

Case IPR2015-
Patent No. 8,729,094
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
Attorney Docket No. REDDY 7.1R-013

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. and
DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.
Requestors

v.

HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. and ROCHE PALO ALTO LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,729,094
Issue Date: May 20, 2014
Title: LIQUID PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATIONS OF PALONOSETRON

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
CLAIMS 22-27 AND 30 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,729,094
AND MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
EXHIBIT LIST	iv
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	5
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	5
II. THE CLAIMS UNDER CONSIDERATION.....	6
III. THE SPECIFICATION AND PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE ‘094 PATENT.....	7
A. The Specification Of The ‘094 Patent	7
B. The Prosecution History Of The ‘094 Patent	9
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	13
A. Optionally	13
B. Acute And Delayed.....	14
C. Chelating Agent	15
V. CLAIMS 22-27 AND 30 OF THE ‘094 PATENT ARE OBVIOUS OVER BERGER (EXH.1010) WHEN TAKEN IN VIEW OF CHELLY (EXH.1012), TANG (EXH.1019), AND LEAK (EXH.1055).....	15
A. The Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	17
B. Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	19
1. The “Treatment” Limitations Of Claim 22.....	19
a. Dosing Unit Conversions.....	19
b. Berger.....	21

c. Chelly.....	23
d. Tang	24
e. Berger, Chelly, And Tang Combined.....	27
2. Dose Adjustments	30
3. A POSA’s Use Of Data To Select A Dose	31
4. The Product Recitations Of The Claims	33
C. Differences Between The Claims And The Prior Art.....	43
D. Dependent Claims	50
1. Claim 23	50
2. Claim 24	50
3. Claim 25	51
4. Claim 26	52
5. Claim 27	53
6. Claim 30	54
E. Claim Chart.....	54
VI. CONCLUSION.....	58

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>3M v. Chemque, Inc.</i> , 303 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	17
<i>In re Aller</i> , 220 F.2d 454 (C.C.P.A. 1955)	44, 47
<i>Asyst Techs. Inc. v. Emtrak, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	45
<i>Atlas Powder Co. v. E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.</i> , 750 F.2d 1569 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	32
<i>In re Baxter Travenol Labs.</i> , 952 F.2d 388 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	45
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , No. 2014-1301, Slip. Op. (Fed. Cir. Feb. 4, 2015)	13
<i>Ericsson Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II, LLC</i> , IPR 2014-01412 (PTAB Mar. 18, 2015)	14
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Tolmar, Inc.</i> , 737 F.3d 731 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	16, 45
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	16
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	15, 16
<i>In re Merck & Co.</i> , 800 F.2d 1091 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	31
<i>Mintz v. Dietz & Watson, Inc.</i> , 679 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	17

Case IPR2015-
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
Attorney Docket No. REDDY 7.1R-013

<i>Oracle Corp. v. Thought, Inc.</i> , IPR 2014-00118 (PTAB Apr. 25, 2014)	14
<i>Par Pharm. Inc. v. TWI Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186, No. 2014-1391, 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 22737 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 3, 2014).....	16
<i>Univ. of Rochester v. G.D. Searle & Co.</i> , 249 F. Supp. 2d 216 (W.D.N.Y. 2033).....	19
<i>Takeda Pharm. Co. v. Mylan Inc.</i> , Case No. 13-4001, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 159527 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 11, 2014).....	19
<i>Warner Chilcott Co., LLC v. Lupin Ltd</i> , Civ. Action Nos. 11-5048, 12-2928, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6228 (D.N.J. Jan. 17, 2014).....	18

STATUTES, RULES & OTHER AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 102	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 103	4, 10,16
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.