

Post Grant Review No. PGR2016-00007
Patent No. 9,173,942
Petition for Post Grant Review
Attorney Docket No. REDDY 7.2R-021

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. and
DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.
Petitioners

v.

HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. and ROCHE PALO ALTO LLC
Patent Owners

U.S. Patent No. 9,173,942 to Giorgio Calderari *et al.*
Issue Date: November 3, 2015
Title: LIQUID PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATIONS OF PALONOSETRON

Post Grant Review No. PGR2016-00007

**PETITION FOR POST GRANT REVIEW OF
CLAIMS 1-6, 10, AND 11 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,173,942
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 321-329 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.200 ET SEQ.**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
EXHIBIT LIST	v
NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	1
NOTICE OF EACH REAL PARTY IN INTEREST PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	2
NOTICE OF SERVICE INFORMATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	3
GROUND FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.204	4
IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(1) AND 42.204(b)	6
SUPPORTING EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(5)	7
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2)	7
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	7
II. THE CLAIMS UNDER CONSIDERATION	10
III. THE SPECIFICATION AND PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE '942 PATENT	10
A. The Specification Of The '942 Patent	10
B. The Prosecution History Of The '942 Patent And Its Ancestors	12
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.204(B)(3)	18

V.	ANALYSIS.....	23
A.	Ground 1. Claims 1-6, 10, And 11 Are Invalid As Obvious Over Berger In View Of Eglen, Gibson, And PDR 2001	23
1.	The Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	26
2.	The Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	28
3.	The Difference Between The Claimed Invention And The Prior Art	38
a.	Concentration.....	38
b.	pH.....	40
c.	Mannitol.....	41
d.	Citrate And Chelating Agent	42
e.	Amount Of 0.25mg.....	44
4.	The Claims Are Obvious	44
B.	Ground 2. Claims 1-6, 10 And 11 Are Invalid As Obvious Over Tang In View Of Gibson And PDR 2001	51
1.	The Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	51
2.	The Difference Between The Claimed Invention And The Prior Art	54
3.	Tang Is Not A Teaching Away	59
C.	Ground 3. Claims 1-6, 10 And 11 Are Invalid As Obvious Over Tang In View Of Berger, Gibson, And PDR 2001	64
D.	The Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	64
E.	The Difference Between The Claimed Invention And The Prior Art ...	68

Post Grant Review No. PGR2016-00007
Patent No. 9,173,942
Petition for Post Grant Review
Attorney Docket No. REDDY 7.2R-021

VI. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	76
VII. CONCLUSION.....	78

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>3M v. Chemque, Inc.</i> , 303 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	25
<i>Asyst Techs., Inc. v. Emtrak, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	78, 79
<i>In re Baxter Travenol Labs.</i> , 952 F.2d 388 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	25
<i>Bayer Healthcare Pharms., Inc. v. Watson Pharms., Inc.</i> , 713 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	24
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>cert. granted</i> , 2016 U.S. LEXIS 632 (Jan. 15, 2016).....	18
<i>In re DBC</i> , 545 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	78
<i>In re Fout</i> , 675 F.2d 297 (C.C.P.A. 1982).....	43, 56, 70
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Tolmar, Inc.</i> , 737 F.3d 731 (Fed. Cir. 2013), <i>cert. denied</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2740 (2014)	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Geisler</i> , 116 F.3d 1465 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Haynes Int'l Inc. v. Jessop Steel Co.</i> , 8 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir 1993)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Ineos USA LLC v. Berry Plastics Corp.</i> , 783 F.3d 865 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	26

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.