

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

GREE, INC., Plaintiff, v. SUPERCELL OY, Defendant.	§ § § § § § § § § §	Case Nos. 2:19-cv-00161-JRG-RSP 2:19-cv-00172-JRG-RSP 2:19-cv-00200-JRG-RSP 2:19-cv-00237-JRG-RSP
--	--	---

THIRD DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that the following schedule of deadlines are in effect until further order of this Court:

Initial Deadline	New Deadline	Event
December 7, 2020		*Jury Selection -9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas.
November 9, 2020		*Notify Deputy Clerk in Charge regarding the date and time by which juror questionnaires shall be presented to accompany by jury summons if the Parties desire to avail themselves the benefit of using juror questionnaires. ¹
November 12, 2020		*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Roy Payne.
November 5, 2020		*Notify Court of Agreements Reached During Meet and Confer. The parties are ordered to meet and confer on any outstanding objections or motions <i>in</i>

¹ The Parties are referred to the Court's Standing Order Regarding Use of Juror Questionnaires in Advance of *Voir Dire*.

		<i>limine</i> . The parties shall advise the Court of any agreements reached no later than 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial conference.
November 5, 2020		*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form, Responses to Motions <i>in Limine</i> , Updated Exhibit Lists, Updated Witness Lists, and Updated Deposition Designations.
October 29, 2020		*File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Shelly Holmes, at shelly_holmes@txed.uscourts.gov.
October 23, 2020		File Motions <i>in Limine</i> The parties shall limit their motions <i>in limine</i> to issues that if improperly introduced at trial would be so prejudicial that the Court could not alleviate the prejudice by giving appropriate instructions to the jury.
October 23, 2020		Serve Objections to Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures.
October 15, 2020		Serve Objections to Pretrial Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures.
October 1, 2020		Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness List, Deposition Designations, and Exhibit List) by the Party with the Burden of Proof.
September 24, 2020	October 12, 2020	*Response to Dispositive Motions (including <i>Daubert</i> Motions). Responses to dispositive motions that were filed <u>prior</u> to the dispositive motion deadline, including <i>Daubert</i> Motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e), not to exceed the deadline as set forth in this Docket Control

		Order. ² Motions for Summary Judgment shall comply with Local Rule CV-56.
September 10, 2020	September 28, 2020	*File Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including <i>Daubert</i> Motions). No motion to strike expert testimony (including a <i>Daubert</i> motion) may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.
September 10, 2020	September 28, 2020	*File Dispositive Motions No dispositive motion may be filed after this date without leave of the Court. Motions shall comply with Local Rule CV-56 and Local Rule CV-7. <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances. Exceptional circumstances require more than agreement among the parties.</u>
September 3, 2020	September 25, 2020	Deadline to Complete Expert Discovery.
August 20, 2020	September 11, 2020	Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal Expert Witnesses.
July 30, 2020	August 21, 2020	Serve Disclosures for Expert Witnesses by the Party with the Burden of Proof.

² The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that “[a] party's failure to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein creates a presumption that the party does not controvert the facts set out by movant and has no evidence to offer in opposition to the motion.” If the deadline under Local Rule CV 7(e) exceeds the deadline for Response to Dispositive Motions, the deadline for Response to Dispositive Motions controls.

July 30, 2020	August 20, 2020 ³	Deadline to Complete Fact Discovery and File Motions to Compel Discovery. ⁴
---------------	------------------------------	--

(*) indicates a deadline that cannot be changed without showing good cause. Good cause is not shown merely by indicating that the parties agree that the deadline should be changed.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Mediation: While certain cases may benefit from mediation, such may not be appropriate for every case. The Court finds that the Parties are best suited to evaluate whether mediation will benefit the case after the issuance of the Court's claim construction order. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS the Parties to file a Joint Notice indicating whether the case should be referred for mediation within fourteen days of the issuance of the Court's claim construction order. As a part of such Joint Notice, the Parties should indicate whether they have a mutually agreeable mediator for the Court to consider. If the Parties disagree about whether mediation is appropriate the Parties should set forth a brief statement of their competing positions in the Joint Notice.

Summary Judgment Motions, Motions to Strike Expert Testimony, and Daubert Motions: For each motion, the moving party shall provide the Court with two (2) hard copies of the completed briefing (opening motion, response, reply, and if applicable, sur-reply), excluding exhibits, in D-three-ring binders, appropriately tabbed. All documents shall be single-sided and must include the CM/ECF header. These copies shall be delivered to the Court within three (3) business days after briefing has completed. For expert-related motions, complete digital copies of the relevant expert report(s) and accompanying exhibits shall submitted on a single flash drive to the Court. Complete digital copies of the expert report(s) shall be delivered to the Court no later than the dispositive motion deadline.

³ The parties agree that, after July 30, 2020, neither party will serve new written discovery or deposition notices in the -161, -172, -200 or -237 proceedings to each other or to third parties, unless warranted based on information learned after July 30, 2020, from written discovery or completing depositions that have already been served or noticed by one of the parties in those cases or good cause otherwise exists. The parties further agree that nothing herein precludes the parties from receiving responses to discovery that has already been served.

⁴ To date, Supercell has not been able to take depositions of GREE's witnesses due to Japanese governmental restrictions that both prevent travel to Japan and prevent video depositions. Supercell thus believes that extension of the case schedule is needed at least in order to accommodate those depositions, and Supercell reserves the right to seek additional extensions as needed.

Indefiniteness: In lieu of early motions for summary judgment, the parties are directed to include any arguments related to the issue of indefiniteness in their *Markman* briefing, subject to the local rules' normal page limits.

Motions for Continuance: The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:

- (a) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
- (b) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;
- (c) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

Amendments to the Docket Control Order (“DCO”): Any motion to alter any date on the DCO shall take the form of a motion to amend the DCO. The motion to amend the DCO shall include a proposed order that lists all of the remaining dates in one column (as above) and the proposed changes to each date in an additional adjacent column (if there is no change for a date the proposed date column should remain blank or indicate that it is unchanged). In other words, the DCO in the proposed order should be complete such that one can clearly see all the remaining deadlines and the changes, if any, to those deadlines, rather than needing to also refer to an earlier version of the DCO.

Proposed DCO: The Parties' Proposed DCO should also follow the format described above under “Amendments to the Docket Control Order (‘DCO’).”

SIGNED this 28th day of July, 2020.


ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE