

Filed: January 3, 2019

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GRÜNENTHAL GMBH,

Petitioner,

v.

ANTECIP BIOVENTURES II LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

U.S. Patent No. 10,052,338

---

**PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	4
	A. Real Parties-in-Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1)).....	4
	B. Related Matters (§ 42.8(b)(2)) .....	5
	C. Lead and Back-up Counsel (§ 42.8(b)(3)) .....	7
	D. Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4)).....	7
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES .....	7
IV.	TIME FOR FILING PETITION.....	7
V.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	8
VI.	CHALLENGED CLAIMS AND RELIEF SOUGHT.....	8
VII.	THE '338 PATENT PROSECUTION HISTORY .....	9
VIII.	THE PERTINENT ART AND THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	13
IX.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	14
	A. The Preambles of Claims 1-30 Should Be Construed as Non-Limiting.....	16
	B. Alternatively, the Preambles Should Be Construed in Accordance with the Broad Definition of “Treating” in the Specification .....	18
X.	THE '338 PATENT IS ELIGIBLE FOR PGR.....	19
	A. Claims 10-12, 26-28.....	21
	B. Claims 13, 29.....	22

XI.	HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	24
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-16 Are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Varenna 2011 and/or Gatti and/or Muratore, in combination with Harden.....	24
1.	Claim 1 .....	24
2.	Claims 2 and 3.....	37
3.	Claim 4.....	38
4.	Claim 5.....	39
5.	Claims 6 and 7.....	42
6.	Claim 8.....	42
7.	Claim 9.....	44
8.	Claims 10-12.....	45
9.	Claim 13.....	47
10.	Claims 14-16.....	48
11.	No Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness Support Patentability of Claims 1-16 .....	49
B.	Ground 2: Claims 17-30 Are Unpatentable for Indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112 .....	50
C.	Ground 3: Claims 17-30 Are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Varenna 2011 and/or Gatti and/or Muratore, in Combination with Harden and Drummond.....	52
1.	Claim 17.....	52
2.	Claims 18 and 19.....	62
3.	Claim 20.....	63
4.	Claim 21 .....	64

5.	Claims 22 and 23.....	66
6.	Claim 24.....	67
7.	Claim 25.....	69
8.	Claims 26-28.....	70
9.	Claim 29.....	72
10.	Claim 30.....	73
11.	No Objective Indicia of Nonobviousness Support Patentability of Claims 17-30.....	74
D.	Ground 4: Alternatively, Claims 1-30 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for Lack of Written Description.....	75
1.	Claims 1-16.....	76
2.	Claims 17-30.....	77
XII.	GROUND ARE NOT REDUNDANT.....	78
XIII.	CONCLUSION.....	79

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Allen Eng'g Corp. v. Bartell Indus., Inc.</i> , 299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	16
<i>Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly &amp; Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	21, 75, 76
<i>Bosch Auto. Serv. Sols., LLC v. Matal</i> , 878 F.3d 1027 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	33
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Labs., Inc.</i> , 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	17
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int'l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	16, 17, 18
<i>In re Aller</i> , 220 F.2d 454 (C.C.P.A. 1955) .....	40, 65
<i>In re Boesch</i> , 617 F.2d 272 (C.C.P.A. 1980) .....	40, 65
<i>In re Hoeschele</i> , 406 F.2d 1403 (C.C.P.A. 1969) .....	40, 65
<i>In re Packard</i> , 751 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	50
<i>In re Wertheim</i> , 541 F.2d 257 (C.C.P.A. 1976) .....	47, 72
<i>In re Williams</i> , 17 C.C.P.A. 718 (C.C.P.A. 1929) .....	40, 65
<i>Inguran, LLC v. Premium Genetics (UK) Ltd.</i> , PGR2015-00017, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 22, 2015) .....	20
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 11 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 26, 2012) .....	78

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.