```
1
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                        FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 3
 4 HELSINN HEALTHCARE, S.A. and
   ROCHE PALO ALTO, LLC,
 5
                                      CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
              Plaintiffs,
 6
                                             11-3962
               -vs-
 7
   DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD.,
                                              TRIAL
 8 DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.,
   TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., WITH SEALED PORTIONS
 9 and TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL
    INDUSTRIES, LTD.
10
              Defendants.
11
         Clarkson S. Fisher United States Courthouse
12
         402 East State Street
         Trenton, New Jersey 08608
13
         June 15, 2015
14 BEFORE:
                        THE HONORABLE MARY L. COOPER
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 Certified as True and Correct as required by Title 28, U.S.C.,
    Section 753
24
/S/ Regina A. Berenato-Tell, CCR, CRR, RMR, RPR
/S/ Carol Farrell, CCR, CRR, RMR, CCP, RPR, RSA
```

United States District Court Trenton, New Jersey



```
1
       APPEARANCES:
                                                                                                                Colloquy
 2
       PAUL HASTINGS
                                                                               1
                                                                                              (In open court. June 15, 2015, 9:30 a.m.)
 3
            JOSEPH O'MALLEY, ESQUIRE
             ERIC W. DITTMANN. ESOUIRE
                                                                               2
                                                                                              THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
 4
             ISAAC S. ASHKENAZI, ESQUIRE
                                                                               3
       SAUL EWING
                                                                                              ALL: Good morning, your Honor.
 5
       BY: CHARLES M. LIZZA. ESOUIRE
                                                                               4
       Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
                                                                                              THE COURT: How is everybody today?
 6
                                                                               5
                                                                                              ALL: Good.
 7
       BUDD LARNER
                                                                               6
                                                                                              THE COURT: Okay. What would you like to start with
            STUART D. SENDER, ESQUIRE
MICHAEL H. IMBACUAN, ESQUIRE
 8
                                                                               7
                                                                                     this morning?
             HUA HOWARD WANG, ESQUIRE
 9
            CONSTANCE S. HUTTNER, ESQUIRE KENNETH E. CROWELL, ESQUIRE
                                                                               8
                                                                                              MR. ASHKENAZI: Your Honor, we're planning on playing
                                                                               9
10
       Attorneys for the Defendant, Dr. Reddy's Laboratories
                                                                                     some deposition designations this morning.
                                                                              10
                                                                                              THE COURT: All right. Is there any dispute about
11
       WINSTON & STRAWN
       BY: JOVIAL WONG, ESQUIRE
                                                                              11
                                                                                     them. these?
12
             GEORGE LOMBARDI, ESQUIRE
             JULIA MANO JOHNSON, ESQUIRE
                                                                              12
                                                                                              MR. ASHKENAZI: Not that I'm aware of.
13
       BRENDAN F. BARKER, ESQUIRE
LITE DEPALMA, GREENBERG, LLC
                                                                              13
                                                                                              MR. SENDER: Other than the sort of the standing 403
14
             BY: MAYRA V. TARANTINO, ESQUIRE
       Attorneys for the Defendant, Teva
                                                                              14
                                                                                     objection to our experts who did not appear, you know, we've
15
                                                                              15
                                                                                     designated what we could out of it to try to provide some
16
                                                                              16
                                                                                     context.
17
                                                                             17
                                                                                              THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll see them, and I'll
18
                                                                              18
                                                                                     rule at some point. But we'll definitely know what we're
19
                                                                              19
                                                                                     delineating as your objection.
20
                                                                             20
                                                                                              MR. SENDER: Thank you, your Honor.
                                                                             21
21
                                                                                              MR. LIZZA: Your Honor, in that regard as requested
                                                                             22
                                                                                     by your Honor for the line-by-line analysis, we've prepared a
22
                                                                             23
                                                                                     chart with the designations and with our basis for relevance
23
                                                                             24
                                                                                     and probative value. So if I may approach, I can hand that
24
                                                                             25
                                                                                     chart up.
25
                                                                                                    United States District Court
                      United States District Court
```

Trenton, New Jersey			Trenton, New Jersey	
1	3		5	
	INDEX		Colloquy	
2		1	THE COURT: Sure. Have you served it?	
3		2	MR. SENDER: No, they have not, your Honor.	
4		3	MR. LIZZA: We're serving it now.	
5	WITNESS VOIR DIRECT CROSSREDIRECT RECROSS	4	THE COURT: Okay. And, again, you don't need to	
6	<u>DIRE</u> (Video deposition of Maurie Markman), 7	5	respond until you've had a chance to digest it and me, too.	
7	(Video deposition of Valentino Stella), 28 (Video deposition of Navin Vaya), 81	6	Okay? Fine.	
	(video deposition of Limor Zahavi), 96 GORDON AMIDON By Mr. Dittmann 126 143	7	MR. ASHKENAZI: Your Honor, at this time, we'd like	
8		8	to play the deposition designation of Dr. Maurie Markman who	
9		9	is DRL's expert clinical oncologist. According to DRL, Dr.	
10		10	Markman is the president of medicine and science at Cancer	
11		11	Treatment Centers of America. Dr. Markman has more than 20	
12		12	years of experience in cancer treatment. He has held	
13		13	clinical, research, teaching and management positions in	
		14	several highly regarded medical institutions in the U.S.	
14		15	Dr. Markman has extensive experience with all the 5-HT_3	
15		16	receptor antagonist drugs approved in the U.S. Dr. Markman	
16		17	was deposed regarding his expert opinions in this case.	
17		18	And for the record, your Honor, we have a binder with	
18		19	the corresponding deposition exhibits. Markman Deposition	
		20	Exhibit 1 corresponds to DTX-1206.	
19		21	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Just a second, please. I'll	
20		22	tell you when I'm ready.	
21		23	MR. ASHKENAZI: Okay.	
22		24	THE COURT: Was he deposed once or twice?	

4

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

22

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

173

Amidon - Direct

- And do you agree with Dr. Kirsch?
- 2 No. I do not.
- 3 Q. Why not?

1

- 4 well. I prepared a few slides to illustrate why the 5 compound in the Won publication would not be relevant to a 6 POSA looking at palonosetron, so -- this kind of gets kind of 7 technical.
- 8 THE COURT: For stability purposes?
- 9 THE WITNESS: For stability purposes, yes. This gets 10 kind of technical. Your Honor, but ask questions if you have 11 any questions.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- 13 THE WITNESS: But, yeah, so we've looked at the 14 structures and the chemistry here, and I do not agree with 15 Dr. Kirsch.
- 16 BY MR. DITTMANN:
- 17 Q. So why don't we take a look at the demonstrative you've 18 mentioned starting with PDX-712.
- 19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 Q. Can you explain what you see here, Doctor?
- 21 So, here I've highlighted in the chemistry -- the
- 22 chemical, the element difference between the Won compound
- 23 which is RG 12915, that's the compound that Dr. Kirsch
- 24 referred to, and here's the palonosetron.
- 25 You can see that the chemical difference here, this is

United States District Coun

Trenton, New Jersey

171

Amidon - Direct

- a cyclic lactam versus a linear lactam, and you have a benzofuran here. You do not have that. And you have cyclohexane with a fairly rigid structure here -- I'm sorry.
- THE COURT: Can you slow down just a little with the words.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Okay. Where do you want me to back up to?
- Okav. So that this is a linear amide, and this is a cyclic lactam, this is a cyclic amide, a more rigid structure indicated by the -- the dash lines here indicate the stereochemistry of this molecule that's not indicated here. Because there isn't stereochemical issues here in this molecule, but these there are.
- And you can see the difference in the chemical structures in this two-dimensional representation of the chemical structure. So the chemistries of these two molecules are quite different.
- 18 BY MR. DITTMANN:
- 19 Q. Now, Dr. Amidon, just to make the record clear, if we
- 20 could, going back to the Won molecule starting on the left,
- 21 the top part of the molecule, here, can you explain with the
- 22 O, H, and N, next to the --
- 23 So this is --A.
- 24 Q. Can you explain what that is again?

Amidon - Direct

- 1 called a linear amide. This is an amide. And this is an 2 amide, too, but it's connected to a cyclic. It's a cyclic 3
- amide, so we call this a lactam, most common --
- 5 THE WITNESS: In the palonosetron, it's a cyclic 6 lactam. I mean, this is a six-membered lactam. I mean, the 7

THE COURT: That's on the palonosetron side?

lactams are famous, beta-lactam antibiotics, but this is not anything like that.

But this is a cyclic amide, a very unique structure, as opposed to the linear amide here. This is the amide bond and this is the amide bond here.

- 12 BY MR. DITTMANN:
- 13 Q. It may be helpful if we can bring up PDX-713 and continue 14 our discussion.
- 15 A. Yeah, okay.
 - So, I think Dr. Kirsch was highlighting this similarity, and that is true, obviously. There is a quinuclidine -- well, okay, this is a tri- -- we'll get into this, but this is a triamine, but it's a particular quinuclidine, and that part of the molecule, just that part,
- 21 highlighted in the light green is the same. THE COURT: In both?
- 23 THE WITNESS: In both molecules.
- 24 THE COURT: In both molecules?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Molecules, yeah.

United States District Court

Trenton, New Jersey

Amidon - Direct

But the -- the rest of the molecules are quite 2 different.

And so the chemistry is, of course, highly dependent on the actual chemical structure and chemical bonding. So these are quite different molecules.

- BY MR. DITTMANN:
- Q. Bring up PDX-714.
 - THE COURT: The fact that you've got a ring, a ring structure in the palonosetron, connecting up -- please forgive me. I'm not a chemist --
 - THE WITNESS: I -- I --
- 12 THE COURT: -- the O, the N, and the H.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: You say that that's a -- that's a tighter 15 structure than the linear one that the won has connecting 16 those three atoms?
 - THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. It's more rigid, it's more structurally fixed spatially, yes, because of the bonding structure of carbon and nitrogen, yes.
- 20 BY MR. DITTMANN:
- 21 Q. And do you have a slide discussing this rigidity you're 22
 - mentioning?
- 23 Yes, I think I've illustrated that on another slide.
- 24 Can we bring up PDX-714?



177

1 Yes. So, here, it's kind of summarizing that point, Your 2 Honor, here. This is a more flexible, you're going to have 3 cis and trans, this quinuclidine versus the hydrogen, this 4 part. 5

THE COURT: You have what?

6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Okay.

7 BY MR. DITTMANN:

8 Q. Doctor, what might be helpful if you --

I'm sorrv.

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10 Q. -- point to the left side, and say, we're talking about 11 Won and then we'll move to the right side next. Is that okay?

THE COURT: Yeah. We have two things we're contending with here. We're making a record, so the pointer, when it's on, one --

THE WITNESS: You don't -- yeah.

THE COURT: -- one drawing or another, the words have to say, now I'm comparing what we see here on the left with what we see here the right. And the other, of course, is the comprehension, the communication gap.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. Well, just slow me down. THE COURT: And also, the other thing is that the court reporter has to be able to get these words down.

THE WITNESS: I understand. I understand. Just slow me down.

THE COURT: Just take your time.

United States District Coun

Trenton, New Jersey

Amidon - Direct

THE WITNESS: The stability is very dependent on the 2 electronic structure and the elemental structure of the 3 molecule.

4 BY MR. DITTMANN:

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Just to take one step back, Doctor, to make sure that the record is clear, you were talking about the chlorine and oxygen on the Won molecule, if you see on the left side of the demonstrative, and we see here there are labels "activator" next to both. Can you explain what you mean by "activator"? A. well, there's -- for chemical reactivity, there would be more reactive, more chemically reactive.

Chlorine has got more electrons around it as an atom and oxygen has got two -- lone pairs, so there's more electron -- lone-pair electrons. Lone-pair electron, that's a function of the bonding structure of chlorine and oxygen. So there's more electron freedom, more electrons free in the oxygen and the chlorine.

So activator is -- that's just illustrating that these compound -- the Won compound on the left with the chlorine and oxygen is electronically a very different structure than the palonosetron compound on the right.

22 BY MR. DITTMANN:

23 Q. So, turning to the palonosetron on the right, do you see 24 any such activators present in the structure of that molecule? 25

United States District Coun

Trenton, New Jersey

175

Amidon - Direct

THE WITNESS: This is not an environment I'm used to teaching in so...

So, on the left, with the Won compound, the RG 12915, this structure is free to move, in fact, the hydrogen and this carbon can change position and we call it cis/trans. And so this is more flexible here. While this cyclic structure fixes this nitrogen in a chemical position. Okay? So that was my -- that was the essential point of that.

THE COURT: Okay. And you've used the term "flexible" for the Won at that location, and "rigid" for the palonosetron at that location?

THE WITNESS: Correct. Correct.

And then I highlighted here also that there's different elements. There's a chlorine and an oxygen in the Won compound, which would make the chemistry of the Won compound quite different.

So, in conclusion, this is maybe getting a little technical, but the Won -- the compound on the left, the Won compound, is a different chemical structure than the palonosetron, and so a POSA would look at it and say, it's not much help to me with regard to predicting palonosetron properties.

> THE COURT: Including stability? THE WITNESS: Including stability.

Amidon - Direct

Q. Now, just to summarize, what -- where we are so far, you know, what would a POSA infer, if anything, with respect to stability looking at the structural differences between these two molecules?

I believe that the Won compound on the left would be of no help, so there'd be no useful information from the won compound that you would extrapolate to the palonosetron compound. So I would say you would learn nothing about palonosetron from the Won compound.

THE COURT: Now, Doctor, this drawing, chemical drawing of the palonosetron molecule, doesn't show up in the '333 patent. That's what we've heard so far in the evidence. Would you agree with that?

THE WITNESS: The chemical -- okay.

THE COURT: Chemical drawing.

THE WITNESS: The chemical drawing of the Won compound on the left is only generically included in the '333 patent.

THE COURT: But I'm talking about the palonosetron on the --

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, the palonosetron, okay. The palonosetron compound in the '333 patent, I'm sorry. I was confused. Your Honor.

Correct, the palonosetron compound in the '333 patent



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

24

1

2

4

180

181

Amidon - Direct

and the symbols that they have in there.

If you assemble -- if you look into that in all of the potential compounds, you will find palonosetron is one of the compounds in that -- that's contained in the structures that were in the '333 patent.

THE COURT: That I get.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I just wanted to establish, you've looked at the '333 patent, and this chemical drawing of the palonosetron molecule is not drawn in the '333 patent. But what we've learned so far in the evidence here, I just want to check with you on this, the actual palonosetron molecule is verbally described in the '333 patent, it is called out and described.

THE WITNESS: That's my understanding, it was called out and described but not structurally presented, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

19 BY MR. DITTMAN:

palonosetron?

Q. Do you understand that Dr. Kirsch relies on a Clark 1993 reference, DTX-282, in asserting that those -- the prior art recognized similarities between the Won molecule and

24 A. Yes, I'm familiar -- I'm aware of that testimony, yes.

Q. And do you understand Dr. Kirsch has contended that a

United States District Court

Trenton, New Jersey

Amidon - Direct

pharmacophore model -- models which was research -- a research effort in the 1990s to try and reverse engineer a receptor, and they're looking for 5-HT receptor antagonists, things that bind to this receptor. And they wanted to reverse engineer what the receptor looked like.

It's -- it was not a very successful line of research, but that's what this paper -- my point is, this paper focused on a pharmacophore model and based on the crystal structure of the molecules. So it had little -- little applicability to solution conformation which would be more freedom in the solution than in the solid state. So this -- this paper is of, I would say, no help to a formulation scientist.

Q. Just to be clear, was Clark 1993, in your view,addressing any stability-related issues?

15 A. NO.

16 Q. Can we turn to Page 5, please. I want to focus on the 17 right column, yeah, you have it there, correct.

A sentence I'll read into the record that states: "In fact, a crystal structure of another 5-HT, antagonist (44) has the conformation of the quinuclidine ring system in a similar conformation to the overlap conformation of (S,S)-37." Do you see that, Doctor?

23 A. Yes.

Q. And, first, do you understand this to be a sentence that

25 Dr. Kirsch focused on in his testimony?

United States District Coun

Trenton, New Jersey

179

Amidon - Direct

POSA would have focused on the Clark 1993 for whatever information they can glean about compounds that are related to palonosetron that may inform them about their efforts to develop a stable palonosetron formulation?

A. well, I think a POSA might -- might have been aware of the Clark reference, but the Clark reference was focused on developing a pharmacophore model and used crystal structure, so I think it would be of little assistance. But I think I have some transparencies on that, but I did look at the Clark article and I think it does not say anything regarding chemical stability.

Q. Can we bring up the Clark reference, DTX-282? And, first, if we can focus on the abstract. Thank you.

Can you -- I think you started to summarize this, Doctor, but can you explain to the Court what Clark 1993 was focusing on?

A. Well, okay. There's a lot of technical chemical details in here.

I would just point to the last line in the abstract. Computer modelling here, computer modelling studies were performed, and previously forward -- previously reported 5-HT, receptor antagonists -- previously reported -- I'll speak more slowly -- 5-HT, receptor antagonist pharmacophore models were refined to include a lipophilic binding domain.

Amidon - Direct

A. Yes. This is my understanding that the sentence that -that Dr. Kirsch referred to. and the sentence particularly

3 focuses on the quinuclidine part, just that upper right-hand

part of the molecule. And those two, the -- that's a

5 tricyclic with a nitro- -- bridge nitrogen, that's a very

6 fixed structure, so this is not really saying anything other

7 than that's fixed. That's the same in the two molecules.

8 Q. Just for context, if we can bring up the structures below 9 that sentence, just to make sure we're all following along.

10 A. Yeah. This is the quinuclidine part.

11 Q. So you're pointing to the upper right portion of the

12 molecule?

13 A. Yes.

Q. Compound 44?

15 A. Yes.

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

Q. Okay. If you go back to --

THE COURT: with the jagged line through it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that means it's a tricyclic. This is the cycle, this is a cycle and this is -- this is a very rigid structure that you can do. Six-membered rings are common in organic chemistry.

22 BY MR. DITTMANN:

Q. Now, in the sentence we were looking at from Clark 1993,

44 is a reference to this Compound 44 shown below, the text

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

