## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GENOME & COMPANY, Petitioner,

v.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, Patent Owner.

Case PGR2019-00002 Patent 9,855,302 B2

\_\_\_\_

Record of Oral Hearing Held: January 15, 2020

\_\_\_\_

Before SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, SUSAN L.C. MITCHELL, and JOHN E. SCHNEIDER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



### APPEARANCES:

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JOHN A. BAUER, ESQUIRE NELSON MULLINS 280 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017 646-428-2615

### ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

SCOTT E. KAMHOLZ COVINGTON & BURLING LLP ONE CITYCENTER 850 10TH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 200001 202-662-5339

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, January 15, 2020, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314.



| 1  | (Proceedings begin at 1:00 p.m.)                              |
|----|---|
| 2  | JUDGE MITCHELL: You may be seated.                            |
| 3  | So good afternoon, everyone. We have a final                  |
| 4  | hearing this afternoon in PGR2019-00002. I am Judge Mitchell, |
| 5  | and seated to my left is Judge Snedden, and with us by video  |
| 6  | conference, is Judge Schneider.                               |
| 7  | I would like to get appearances for the parties on            |
| 8  | the record. So who do we have for Petitioner?                 |
| 9  | MR. BAUER: Good afternoon, Judge. My name is John             |
| 10 | Bauer. I'm here for Petitioner, lead counsel. I'm also here   |
| 11 | with Andrew Schultz and I'm also here with Kongsik Kim, and   |
| 12 | we're from the law firm of Nelson Mullins.                    |
| 13 | JUDGE MITCHELL: Hi.   |
| 14 | MR. BAUER: And we filed the case. How myself                  |
| 15 | and Kongsik's subordinates.                                   |
| 16 | JUDGE MITCHELL: All right. Thank you and welcome.             |
| 17 | MR. BAUER: Thank you.   |
| 18 | JUDGE MITCHELL: And who do we have for Patent                 |
| 19 | Owner?  |
| 20 | MR. KAMHOLZ: Scott Kamholz, lead counsel for                  |
| 21 | Patent Owner. I'm joined by Jennifer Robbins,                 |
| 22 | backup counsel.   |
| 23 | JUDGE MITCHELL: All right. Thank you and welcome.             |
| 24 | We certainly set forth our procedure for how we're            |
| 25 | going to handle the oral hearing today in our order, but I    |



| just wanted to emphasize a couple of things with you before we | e |
|--|---|
| get started.   |   |

| Each of you, as we said in our order, will have one-          |
|---|
| hour total time to present argument per side. And to assist   |
| Judge Schneider in following along with your argument and for |
| the clarity of the record when we go back and take a look, it |
| is very important that if you refer to an exhibit, that you   |
| state the exhibit number and the page number to which you are |
| referring. And when you're referring to a demonstrative, that |
| you state the slide number.                                   |

Petitioner has the burden of showing the unpatentability of the challenged claims and the Petitioner will go first, and certainly, may reserve time for rebuttal. The Patent Owner will then have the opportunity to present its response and may reserve some time for rebuttal also.

We have reviewed Petitioner's Notice of Objections to the Patent Owner's demonstrative exhibits. We're not going to exclude any demonstrative at this time, but Petitioner may certainly address any objectionable demonstrative in its argument if you choose.

Furthermore, we just wanted to emphasize that demonstratives are not evidence and we won't consider them as such. They are used for the benefit of those in this room and for the benefit of the transcript that will become a part of this public record. The panel will distinguish evidence in the record from argument appearing in the demonstrative



| 1  | exhibits and all arguments must be supported by evidence      |
|----|---|
| 2  | already of record and relied on in the briefing. The panel    |
| 3  | will not consider arguments or evidence appearing only in     |
| 4  | demonstrative exhibits.                                       |
| 5  | So, Petitioner, you are welcome to begin, and would           |
| 6  | you like to reserve time for rebuttal?                        |
| 7  | MR. BAUER: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to                   |
| 8  | reserve 20 minutes for rebuttal.                              |
| 9  | JUDGE MITCHELL: All right. Thank you.                         |
| 10 | MR. BAUER: Good afternoon, Judge Mitchell, Judge              |
| 11 | Snedden, Judge Schneider. I represent the Petitioner in this  |
| 12 | case. And may I approach? I have two subsequent               |
| 13 | demonstratives for you.                                       |
| 14 | JUDGE MITCHELL: Certainly.                                    |
| 15 | MR. BAUER: Basically, just to give some framework             |
| 16 | as to the argument that I'm going to present in the opening.  |
| 17 | First, it will be just a general introduction with respect to |
| 18 | what the patent is about. And then I'll say a couple of words |
| 19 | about our expert, Dr. Braun. And then I'll address            |
| 20 | obviousness   |
| 21 | JUDGE SCHNEIDER: Counsel, I'm sorry. I can barely             |
| 22 | hear you. Could you adjust the microphone a little bit? Just  |
| 23 |   |
| 24 | MR. BAUER: Is that better, Judge.                             |
| 25 | JUDGE SCHNEIDER: Yeah. That's better. Let's see.              |
| 26 | MR. BAUER: I got to be careful with that. Is this             |



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

