

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

GREE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUPERCELL OY,

Defendant.

§ The Honorable Rodney Gilstrap  
§  
§  
§ Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00200-JRG-RSP  
§  
§ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED  
§  
§  
§

**DEFENDANT SUPERCELL OY’S PRELIMINARY INELIGIBILITY CONTENTIONS**

Pursuant to Judge Gilstrap’s Standing Order Regarding Subject Matter Eligibility Contentions Applicable to All Patent Infringement Cases Assigned to Chief District Judge Rodney Gilstrap (“Standing Order”) and the Court’s Docket Control Order (Dkt. No. 53), Defendant Supercell Oy (“Supercell” or “Defendant”) serve these Preliminary Ineligibility Contentions (“Ineligibility Contentions”) addressing how the asserted claims of the following U.S. Patent Nos.: 10,328,347 (“the ’347 Patent”); 10,300,385 (“the ’385 Patent”); 10,307,675 (“the ’675 Patent”); 10,307,676 (“the ’676 Patent”); 10,307,677 (“the ’677 Patent”); 10,307,678 (“the ’678 Patent”); 10,335,682 (“the ’682 Patent”); 10,335,683 (“the ’683 Patent”); and 10,398,978 (“the ’978 Patent”) (the “Asserted Patents”) are invalid.

**I. RESERVATIONS AND OBJECTIONS**

**A. General Reservations**

Pursuant to paragraph (c) of the Standing Order, Supercell reserves the right to amend or supplement these Preliminary Ineligibility Contentions should GREE: (1) amend its infringement contentions; or (2) if the Court’s Claim construction ruling so requires.

The information provided should not be deemed an admission regarding the scope of any claims or the proper construction of those claims or any terms contained therein. Supercell's claim construction disclosures will be provided under P.R. 4 as required by the Court's Docket Control Order. Nothing contained in these Preliminary Ineligibility Contentions should be understood or deemed to be an express or implied admission or contention with respect to the absence of factual disputes relating patent ineligibility, the absence of a need for construction of any terms in an asserted claim, any proper construction of any terms in an asserted claim, or alleged infringement of that claim. There is no claim construction issue or factual issue that precludes the Court finding that the claims of the asserted patents are patent-ineligible.

Nothing in these disclosures should be treated as an admission that Supercell is obligated to produce documentation not under its custody or control, or that can be obtained from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome and/or less expensive, or for which the burden or expense outweighs its likely benefit. Supercell expressly reserves the right to revise, amend, and/or supplement its disclosures and document production should additional documentation become available.

**B. Asserted Claims**

Supercell understands that GREE asserts the following claims from the respective Asserted Patents (collectively the "Asserted Claims"):

<b>Patent No.</b>	<b>Asserted Claims</b>
10,328,347	1 – 30
10,300,385	1 – 3, 9 – 11, 17, and 18
10,307,675	1 – 30
10,307,676	1 – 24

<b>Patent No.</b>	<b>Asserted Claims</b>
10,307,677	1 – 20
10,307,678	1 – 14
10,335,682	1 – 16
10,335,683	1 – 12
10,398,978	1 – 18

These preliminary infringement contentions address only the Asserted Claims. Supercell reserves the right to supplement these contentions if GREE asserts infringement of any claim other than the Asserted Claims.

**C. Ongoing discovery**

Supercell’s discovery and investigation in this lawsuit are ongoing, and therefore, Supercell reserves the right to revise, amend, and/or supplement these Preliminary Ineligibility Contentions as discovery progresses and as it discovers additional information. Discovery is ongoing, and Supercell’s prior art investigation and third-party discovery are in the initial stages. Supercell’s Preliminary Invalidity Contentions have not yet come due. As such, Supercell reserves the right to revise, amend, and/or supplement the information provided herein, including identifying, and relying on additional references, should Supercell’s further search and analysis yield additional information or references, consistent with the Local Rules, Judge Gilstrap’s Standing Order, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In particular, Supercell reserves the right to rely on, and Supercell incorporates by reference into its Preliminary Ineligibility Contentions, all prior art identified by Supercell in conjunction with its Preliminary Invalidity Contentions. Supercell also reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement these

Ineligibility Contentions to include prior art under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 identified in its Preliminary Invalidity Contentions.

Supercell reserves the right to rely on all documents produced Supercell, as well as Plaintiff, any predecessors in interest, the named inventors, and any other third parties, as discovery is ongoing.

## II. DOCUMENT PRODUCTION REQUIRED BY P.R. 3-4

Pursuant to P.R. 3-4(b), Supercell is serving on GREE, concurrently with these Preliminary Ineligibility Contentions, documents that support that the claims of the asserted patents are patent-ineligible.

Dated: November 13, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jessica M. Kaempf

Jessica M. Kaempf (Admitted E.D. Texas)  
Jeffrey A. Ware (Admitted E.D. Texas)  
FENWICK & WEST LLP  
1191 Second Ave., 10th Floor  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Telephone: 206.389.4510  
Facsimile: 206.389.4511  
Email: jkaempf@fenwick.com  
jware@fenwick.com

Michael J. Sacksteder (Admitted E.D. Texas)  
Bryan A. Kohm (Admitted E.D. Texas)  
FENWICK & WEST LLP  
555 California Street, 12th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: 415.875.2300  
Facsimile: 415.281.1350  
Email: msacksteder@fenwick.com  
bkohm@fenwick.com

Geoffrey Robert Miller  
(Texas State Bar No. 24094847)  
FENWICK & WEST LLP  
902 Broadway, Suite 14  
New York, NY 10010  
Telephone: 650.988.8500  
Facsimile: 650.938.5200  
Email: gmill@fenwick.com

Deron R. Dacus  
THE DACUS FIRM, PC  
821 ESE Loop 323, Suite 430  
Tyler, Texas 75701  
Telephone: 903.705.1117  
Facsimile: 903.581.2543  
Email: ddacus@dacusfirm.com  
*Attorneys for Defendant Supercell OY*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.