

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GRÜNENTHAL GMBH,
Petitioner

v.

ANTECIP BIOVENTURES II LLC,
Patent Owner

Case PGR2019-00028
U.S. Patent No. 10,052,338

PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. The “method of treating” preamble language is limiting.....1

II. The record supports construing “autonomic motor change” so that the claims are not indefinite.1

III. Petitioner’s vague combination of references cannot support a finding of obviousness.2

IV. Petitioner’s failure to address a POSA’s facility with the Italian language undermines any contention that a POSA could have located non-patent references available only in Italian.....3

V. Petitioner has not proven that a POSA would have had a reasonable expectation of success in combining the teachings of the multiple non-patent references cited as rendering the claims obvious.5

VI. Petitioner has not met its burden with respect to claim 12.....14

VII. Petitioner has not produced evidence sufficient to support a legal conclusion of “printed publication” status for the asserted non-patent references.15

VIII. Patent Owner need not rely on an expert in order to prevail.....22

IX. CONCLUSION.....26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Acceleration Bay, LLC v. Activision Blizzard Inc.</i> , 908 F.3d 765 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	15, 16, 21
<i>Adaptics Limited v. Perfect Company</i> , Case IPR2018-01596, slip op. (PTAB Mar. 6, 2019).....	2, 3
<i>Belden, Inc. v. Berk-Tek, LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	15
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int’l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	1
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	22, 24, 25
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe, Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	22
<i>Grünenthal GmbH v. Antecip Bioventures II LLC</i> , PGR2018-00062, Paper 15 (PTAB Jan. 31, 2019).....	13
<i>Handi Quilter, Inc. v. Bernina Int’l AG</i> , Case IPR2013-00364, slip op. (PTAB Sept. 25, 2014)	24
<i>In re Klopfenstein</i> , 380 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	20
<i>In re Lister</i> , 583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	19
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools Int’l, Ltd.</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	22, 23, 24
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	2
<i>Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. v. Ibox IP Holdings Co. Ltd.</i> , Case IPR2018-00094, slip op. (PTAB May 7, 2019).....	20

Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH v. Glenmark Pharms. Inc., USA,
748 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2014)12

Spectra Logic Corp. v. Overland Storage, Inc.,
Case IPR2013-00357, slip op. (PTAB Jan. 8, 2015).....24

SRI Int'l, Inc. v. Internet Sec. Sys., Inc.,
511 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2008)21

Unified Patents Inc. v. Digital Stream IP, LLC,
Case IPR2016-01749, slip op. (PTAB Mar. 9, 2018).....24

Wasica Fin. GmbH v. Cont'l Auto. Sys., Inc.,
853 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2017)17

In re Wyer,
655 F.2d 221 (C.C.P.A. 1981)16

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1746.....20

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)15

35 U.S.C. § 10312

35 U.S.C. § 112.....2, 12

35 U.S.C. § 322(a)(3).....3

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 1.6820

37 C.F.R. § 42.221

37 C.F.R. § 42.5321

37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)23

37 C.F.R. § 42.200(b)2

83 Fed. Reg. 51,3402

Consol. Trial Practice Guide, § II.F (Nov. 2019)23

Consol. Trial Practice Guide, § II.I (Nov. 2019)17

<https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/informative-opinions-0>.....3

<https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patent-trial-and-appeal-board/precedential-informative-decisions>3

U.S. Copyright Office, Compendium of U.S. Copyright Office Practices § 2202.2(A) (3d ed. 2017)19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.