Post Grant Review No.
Patent No. 9,173,942
Petition for Post Grant Review
Attorney Docket No. REDDY 7.2R-022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. and
DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. and ROCHE PALO ALTO LLC,
Patent Owners.

U.S. Patent No. 9,173,942 to Giorgio Calderari *et al.*
Issue Date: November 3, 2015
Title: LIQUID PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATIONS OF PALONOSETRON

Post Grant Review No. PGR2016-00008

PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW OF
CLAIMS 1-19 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,173,942
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 321-329 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.200 *ET SEQ.*

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

EXHIBIT LIST v

NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL PURUSANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) 1

NOTICE OF EACH REAL PARTY IN INTEREST PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) 1

NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) 2

NOTICE OF SERVICE INFORMATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4) 3

GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.204 4

IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(1) AND 42.204(b) 6

SUPPORTING EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(5) 7

STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED 7

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 7

II. THE CLAIMS UNDER CONSIDERATION 10

III. THE SPECIFICATION AND PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE '942 PATENT 10

A. The Specification Of The '942 Patent 10

B. The Prosecution History Of The '942 Patent And Its Ancestors 14

1. The Original Formulation Claims Of The Priority Applications Required A Stable Solution For Preventing Emesis 14

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2. The Patent Owners' Earlier-Issued U.S. Patents Claimed Various Combinations Of Ingredients, Method Steps, And The Like, But Always A Stable Solution 16

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(B)(3) 20

V. ANALYSIS 24

A. Ground 1: Claims 1-19 Are Invalid Under The Written Description Provision of 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) 24

B. Ground 2: Claims 1-19 Are Invalid Under The On-Sale Bar Of § 102(a)(1) 30

1. The Supply And Purchase Agreement Between Patent Owner and MGI 30

2. The Supply Agreement Was A Commercial Offer for Sale Of The Claimed Invention 34

3. The Invention Was Ready For Patenting Prior To The Critical Date 36

4. The Offer For Sale Complied With Post-AIA Section 102 39

a. The AIA Did Not Change The Law To Require That "On Sale" Activity Be "Public" In Order To Qualify As Invalidating Prior Art 39

b. The Supply Agreement Was A Public Offer For Sale In Any Event 43

5. Claims 1-19 Are Invalid Because Of The Supply Agreement 44

VI. CONCLUSION 61

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

A-Transp. Nw. Co. v. United States,
36 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1994) 35

Air Wis. Airlines Corp. v. Hoeper,
134 S. Ct. 852 (2014).....42

Anascape, Ltd. v. Nintendo of Am., Inc.,
601 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 30, 31

Buildex, Inc. v. Kason Indus., Inc.,
849 F.2d 1461 (Fed. Cir. 1988) 36, 44

Cooper Cameron Corp. v. Kvaerner Oilfield Prods., Inc.,
291 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 25, 27

Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe Inc.,
424 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 9, 35

FAA v. Cooper,
132 S. Ct. 1441, 1449 (2012).....43

F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, Inc.,
556 U.S. 502 (2009).....43

Gentry Gallery, Inc. v. Berkline Corp.,
134 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1988) 27

Group One, Ltd. v. Hallmark Cards, Inc.,
254 F.3d 1041 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 35

ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys., Inc.,
558 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 29, 30

In re Caveny,
761 F.2d 671 (Fed. Cir. 1985) 44

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC,
793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015), *cert. granted*, 2016 U.S. LEXIS 632 (Jan. 15, 2016).....21

In re Nuijten,
500 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 42

J.A. LaPorte, Inc. v. Norfolk Dredging Co.,
787 F.2d 1577 (Fed. Cir. 1986) 44

Neder v. United States,
527 U.S. 1 (1999).....42

Netscape Commc'n Corp. v. Konrad,
295 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 33

Pfaff v. Wells Elec., Inc.,
525 U.S. 55 (1998).....33, 37

PIN-NIP, Inc. v. Platte Chem. Co.,
304 F.3d 1235 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 28, 29

Reiffin v. Microsoft Corp.,
214 F.3d 1342, 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2000) 28

Special Devices, Inc. v. OEA, Inc.,
270 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 40

Univ. of Rochester v. G.D. Searle & Co.,
358 F.3d 916 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 27, 28

Woodland Trust v. Flowertree Nursery, Inc.,
148 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 1998) 40, 41

Zacharin v. United States,
213 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2000) 35

STATUTES, RULES & OTHER AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 101 42

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.