```
1
                          UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                        FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 3
 4 HELSINN HEALTHCARE, S.A. and
   ROCHE PALO ALTO, LLC,
 5
                                       CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
               Plaintiffs,
 6
                                              11-3962
                -vs-
 7
    DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD.,
                                               TRIAL
 8 DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.,
    TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.,
 oldsymbol{9} and TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL
    INDUSTRIES, LTD.
10
               Defendants.
11
          Clarkson S. Fisher United States Courthouse
12
          402 East State Street
         Trenton, New Jersey 08608
13
         June 9, 2015
14 BEFORE:
                         THE HONORABLE MARY L. COOPER
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 Certified as True and Correct as required by Title 28, U.S.C.,
    Section 753
24
/S/ Regina A. Berenato-Tell, CCR, CRR, RMR, RPR
/S/ Carol Farrell, CCR, CRR, RMR, CCP, RPR, RSA
```

United States District Court Trenton, New Jersey



```
1
       APPEARANCES:
                                                                                                                Colloquy
 2
       PAUL HASTINGS
                                                                               1
                                                                                               (In open court. June 9, 2015, 9:30 a.m.)
 3
            JOSEPH O'MALLEY, ESQUIRE
            ERIC W. DITTMANN, ESQUIRE ISAAC S. ASHKENAZI, ESQUIRE
                                                                               2
                                                                                               THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
 4
                                                                               3
             JAMES BLISS, ESQUIRE
                                                                                              ALL: Good morning, your Honor.
 5
       SAUL EWING
                                                                               4
       BY: CHARLES M. LIZZA, ESQUIRE
                                                                                              THE COURT: You want to debate this issue that's
 6
       Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
                                                                               5
                                                                                      coming up as the stage of the trial shifts to plaintiffs'
 7
                                                                               6
                                                                                      side, or would you rather continue with the presentation of
       BUDD LARNER
 8
       BY: STUART D. SENDER, ESQUIRE
                                                                               7
                                                                                      defendants' side of the evidence first and get to this
             MICHAEL IMBACUAN, ESQUIRE
 9
            HUA HOWARD WANG, ESQUIRE
KENNETH E. CROWELL, ESQUIRE
                                                                               8
                                                                                      argument in a break today?
                                                                               9
10
       Attorneys for the Defendant, Dr. Reddy's Laboratories
                                                                                              MR. O'MALLEY: Your Honor, if possible, we'd like to
                                                                              10
                                                                                      get some resolution on this so we can be planning our
11
       WINSTON & STRAWN
       BY: JOVIAL WONG, ESQUIRE
                                                                              11
                                                                                      presentation for the rest of the week.
12
             GEORGE LOMBARDI, ESQUIRE
             JULIA MANO JOHNSON, ESQUIRE
                                                                              12
                                                                                               THE COURT: This is one expert, maybe two, is it?
13
       BRENDAN F. BARKER, ESQUIRE
LITE DEPALMA, GREENBERG, LLC
                                                                              13
                                                                                               MR. O'MALLEY: Two.
14
             BY: MAYRA V. TARANTINO, ESQUIRE
       Attorneys for the Defendant, Teva
                                                                              14
                                                                                              THE COURT: On their side.
15
                                                                              15
                                                                                               MR. DITTMANN: Two.
16
                                                                              16
                                                                                              MR. O'MALLEY: Correct.
17
                                                                              17
                                                                                              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lombardi, when would you like
18
                                                                              18
                                                                                      to take this up?
19
                                                                              19
                                                                                              MR. LOMBARDI: Your Honor, I will defer to DRL who's
20
                                                                              20
                                                                                      going to be arguing this matter.
                                                                              21
21
                                                                                              MR. SENDER: Your Honor, it really doesn't matter
                                                                              22
                                                                                      because these are not experts that we are using, and it can be
22
                                                                              23
                                                                                      deferred to the end, as far as we're concerned.
23
                                                                              24
                                                                                              THE COURT: We have hours of depositions today and
24
                                                                              25
                                                                                      then the defendants rest.
25
                                                                                                    United States District Court
                      United States District Court
                                                                                                         Trenton, New Jersey
                           Trenton, New Jersev
```

	rienton, New Jersey		· · · · · ·
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS VOIR DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE (Video Deposition of Daniele Bonodeo), 5 (Video Deposition of Roberta Canella), 38 (Video Deposition of Riccardo Braglia), 50 RACHID BENHAMZA By Mr. O'Malley 82 By Ms. Johnson 124 ZOYA IVANOVA MARRIOTT By Mr. Bliss 150 152 By Mr. Sender 175	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Bonadeo - Deposition MR. SENDER: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. I would like to maintain my concentration on the defendants' side of the case, and as soon as they rest, I'll take up this issue. MR. O'MALLEY: Thank you, your Honor. MR. DITTMANN: Thank you, your Honor. MR. LOMBARDI: Mr. Barker will introduce the first deposition to you, Your Honor. MR. BARKER: Good morning, your Honor. So the first deposition we're going to play today is excerpts from the deposition of Daniele Bonadeo. Dr. Bonadeo is a Helsinn employee. He's one of the inventors in all the patents-in-suit, and the excerpts will include designations from plaintiffs and defendants, and they are approximately
4			-
		'	·
8	RACHID BENHAMZA		
9	By Mr. O'Malley 82	8	deposition to you, Your Honor.
10	By MS. Johnson 124	9	MR. BARKER: Good morning, your Honor.
11	By Mr. Bliss 150 152	10	So the first deposition we're going to play today is
12	By Mr. Sender 175	11	excerpts from the deposition of Daniele Bonadeo. Dr. Bonadeo
		12	is a Helsinn employee. He's one of the inventors in all the
		13	patents-in-suit, and the excerpts will include designations
14		14	from plaintiffs and defendants, and they are approximately
15		15	about 40 minutes long.
16		16	THE COURT: That's fine, Mr. Barker. Thank you. Let
17		17	me just make a note before you start the tape.
18		18	Okay. Ready.
19		19	(Video played of DANIELE BONADEO as follows:)
20		20	Q. Good morning.
		21	A. Good morning.
21		22	Q. Please state your name for the record.
22		23	A. My name is Daniele Bonadeo.
23		24	Q. And, Dr. Bonadeo, is that okay?

153

Marriott - Voir Dire

- 1 First name Zoya, Z-O-Y-A, middle name I-V-A-N-O-V-A, last name
- 2 Marriott, M-A-R-R-I-O-T-T.
- 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Excuse me. Before you start, do
 - you have any water?

4

- 5 MR. BLISS: Water, sure.
- 6 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Usually it's up there.
- 7 MR. BLISS: This one looks unopened.
- 8 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.
- 9 MR. BLISS: Your Honor, if I may, I have exhibit
- 10 binders to hand up.
- 11 THE COURT: Fine.
- 12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Do you have one for the law clerk?
- MR. BLISS: Yes.
- 14 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BLISS:
- 15 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Marriott.
- 16 A. Good afternoon.
- 17 Q. What kind of a doctor are you?
- 18 A. I have a Ph.D. in economics.
- 19 Q. so you're not a medical doctor?
- 20 A. No, I'm not.
- Q. And you're not a patent expert?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. And you're not a formulation expert?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. What do you do for a living?

United States District Coun

Trenton, New Jersey

151

Marriott - Voir Dire

- ${\bf 1}$ A. I'm a senior manager at Cornerstone Research, which is an
- $2 \qquad \hbox{economics and financial consulting company.} \\$
- 3 Q. How long have you been with Cornerstone?
- 4 A. Almost ten years.
- Q. What is your role in this trial?
- 6 A. I am here to offer an opinion as to whether Aloxi® is a
- 7 commercially successful product, and, also, to examine the
- 8 extent of marketing expenditures for Helsinn.
- 9 Q. Will you be offering any opinions on the patents-in-suit?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Dr. Marriott, can you please tell us about your
- 12 educational background?
- 13 A. Of course. I have a Bachelor of Science degree in
- 14 mathematics from Novosibirsk State University in Russia, and
- 15 then I have a Master of Arts degree in economics from Central
- 16 European University in Hungary, and from there, I applied and
- 17 was accepted into a Ph.D. program at Boston University. And
- 18 five years later, I defended my dissertation and obtained my
- 19 doctorate in economics.
- $20\,$ Q. Did you specialize in any particular branch of economics?
- 21 A. Yes. My area of specialization was industrial
- 22 organization. This is an area of economics that studies how
- $23\,$ $\,$ economic agents -- companies in particular -- compete, how
- 24 they decide which markets to enter, which products to produce,

Marriott - Direct

- Q. What do you do as a senior manager at Cornerstone?
- 2 A. I conduct economic analysis for complex business
- 3 litigation.

1

4

6

9

11

14

20

22

24

1

4

16

19

- Q. What does that typically involve?
- 5 A. I usually analyze data, internal company documents,
 - academic literature, devise estimation strategies as
- 7 appropriate.
- 8 Q. Have you served as a testifying expert before?
 - A. No. It's my first time.
- 10 Q. Has your work as an economist been focused on any
 - particular industry?
- 12 A. Yes. My entire professional career has been focused on
- 13 life sciences industry, specifically, pharmaceuticals for the
 - most part.
- 15 Q. Do you have any prior experience analyzing commercial
- 16 success in Hatch-Waxman cases?
- 17 A. Yes. I have done so in approximately ten other matters.
- MR. BLISS: Your Honor, we offer Dr. Marriott as an expert economist on the secondary consideration of commercia
 - expert economist on the secondary consideration of commercial success.
- 21 MR. SENDER: I have no objection, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. So admitted.
- 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BLISS:
 - Q. Dr. Marriott, what is your opinion on whether Aloxi® is a
- 25 commercially successful product?

United States District Court

Trenton, New Jersey

Marriott - Direct

- A. Based on the analysis I conducted, I conclude that Aloxi®
- 2 is, in fact, a commercially successful product.
- 3 Q. What indicators of commercial success did you consider in
 - forming your opinion?
- ${\bf 5}$ $\,$ A. $\,$ I have looked into the sales, both levels and growth
- 6 rates for Aloxi®. I have examined sales and growth relative
- 7 to other drugs on the market, looked into market share, and,
- 8 finally, I have looked into profitability of Aloxi® to
- 9 Helsinn.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. One moment, please.
- 11 Counsel, does any one of the parties have any requests
 12 that any of this information be sealed as confidential?
- 13 MR. BLISS: I don't believe, so but --
- 14 MR. O'MALLEY: No, Your Honor. It's our confidential15 information. We have no such request.
 - THE COURT: Very well. You may proceed, counsel.
- 17 BY MR. BLISS:
- 18 Q. Dr. Marriott, in your experience, are those the
 - indicators that economists typically consider in analyzing
- 20 commercial success?
- 21 A. Yes, for the most part. Profitability, sometimes it's
- 22 hard to establish because not all companies have
- product-specific level data on that, but the other indicators are very typical.

DOCKET A L A R M 154

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

155

156

Marriott - Direct

- products. How did you determine the relevant market for
- 2 Aloxi®?

1

9

- A. So, in the Hatch-Waxman case, such as this one, the
- 4 economists typically look at relevant therapeutic category as
- 5 the relevant market. So this is what I've done here. I've
- 6 looked to see what drugs are in the relevant therapeutic
- 7 category. And to do so, I've looked at the company documents.
- 8 I've looked at how the data distinguishes between the drugs.
 - I've looked at the drug labels.
- 10 Q. And what did you conclude based on your consideration of
- 11 those materials?
- 12 A. So, I concluded that in this case, the relevant
- 13 therapeutic category was other 5-HT₃ antagonists, and, also,
- some of the documents indicated that NK-1 antagonist, Emend,
- 15 can also potentially be included, so whenever I had data for
- 16 Emend, I've included it in the consideration.
- 17 Q. Emend is an NK-1 product?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: How do you spell that?
- THE WITNESS: E-M-E-N-D.
- 21 BY MR. BLISS:
- Q. Do NK-1s compete directly with 5-HT₃s?
- 23 A. So, in a sense of therapeutic -- therapeutic category,
- 24 they do not compete directly because they are indicated to be
- 25 used with other 5-HT, drugs.

United States District Court

Trenton. New Jersev

Marriott - Direct

- Q. Does your opinion depend in any way on whether NK-1
- 2 antagonists are included in the relevant therapeutic category?
- 3 A. No

1

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 4 Q. As of the time you performed your analysis, how many
- 5 $5-HT_3$ and NK-1 products were on the market?
- 6 A. I've prepared the demonstrative if that will help.
- 7 Q. Please walk us through the products on this slide.
- 8 A. Sure.
 - THE COURT: So you've got 5-HT, antagonists and NK-1 antagonists on this slide, right?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. That is correct.
 - So Aloxi $^{\circ}$, which is the red bar, was the fourth 5-HT $_3$ on the market. It was approved for treatment of acute and delayed CINV in July, 2003.
 - The first product on the market, the first 5-HT, was Zofran, generic name ondansetron, and that one was approved for CINV in January, '91. There was another ondansetron product that was launched later on that was Zofran orally disintegrating tablet, or Zofran ODT. So that one was approved in January '99. Both of these drugs went generic in late 2006.
- 22 THE COURT: Was the original Zofran an I.V. product?
- THE WITNESS: They had both I.V. and oral
- 24 formulations.

Marriott - Direct

THE WITNESS: But it was not a disintegrating tablet,
just a tablet, a pill.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

THE WITNESS: So after Zofran, after ondansetron, the next product was Kytril, generic name granisetron. That one was approved for CINV in December, '93. And it also went generic later on.

And then the next product was Anzemet, generic name dolasetron, and that one was approved for CINV in September, $^{\mathsf{L}}$ 97

So after Aloxi®, there were also two other 5-HT₃ products that were approved. One was Sancuso. That was a granisetron patch. And the other one was Zuplenz, which is an ondansetron oral film.

THE COURT: What is an oral film?

THE WITNESS: It's --

THE COURT: I've never heard of that.

THE WITNESS: It's a really thin film, as far as I understand. I'm not a doctor.

20 THE COURT: Right, right, right.

THE WITNESS: And luckily, I didn't have to take it.

But it's a very thin film that you put on your tongue and it dissolves, so I think there's some benefits because of that.

So Sancuso was approved in September, 2008 for CINV,

and Zuplenz was approved for CINV in July 2010. And then the

157

United States District Court

Trenton, New Jersey

Marriott - Direct

last bar, that's the NK-1 product, Emend. That one was

approved shortly before Aloxi® in March, 2003. And it entered

3 the market shortly thereafter.

BY MR. BLISS:

5 Q. How many of the $5\text{-HT}_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$ --

THE COURT: When you say "approved," you're using a term. "approved."

THE WITNESS: FDA approved.

THE COURT: FDA approved.

10 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.

11 THE COURT: Did they enter the market once they were 12 approved, each of these ones?

THE WITNESS: So all of them entered the market -- well, I should say with an exception of the oral Aloxi®, all the other drugs entered the market upon being FDA approved, but sometimes there was a lag, maybe a couple of months.

THE COURT: Okay. So your slide here is just showing us the date of the FDA approval?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 BY MR. BLISS:

Q. How many of the 5-HT, products were approved to treat

24 delayed CINV?

Marriott - Direct

- delayed CINV. All of the other 5-HT, products are approved to treat acute CINV only.
- $\,$ Q. So getting back to the indicators you considered, what 4 $\,$ did you find with regard to the level and growth of Aloxi $^\circ$
- 6 A. I have prepared another demonstrative for that.
- 7 Q. What does this demonstrative show?
- A. So this demonstrative shows us annual sales of Aloxi®
 from 2003. That's the year when it was launched on the
 market, and through the first seven months of 2013.

So as you can see from this demonstrative, the first full year that Aloxi® was on the market, it generated \$188 million in sales, and the sales continued to grow. 2006, there were 326 million. 2009 there was 434, continued to grow. In 2011 for 478 million. 473 in 2012, and then that last bar, that's a partial year, so that's the seven months of 2013 during which it generated 271 million.

THE COURT: And why does your chart end with that first half of 2013?

THE WITNESS: So, I filed my expert report in October, 2013. These are the data that were available at the time that I was preparing my report.

23 BY MR. BLISS:

Q. Dr. Marriott, did you consider how Aloxi°'s dollar sales compared to other products in the therapeutic category?

United States District Court

Trenton, New Jersey

Marriott - Direct

the market in 2007, I believe it was first quarter of 2007.
Q. Can you explain that last point a little more? what's the significance of generic entry?

A. So, "generic" means from a pharmaceutical industry, when generic drugs enter the therapeutic category, there is a lot of pressure from the payors, from the insurance companies, from Medicare, to switch patients to cheaper drugs.

So the fact that Aloxi® is able to not only maintain the high level of sales but also to grow it over time speaks to the value that patients realize from the drug.

Q. Did you consider the fact that, during the time period covered by your analysis, Aloxi®'s peak annual sales were not as high as the peak annual sales for Zofran?

A. Yes. It's not surprising to me really because it's a well-established fact in the health economics literature, and generally understood in the industry as well, that the first mover in the category has an advantage. There are papers that demonstrate that the first mover generally grows for longer period of time, achieves higher peak levels of sales and overall throughout the life cycle generates more in sales compared to later entrants.

THE COURT: I notice that -- it's a little hard for me to see when the Zofran goes generic here, just because of the way the graph lines run. But does it indicate that in the first quarter of 2007 is when Zofran went generic?

United States District Court

Trenton, New Jersey

Marriott - Direct

A. Yes. I guess one thing I wanted to mention, just to summarize this whole growth, is that throughout this whole time it generated \$3.6 billion. I just thought that's an important number to underline here, and the sales --

 ${\sf S}$ Q. And what was the compound annual growth rate?

6 A. 12.2 percent.

7 Q. Thank you.

A. Sorry. And, yes, I have considered how the growth and the level of sales compared to other drugs. And I have demonstratives for that, as well.

11 Q. So let's look at your first sales comparison. What does 12 this demonstrative show?

A. So, this demonstrative shows the annual sales for Aloxi® as well as other 5-HT₃ drugs and Emend, the NK-1 product from 2003, the year when Aloxi® was launched, through, again, the first seven months of 2013.

So as you can see from this demonstrative, Aloxi®, which is the red bar here, entered the rather crowded market. There were drugs with substantial sales already on the market. But already by 2004, 2005, it has overtaken many of the previous entrants in terms of the levels of sale. Really, the only exception was Zofran, which was the first entrant on the market

And the sales of Aloxi® continued to grow over time,

Marriott - Direct

THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you've indicated that by saying ${\mathord{\text{--}}}$

THE WITNESS: Actually, sorry, I take it back. I think it was the last quarter of 2006 probably because if you scroll down to the very top of that blue bar, there is this tiny little shaded area. These are the generic ondansetron sales. So I think it was approved towards the very end of the year.

THE COURT: Oh, and so there would have been branded -- what do you call them, brand or prescription?

THE COURT: Branded. They are all prescription, of course, whether they are generic or not?

THE WITNESS: Branded drug.

THE WITNESS: Right.

THE COURT: But the branded sales of Zofran are accompanied by a few generic sales of the Zofran generic --

18 THE WITNESS: Right.

THE COURT: -- in that first year where the generic shows up?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And then the next year which is 2007, there are a few branded sales, but most of the generic Zofran accounts for Zofran dollars, right?

