

Patent No. 10,335,682 — Petition for Post-Grant Review

Filed on behalf of Supercell Oy

By:

JENNIFER R. BUSH, Reg. No 50,784
MICHAEL J. SACKSTEDER (*pro hac vice*)
BRIAN HOFFMAN, Reg. No. 39,713
KEVIN X. MCGANN, Reg. No. 48,793
GREGORY HOPEWELL, Reg. No. 66,012
GEOFFREY MILLER (*pro hac vice*)
FENWICK & WEST LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Telephone: 650.988.8500
Facsimile: 650.938.5200

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SUPERCCELL OY,
Petitioner,

v.

GREE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Post Grant Review No. _____
Patent 10,335,682 B2

**PETITION FOR POST-GRANT REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT 10,335,682**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 CFR § 42.8(A)(1)).....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C. Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(3)).....	2
D. Service of Information (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(4)).....	2
III. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS	2
A. Timing	2
B. Grounds for Standing (37 CFR § 42.204(a))	3
IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE '682 PATENT.....	3
A. Specification.....	3
B. Prosecution History	8
V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 CFR § 42.204(B) AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	9
A. Effective Filing Date of the Challenged Claims	9
B. Claims for Which PGR Is Requested, Precise Relief Requested, and Specific Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge Is Based	10
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	10
D. Claim Construction (37 CFR § 42.204(b)(3)).....	11
1. The Claimed Invention.....	12
2. “At least one of a set of game contents”	14
3. “Template”	15

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
4. Intended Use: “being used for reproducing...”	15
VI. IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '682 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	16
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-16 of the '682 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	16
1. Patentable Subject Matter Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	16
2. The 2019 Eligibility Guidance Was Not Addressed During Prosecution.	20
3. Claims 1-16 of the '682 Patent are Not Materially Different from the Claims Previously Invalidated in the '594 Patent	23
4. Prong One of Alice Step 1: Claims 1-16 of the '682 Patent Recite the Abstract Idea of Managing and Playing a Game Involving Transmitting and Receiving Information for Reproducing Positions of Game Contents	26
a. Managing and Playing a Game Involving Transmitting and Receiving Information for Reproducing Positions of Game Contents Is a Mental Process and a Longstanding Method of Organizing Human Activity	27
b. Managing and Playing a Game Involving Transmitting and Receiving Information for Reproducing Positions of Game Contents Is a Manually Achievable Purpose.....	31
5. Prong Two of Alice Step 1: Claims 1-16 of the '682 Patent Do Not Recite a Practical Application of the Abstract Idea	35
a. The Additional Elements Do No More than Implement the Abstract Idea on a Computer.....	35

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
b. The Claims Are Not Directed to an Improvement in Computer Functionality or Other Technology	36
6. Alice Step 2: Claims 1-16 of the '682 Patent Provide No “Inventive Concept”	39
a. The Claims Recite Purely Functional Components	40
b. The Claims Do Not Capture the Purported Innovation	42
c. The Claims Are Well-understood, Routine, Conventional.....	44
7. The Dependent Claims Add Nothing Inventive.	45
B. Ground 2: Claims 1-16 of the '682 Patent Are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as Obvious Over Cho and GSB.....	46
1. Independent Claims 1 and 9.....	47
a. Cho and GSB disclose “A method performed by a user terminal used by a first player” of claim 1, and “A method performed by a user terminal used by a second player” comprising “executing a game” of claim 9.....	47
b. Cho and GSB disclose “transmitting first information to a server from the user terminal [...] identifying a second player [...] different from the first player and being designated by the first player” of claims 1 and the server “receiving first information identifying the second player...” of claim 9	48

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
c. Cho and GSB disclose “the server receiving second information from another user terminal executing a game, the second information being associated with the second player and [...] indicating types and positions of at least one of a set of game contents arranged within at least a part of a game space” of claims 1, and “transmitting second information to [the] server from the user terminal [of the second player]” of claim 9.....	50
d. Cho and GSB disclose “receiving, at the user terminal, third information from the server based on the first information, the third information being associated with the second player, [...] related to the second information, and [...] used for reproducing the types and the positions of the at least one of the set of game contents arranged within the at least a part of the game space in the user terminal” of claims 1, “the server transmitting third information to the another user terminal” used by the first player of claim 9	53
2. Independent Claims 10 and 14.....	56
a. Cho and GSB disclose “A method for controlling a first computer” of claim 10, and “A method for controlling a second computer comprising a memory” of claim 14.....	56
b. Cho and GSB disclose “executing a game by arranging a set of plurality of game contents within a game space based on a player’s command, [...] including at least one game content for defending from another player’s attack” of claim 10.....	56

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.