

Nos. 16-1284, -1787

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

Helsinn Healthcare S.A. and Roche Palo Alto LLC,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries, Ltd.,

Defendants-Appellants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey,
Case Nos. 3:11-CV-3962, -5579, -5815, Judge Mary Cooper

**BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE
AMERICAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ASSOCIATION
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES**

Mark L. Whitaker
AIPLA President-Elect
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 6000
Washington, DC 20006-1888
(202) 887-1507
mwhitaker@mofo.com

Lynn C. Tyler
Counsel of Record
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
11 South Meridian Street
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 236-1313
lynn.tyler@btlaw.com

Date: May 2, 2016

2016-1284, -1787

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. AND ROCHE PALO ALTO LLC,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. AND TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, LTD.,

Defendants-Appellants.

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

In accordance with FED. CIR. R. 47.4 and FED. R. APP. P. 26.1, counsel for the Amicus the American Intellectual Property Law Association certifies the following:

1. The full name of every party represented by me is:

American Intellectual Property Law Association.

2. The name of the real party in interest represented by me is: **N/A.**

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the parties represented by me are:

None.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party now represented by me and that are expected to appear in this court are:

Mark L. Whitaker
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 6000
Washington, DC 20006-1888
(202) 887-1507
mwhitaker@mfo.com

Lynn C. Tyler
Counsel of Record
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
11 S. Meridian St.
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 236-1313
lynn.tyler@btlaw.com

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

Date: May 2, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
STATEMENT OF INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	3
ARGUMENT	4
I. The “Big Picture” Confirms The AIA Intentionally Limited Prior Art to What is Publicly Available.	4
A. The AIA Reflects Congress’s Overall Objective to Simplify Patent Law, Making it More Objective, Predictable, and Transparent.....	5
B. The Incentives of The First-Inventor-To-File System.....	8
II. The Plain Language of § 102(a)(1) Excludes Secret Sales as Prior Art.....	11
III. The Legislative History Establishes Prior Art Must Be Publicly Available.	18
IV. Section 102(b)(1)(B) Is Not to the Contrary.	19
V. Allowing Secret Sales to be Prior Art Would be Antagonistic to the AIA’s Objectives.	22
VI. Other Policy Considerations Support The District Court’s Decision.....	25
CONCLUSION.....	26
PROOF OF SERVICE.....	28
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

Cases

Allen Engineering Corp. v. Bartell Industries, Inc.,
299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....12

Beachcombers International, Inc. v. Wildewood Creative Prods, Inc.,
31 F.3d 1154 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....9

Cordis Corp. v. Boston Scientific Corp., 561 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....17

Egbert v. Lippman, 104 U.S. 333 (1881)9, 17

Evans Cooling Systems, Inc. v. General Motors Corp.,
125 F.3d 1448 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....7

EZ Dock v. Schafer Systems, Inc., 276 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....12

Finisar Corp. v. DirecTV Group, Inc., 523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....12, 15

General Electric Co. v. United States, 654 F.2d 55 (Cl. Ct. 1981).....7

Graham County Water and Conservation District v. U.S. ex rel. Wilson,
559 U.S. 280 (2010).....5

Gustafson v. Alloyd Co., 513 U.S. 561 (1995).....5

Hall v. Macneale, 107 U.S. 90 (1883).....7

In re Lister, 583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....17

JumpSport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., 191 Fed. Appx. 926 (Fed. Cir. 2006)9

Metallizing Engineering Co. v. Kenyon Bearing & Automobile Parts Co.,
153 F.2d 516 (2d Cir. 1946).....7, 17, 25

Pfaff v. Wells Electronics., Inc., 525 U.S. 55 (1998) 5, 8, 11-12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.