Obvious Over Maus (Ex. 1009) in View of the '536 Patent (Ex. 1013) Further in View of Prior Art Disclosing Routine Storage of Radiolabeled Pharmaceuticals in a Stoppered Vial			
J.	Chall	enge 6: Claims 20-24 are Anticipated by Maus (Ex. 1009)	49	
	1.	Claim 20	50	
	2	Claims 21, 24	50	



K.		lenge 7: Claim 24 Would Have Been Obvious Over Maus 1009)	53
L.	Proce	lenge 8: If Claims 20-24 Are Not Construed as Product-byess Claims, They Would Have Been Obvious Over Maus (Ex.) in View of the '536 Patent (Ex. 1013)	54
M.	Wou Maus Furth 1016 Interes	lenge 9: Independent Claim 1 and Dependent Claims 2-19 ld Have Been Obvious Over Protocol (Ex. 1012) in View of s (Ex. 1009) Further in View of the '536 Patent (Ex. 1013) her in View of De León-Rodríguez (Ex. 1014) or Banerjee (Ex.) Further in View of the Knowledge of a POSA of the changeability of Ascorbic Acid and Sodium Ascorbate as lizers as Evidenced by Scott (Ex. 1015)	
	1.	Claim 1	56
	2.	Claims 2-19	64
N.	(Ex. Pater Furth 1016 Interes	lenge 10: Claim 16 Would Have Been Obvious Over Protocol 1012) in View of Maus (Ex. 1009) Further in View of the '536 at (Ex. 1013) Further in View of Kwekkeboom (Ex. 1010) her in View of De León-Rodríguez (Ex. 1014) or Banerjee (Ex.) Further in View of the Knowledge of a POSA of the changeability of Ascorbic Acid and Sodium Ascorbate as lizers as Evidenced by Scott (Ex. 1015)	
O.		lenge 11: Claims 20-24 Are Anticipated by Protocol (Ex.)	73
	1.	Claim 20	74
	2.	Claims 21-24	74
Р.		lenge 12: Claim 24 Would Have Been Obvious Over ocol (Ex. 1012)	77
Q.	Challenge 13: If Claims 20-24 Are Not Construed as Product-by-Process Claims They Would Have Been Obvious Over Protocol (Ex. 1012) in View of Maus (Ex. 1009) Further in View of the '536 Patent (Ex. 1013) Further in View of De León-Rodríguez (Ex. 1014) or Banerjee (Ex. 1016) Further in View of the Knowledge of		



Petition for Post Grant Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,596,276

		a POSA of the Interchangeability of Ascorbic Acid and Sodium Ascorbate as Stabilizers as Evidenced by Scott (Ex. 1015)	78
	R.	Objective Considerations of Non-Obviousness Do Not Affect Obviousness Challenges (1-5, 7-10, 12, and 13)	30
	S.	Challenge 14: Claim 24 Is Not Enabled for its Full Scope if the Recited Stability Limitation Is Not an Inherent Property of the Pharmaceutical Aqueous Solutions Taught in the Prior Art	31
V.	CON	CLUSIONS	36
VI.	MAN	DATORY NOTICES	36
	A.	Real Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	36
	B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	36
	C.	Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))8	37
	D.	Service of Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	37
CERT	ΓIFICA	ATE OF SERVICE8	39
CERT	ΓIFICA	ATE OF WORD COUNT9	90



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

