From: Gabric, Ralph
To: Trials

Cc: PGR48751-0007PS1@fr.com; PTAB Inbound; Dorothy Whelan; Eugene Goryunov IPR; Judy He IPR; Jeff Wolfson

<u>IPR</u>

**Subject:** PGR2020-00068

**Date:** Friday, October 2, 2020 11:41:21 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Trials,

Petitioner Eton requests a conference call with the panel to seek authorization to file a brief reply, no more than 6 pages, to Patent Owner Exela's Preliminary Response. Good cause supports Eton's request at least for the reasons below, which Eton will explain to the panel in more detail during the conference call:

- \* Eton's particularity challenge is unexpected and incorrect. Grounds 1-2 of Eton's petition rely on the four corners of the Sandoz Label as invalidating prior art.
- \* Exela's assertions regarding the prior art should be considered in view of the Examiner's July 23, 2020 rejections in related, co-pending application nos. 16/773,641 and 16/746,028 (the rejections occurred after the filing of Eton's petition) and the materials that provide the relevant context for those rejections.
- \* Exela's assertions that the Petition fails to explain "how a POSITA applying 'routine optimization' would have achieved" the claimed invention (POPR at 8) and fails to explain "why a POSITA would have a reasonable expectation of success" solving the aluminum problem (POPR at 9).

Patent Owner has indicated that it will oppose Petitioner's request.

The parties are generally available on Monday October 5 and Friday morning October 9 for a call.

Kind regards.



## Ralph Gabric

Partner

ralph.gabric@haynesboone.com

Haynes and Boone, LLP

180 N. LaSalle St. Suite 2215 Chicago, IL 60601

(t) +1 312.216.1632 (f) +1 312.216.1621

vCard | Bio | Website

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission is confidential, may be privileged and should be read or retained only by the intended



recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete it from your system.

